

# ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING AND THE IMPACT ON THE DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL BASE 18892

October 27, 2016

Bill Decker

[bill.decker@dau.mil](mailto:bill.decker@dau.mil)

DAU South

256-937-9590



Approved for Public Release

[www.DAU.mil](http://www.DAU.mil)



# AGENDA

**Introduction**

**Additive Manufacturing**

**DoD Interest**

**Industry concerns**

**Potential approaches**



# ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING

- **AM gaining maturity**
- **Industry expanding rapidly**
- **Potential is huge**
- **NASA has significant investment**
- **Very attractive to military**

# WHY IS DOD ATTRACTED TO AM?

- **Enable spare parts fabrication at point of consumption**
- **Address diminished manufacturing sources and material shortage issues**
- **Potential to:**
  - Reduce supply chain & inventories
  - Improve responsiveness
  - Reduce manpower

# DEFENSE INDUSTRY CONCERNS

- **Loss of spares business**
  - Sales volume
  - Historically higher margins
- **Additional benefits**
  - Stable workforce
  - Feedback on reliability and performance of system
  - Input to future systems designs
- **Development of a business plan to remain profitable with DoD using AM**

# CURRENT STATE OF AM

- **Lack of industry standards currently limits use of AM**
- **Result: A short term solution is not required – now is the time to explore alternatives**
- **Challenge: If DoD is using AM for spares, what is the model for profitability/return on investment (ROI)**

# INDUSTRY STANDARDS

- **ASTM, ISO, SAE and NIST all have AM standards initiatives**
- **Current status:**
  - Standardized terminology
  - Standard for software format for AM machines
  - Limited number of materials



# NIST STATEMENT ON AM

Materials and processes used to produce critical components for defense, aerospace, and medical applications must first be formally qualified. Extensive empirical testing to fully qualify a material often requires many thousands of individual tests, costing millions of dollars and 5 to 15 years to complete. (i) Further, a minor change in the process requires complete re-qualification. The variety of AM processes available to users and the variety of process variables used to produce an individual part make statistical-based qualification through empirical testing particularly burdensome. (ii) Currently no AM processes or materials are qualified for critical defense or aerospace applications...

<https://www.nist.gov/programs-projects/qualification-additive-manufacturing-materials-processes-and-parts>



# OTHER INDUSTRY CONCERNS

- **OEM concerned about potential liability:**
  - Replacement component is made using materials, machines and processes specified by OEM.
  - Standards do not exist for processes and materials
  - Component not formally “qualified” through testing

# INDUSTRY OPTIONS

- **Stop pursuing DoD contracts**
- **Increase prices on production items**
  - Assuming limited follow-on business for spares/repairs
- **Offer contractor logistic support using AM**
- **Charge high prices to deliver AM design and process data**
- **Use royalties structured to obtain ROI for each item produced using AM**
- **Address liability issues in contracts**

# POTENTIAL APPROACHES

- **Contractors install/operate AM machines at depots**
- **Licensing of software, processes**
- **Clearly define what will be replicated by services, what will not be**
- **Use contract options to provide flexibility (spares, licenses, etc.)**

# SUMMARY/RECOMMENDATIONS

- **AM is already used to a limited extent in manufacturing**
- **Military logisticians see this as a “panacea” to reduce inventory, provide responsive support**
- **Industry is concerned that current models for ROI will not apply**
- **Acquisition, life cycle support and IP strategies must consider the future use of AM in support facilities**



# BACKUP

# INDUSTRY STANDARDS

**ASTM, ISO, SAE and NIST all have AM standards initiatives**

## **ASTM:**

- **Committee F42** (<https://www.astm.org/COMMITTEE/F42.htm>)
- **Three proposed metal material standards:**
  - WK51329 Additive Manufacturing Cobalt-28 Chromium-6 Molybdenum Alloy (UNS R30075) with Powder Bed Fusion1
  - WK48732 New Specification for Additive Manufacturing Stainless Steel Alloy (UNS S31603) with Powder Bed Fusion
  - WK53423 Additive Manufacturing AlSi10Mg with Powder Bed Fusion

# INDUSTRY STANDARDS

**ASTM, ISO, SAE and NIST all have AM standards initiatives**

## **ISO:**

- **ISO/TC 261 – Additive Manufacturing**  
([http://www.iso.org/iso/home/standards\\_development/list\\_of\\_iso\\_technical\\_committees/iso\\_technical\\_committee.htm?cmmid=6290860](http://www.iso.org/iso/home/standards_development/list_of_iso_technical_committees/iso_technical_committee.htm?cmmid=6290860))
- **ISO/ASTM 52915:2016**  
Specification for additive manufacturing file format (AMF) Version 1.2
- **Five others on terminology and general principles**

# INDUSTRY STANDARDS

**ASTM, ISO, SAE and NIST all have AM standards initiatives**

## **SAE:**

- **Held first meeting on AM standards in July 2015**
- **Upcoming AM Symposium – Knoxville – March 2017**
- **Four standards in process for Aerospace Material Specification**
  - Three on Ni based alloys
  - One on the laser bed fusion process