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The Goal: Composing Systems That Keep Up With The Times

• DoD has long assumed that homogeneous, fixed-configuration weapon systems are the only way to meet their goals of a superior military force
  – Must last a long time, so requirements are developed for 30+ years out.
  – Meeting 30 year out requirements with today’s technology is hard
  – **Result is the best design possible with 20-30 year old technology** and updates are not efficient with respect to time or cost...

• Open Architectures Try to Solve this Problem
  – Requires enormous effort to reach a “global” consensus on the system architecture,
    • Even then, it is only a “local” version of “global”
    • Global standards have to work for everyone, so aren’t optimized for your application
  – Result is **heterogeneous components in a homogeneous architecture** – which doesn’t work because the architecture needs to evolve with the technology
  – Attempts to build flexibility into the architecture (to support heterogeneity) just result in overly complex infrastructures that still don’t anticipate the new technologies

• What if Global Interoperability Didn’t Require a Common Interface at ALL?
Understanding the Trade between Local and Global Message Standards...

- **Local Message Standards**
  - **Flexible** – You Can Add New Messages Easily
  - **Inefficient** - Require $N^2$ Transforms (all pairs) for Interoperability

- **Global Open Standards**
  - **Efficient** – $N$ Transforms to/from the Global Standard
  - **Not Flexible** – Can’t change without tremendous effort

- **Incremental Standards (STITCHES)**
  - **Efficient** – ~$N$ Transforms for Interoperability
  - **Flexible** – You Can Add New Messages Easily

Transformations:
- $M2 \leftarrow M1$: $M2 = T21(M1)$
- $M5 \leftarrow M1$: $M5 = T51(M1)$
- $M2 \leftarrow M1$: $M2 = T2G(TG1(M1))$
- $M5 \leftarrow M1$: $M5 = T5G(TG1(M1))$
Capabilities We Set Out to Develop

• Global Interoperability without Global Consensus on Interface Specification
  – Stateless Interactions (Message Transformations)
  – Stateful Interactions (Multiple Source Messages Required to Form Destination Message)
• Efficient Reuse in and Evolution of the Architecture
• Near Real-Time Construction of the SoS from Specification
• Optimized Implementation of Interfaces that are Small and Fast
  – Support for High Speed Packed Representations
• Allow Legacy Subsystems and Existing Open Architectures to Interoperate
• Cyber Defenses via Heterogeneity & Run-Time Execution Monitors
• Hierarchical and Resilient SoS Configurations
Key Innovation: Field and Transform Graph (FTG)

- Fields are Nodes in the Graph and Contain:
  - A set of subfields (which are defined by other nodes in the graph)
  - A set of properties (mathematically precise specification of node properties)
  - Note: All node information is defined locally, no coordination required!

- Nodes are Connected by Links That Define the Transform from Source to Destination Nodes
  - Each link requires a pair wise human coordination between the source and destination
  - Transforms Expressed in a Domain Specific Language Built for this Purpose
  - Graph algorithms determine a composition of transforms (path through the FTG) that produce the destination message given a source message

- No Global Coordination Required to Update or Evolve Data in the FTG
Example of Building Out the FTG

Start with Sensor 1 (Order Doesn’t Really Matter, but Details are Order Dependent)

AR.Sensor1.OutputMessage
  • Source
  • Time
  • Coverage
  • ProbDetect
  • NumDetects
  • {Detections}
  {Properties}

AR.Sensor1.Time
  • Value
  {Properties}

Each referenced subfield type points to another FTG node
[Just showing time here]

Color Code:
Black is a Field Node that represents a Message
Red is a sub-Field Instance Name (referring to a Field Node as its type)
Blue is for Properties (not demonstrated here)
Green is for Transforms (used in later slides)
Purple/Pink is Voice Track Information
Add in a Tracker Message

AR.Sensor1.OutputMessage
- Source
- Time
- Coverage
- ProbDetect
- NumDetects
- {Detections}
  {Properties}

AR.Sensor1.Time
- Value
  {Properties}

Add in the Tracker

AR.Tracker.InputMessage
- Source
- Time
- Coverage
- ProbDetect
- NumDetects
- {Detections}
  {Properties}

AR.Tracker.Time
- Value
  {Properties}
Define a Transform

Now Define a Transform From AR.Sensor1.Time to AR.Tracker.Time

```
return (AR.Sensor1.Time - 17)
```
Add in the Reverse Transform

AR.Sensor1.OutputMessage
- Source
- Time
- Coverage
- ProbDetect
- NumDetections
- {Detections}
{Properties}

AR.Sensor1.Time
- Value
{Properties}
Transforms
return (AR.Tracker.Time + 17)

AR.Tracker.InputMessage
- Source
- Time
- Coverage
- ProbDetect
- NumDetections
- {Detections}
{Properties}

AR.Tracker.Time
- Value
{Properties}
Transforms
return (AR.Sensor1.Time - 17)

Add in a Reverse Transform from AR.Tracker.Time to AR.Sensor1.Time
Add in a Display Message

AR.Sensor1.OutputMessage
- Source
- Time
- Coverage
- ProbDetect
- NumDetects
- {Detections}
{Properties}

AR.Sensor1.Time
- Value
{Properties}
Transforms
return (AR.Tracker.Time +17)

AR.Display.SensorInputMessage
- Source
- Time
- Coverage
- NumDetects
- {Detections}
{Properties}

AR.Display.Time
- Value
{Properties}
Transforms
return (AR.Sensor1.Time -36)

Add In a Display, and map AR.Sensor1.Fields to AR.Display.Fields
Add in the Reverse Transform

AR.Sensor1.OutputMessage
- Source
- Time
- Coverage
- ProbDetect
- NumDetects
- {Detections}
{Properties}

AR.Sensor1.Time
- Value
{Properties}
Transforms
return (AR.Tracker.Time +17)
return (AR.Display.Time+36)

AR.Display.SensorInputMessage
- Source
- Time
- Coverage
- NumDetects
- {Detections}
{Properties}

AR.Display.Time
- Value
{Properties}
Transforms
return (AR.Sensor1.Time -36)

and add in the Reverse Maps from AR.Display.Fields back to AR.Sensor1.Fields
What about the Gaps

Tracker Also Has An Output Message

AR.Tracker.OutputMessage
- Source
- Time
- NumTracks
- {Tracks}
{Properties}

AR.Tracker.Time
- Value
{Properties}
Transforms
return (AR.Sensor1.Time -17)

And the Display has an Track Input Message

AR.Display.TrackerInputMessage
- Source
- Time
- NumTracks
- {Tracks}
{Properties}

AR.Display.Time
- Value
{Properties}
Transforms
return (AR.Sensor1.Time -36)

... but there is no Transform from AR.Tracker.Time Directly to AR.Display.Time
Transform Chains Can Resolve the Gaps

... but there is a chain transform through AR.Sensor1.Time that can be constructed.
Assign Fields Between Messages

Explicitly Map Fields (via Assign operator) from AR.Sensor1.OutputMessage to AR.Display.SensorInputMessage using the field transforms define in the FTG.
A Simple Example To Illustrate STITCHES

• A Number of Subsystems Have Been Developed Independently
  – Sensors (Radars, EO Cameras, WAMI, etc.), Trackers and Fusers, Display Systems, Sensor Resource Managers, etc.
  – No common standard for message definitions
  – Information content is compatible, so they “should” be able to interoperate

• Want to Build Mission Configurations
  – Goal: Supply specifications of mission configurations and have the system autogenerate the code to make the mission work
  – Assumes that the specified mission is supported by the subsystems

• Consider a Simple 3 Subsystem, ISR Configuration Specification
  – Desired Connections:
    • Radar -> Tracker; Radar->Display; Tracker->Display
Resolving the (Notional) FTG to Form Composite Transformations

Top Level FTG Relevant for this Example:

Connection 1: (Radar to Tracker)

Connection 2: (Radar to Display)
Resolving the (Notional) FTG to Form Composite Transformations

Top Level FTG Relevant for this Example:

Connection 1: (Radar to Tracker)
- LM.Tracker. InputMessage
- NG.Radar Message1
- AF.OMS. RadarMessage
- STR.Tracker. SensorMessage
- AR.Radar. DwellMessage
- G.Display. SensorMessage

Connection 2: (Radar to Display)
- LM.Tracker. InputMessage
- NG.Radar Message1
- AF.OMS. RadarMessage
- STR.Tracker. SensorMessage
- AR.Radar. DwellMessage
- G.Display. SensorMessage

Synthesized Composite Transformation
What Does STITCHES Produce?

Subsystem Core
Developed by Subsystem Engineers

Interface
Developed by Subsystem Engineer with STITCHES Autogenerated Libraries
Developed once per Core Version, Works for all SoS Configurations

HCAL
Autogenerated by STITCHES; Tailored to Each SoS Configuration

Radar Core Interface
SSI Shim
Splitter
Serialize
MAC
Transport

Tracker Core Interface
SSI Shim
EM
Transform
Serialize
MAC
Transport

Display Core Interface
SSI Shim
EM
Deserialze
MAC
Transport

HCAL: Heterogeneous CAL
CAL: Critical Abstraction Layer
MAC: Message Authentication Code
EM: Execution Monitor
SSI: Subsystem Interface
STITCHES is Focused on Implementing a Scalable Approach to Building SoS Capabilities

- **Design Space Exploration**
  - Process FTG to Construct Transformation Chains
  - Specify HCAL Stack by forming & solving optimization problems

- **Compiler**
  - Construct HCAL Stack Structure
  - Optimize Transforms for this Instance of the Interface
  - Provide Structural Cyber Security through heterogeneity and whitelist property enforcement
  - Generate C++/Java Code & Compile into binaries

Result: High Performance Interfaces Optimized For Each Application
SS and SoS Specs Define the Remaining Elements of the System in an Efficient Way

**SubSystem Specifications**

For Each SubSystem:
- Input Interfaces
  - Messages (FTG Node)
- Output Interfaces
  - Messages (FTG Nodes)
- Resources
  - Supported Languages
  - Supported Transports
  - Supported Serialization
  - Computational Resources

**SoS Specification**

Defines Each SubSystem (SS):
- SS Instance Name & Type

Defines Each Connection between SSes:
- Source Information (1 or more)
  - SS Instance Name
  - SS Interface
- Destination Information
  - SS Instance Name
  - SS Interface
- Message Flows (1 or more)
  - Source Message(s)
  - Destination Message
Walking through the Steps...

- Create a STITCHES Enabled Subsystem (Time: ~Days; Freq: Once per SS version)
  - Start with an existing Subsystem (SS) core
  - SS Engineer Models the interface in the FTG (creates nodes)
  - STITCHES auto-generates SS Interface (SSI) Skeleton; SS engineer completes SSI
  - SS Engineer adds FTG links to other nodes to connect to a community
  - Check in the FTG (including annotations and verification with tools such as Rockwell’s AGREE)

- Create a New SoS (Time: ~Hours; Frequency: Once per SoS)
  - SoS engineer defines the SoS Specification (SSes and their connections)
  - If needed, SoS engineer must add any missing required links in the FTG
  - Check in New FTG including incremental verification (with tools such as AGREE)
  - SoS engineer runs STITCHES on the SoS Specification to Build the SoS (generate code)

- FTG Provides Re-Use without Common Interfaces
  - An FTG Node is re-used in many messages
  - Messages are re-used in many subsystem interfaces
  - Subsystems are re-used in many SoSes
Next We Walk Through a Few of the More Interesting Features in STITCHES

1. Architectural Evolution (both Backwards & Forwards Compatibility)
2. Compiler Converts a Specification to Running Systems
3. Compile Time Performance
4. Run Time Performance
5. Integrating Legacy Systems that Can’t be Changed
6. Cyber Resiliency via AutoGenerated Runtime Enforcement of White List Property
7. Synchronizing Transformations
8. Hierarchical Definitions Including Resilient Backup Configurations
Evolution of the Architecture: Backwards Compatibility

- Let’s Remember Our Simple 3 Subsystem ISR SoS
  - Radar: Produces AR.Radar.DwellMsg
  - Tracker: Consumes: STR.Tracker.SensorMsg; Produces: STR.Tracker.TrackMsg
  - Display: Consumes: G.Display.SensorMsg, G.Display.TrackMsg
  - Connections: Radar -> Tracker; Radar->Display; Tracker->Display

- Now Let’s Add in an Upgraded Radar (Includes an HRR Signature)
  - Construct Transform That Assigns Each Subfield from the new to the old Message

- Now can use the new Radar anywhere that you can use the old radar (but won’t get access to the HRR signal)
Evolution of the Architecture: Forwards Compatibility

- Now let’s add in an upgraded tracker (that can use HRR signatures)
  - Construct transform that assigns each subfield from the HRR source to HRR destination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AR.Radar.HRRDwellMsg</th>
<th>STR.Tracker.HRRMsg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Source</td>
<td>• Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Time</td>
<td>• Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coverage</td>
<td>• Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ProbDetect</td>
<td>• ProbDetect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NumDetects</td>
<td>• NumDetects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• {HRRDets}</td>
<td>• {HRRDets}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Now the new tracker can use the HRR signals from the new radar

![Diagram showing message flows and transformations between different message types including AR.Radar.HRRDwellMsg and STR.Tracker.HRRMsg.]
The STITCHES Compiler, Motivation

• In general, Design Space Exploration (DSE) chooses the HCAL’s functionality – the what not the how:
  – “Transform from MsgA to MsgB using this chain”, ...
  – “Then check these properties with an Execution Monitor”, ...
  – “Then serialize using Google Protocol Buffers”, ...
  – “Then send to Destination via ZeroMQ”

• Compiler Transforms This Description into Executable Implementations (How)
  – For any HCAL, there are many possible implementations
  – Objective implementations are secure, performant and interoperate with any STITCHES compiler

• A more traditional approach is to use a framework (common super-classes, generic interfaces, etc)
  – Because we generate the code after we see the optimized SoS Config, we don’t need a framework!
  – Enables significantly more compile time validation and optimization
The Compiler Transforms the Specification Into Running Code

- HCAL Intermediate Language (HIL) is designed for human use to fully specify stacks and transformations
  - Provides high-level functions, allows complex nested expressions, and provides syntactic sugar to simplify use
  - Creates fully-defined type system allowing compile-time validation and reduced run-time errors
  - Parsed from a format that is human readable and editable, to allow for inspection, debugging and testing
- Core Internal Representation (IR) is designed for machine analysis (optimization and code generation)
  - Creates an explicit representation that is more easily reasoned over for the purposes of optimization
  - Adds variable names to intermediary products to ease code generation in both Java and C++
- Target Languages of Java and C++ allow for wide applicability of the result
Does STITCHES Scale to Relevant Sized SoSes?

- Simple ISR SoS
  - WAMI, Tracker, Display, SRM and Variable Number of NFOV Sensors
  - Connections:
    - WAMI->Display; WAMI->Tracker
    - Tracker->Display;
    - Display->Tracker; Tracker->SRM;
    - SRM->NFOV
    - NFOV->Tracker; NFOV->Display

- Complexity Grows with N(# NFOVs)
  - # Subsystems = N + 4
  - # Connections = 3N + 5

- Note: Execution Monitors Are Disabled in These Runs

WAMI = Wide Area Motion Imagery
NFOV = Narrow Field of View
Handling Packed Representations

• Many real systems mix their interface definition with their implementation
  – Result is a serialized (Packed) form of the interface that can represent multiple different interface messages (e.g., STANAG 4607) with descriptor words for run time resolution
  – Packed messages are often used for run-time efficiency - they tend to be the big / high rate messages in the system. So don’t want to unpack if not necessary
• Mirrored Unpacked Nodes Provide an Effective and Efficiency Solution
  – Create a Second Unpacked Node that Contains a Structured Version of the Interface
  – Create Transforms between the Unpacked and Packed Nodes
  – Interact with other Interfaces via their Unpacked Representations
  – Auto-generate the Desired (high performance) Packed-to-Packed Transforms
STITCHES Uses Post-Composition Optimization

- STITCHES assembles sequences of transforms that may involve:
  - Frequent copying
  - Duplicated computation
  - Inverted computations: e.g., `ToBytes(ToDouble(input[:800]:bytes));`
  - Inefficient extra looping over the same data

- Current Optimizations
  - **Simplification** to remove assignments
  - **De-duplication** of computation
  - **Peephole Optimizations** to replace code sequences with faster equivalents
  - **Loop fusion** to combine operations on the same data over multiple loops (not included in performance results on next slide)
  - Note: the optimizer currently only optimizes in the transform layers
## Optimized Performance:

[Packed → Unpacked → Unpacked → Packed] vs. [Packed → Packed]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connection</th>
<th>Connection</th>
<th>PUUP vs PP</th>
<th>Java HCALs</th>
<th>C++ HCALs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R1 -&gt; T1 (No Transform)</td>
<td>PUUP</td>
<td>3000±35</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
<td>2889±52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 -&gt; T1 (No Transform)</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>3005±18</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>2897±38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 -&gt; T2 (Only Change Time)</td>
<td>PUUP</td>
<td>1972±18</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
<td>2891±38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 -&gt; T2 (Only Change Time)</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>1967±22</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
<td>2889±53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 -&gt; T3 (Switch Order Lat, Lon)</td>
<td>PUUP</td>
<td>1100±9</td>
<td>1.5±0.1</td>
<td>1035±32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 -&gt; T3 (Switch Order Lat, Lon)</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>1058±9</td>
<td>1.6±0.1</td>
<td>1042±25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 -&gt; T4 (Change All Fields)</td>
<td>PUUP</td>
<td>685±5</td>
<td>2.0±0.1</td>
<td>963±23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 -&gt; T4 (Change All Fields)</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>755±7</td>
<td>1.9±0.1</td>
<td>898±21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAC and Execution Monitors are Disabled for these Performance Runs
All interactions via localhost, so no network latencies are involved
Data Gathered on a Standard Quad Core Workstation
Unifying Legacy and STITCHES Enabled SSes

• What Makes a Subsystem STITCHES Enabled?
  1. Implements an SS Interface (SSI):
     • Converts between core and FTG interface
     • Provides FTG messages to an HCAL process (currently through a named pipe)
  2. Provides a Local Computational Resource to Host an HCAL Locally

• Legacy Systems Can’t be Changed
  1. No Issue Implementing an SSI
     • Interaction with the Core is more complicated (via legacy protocol)
     • Otherwise it is the same
  2. Need to Host HCAL Somewhere Else

SoS Independent Code
SoS Dependent Code

Interact with Core
Convert FTG ↔ Core
Transport & Deserialize
Deserialize & Transport

Interact with Core
Convert FTG ↔ Core
Transport & Deserialize
Deserialize & Transport
Clean Solution is to Host the HCAL on an Open Compute Environment (OCE)

- Legacy Interface (LINT) Now Acts as a Remote SS Core
  - Connections with the SSI Shim are the same as if it were local (pipes)
  - Can Split/Join Feeds in the HCAL if multiple Connections are Required
- Further Optimization is Possible
  - Move the LINTs to the Subsystem
  - Remove the Extra Serialize, Transport, Transport, Deserialize Layers
- Optimization Would Break A Key Assumption in Current Architecture
  - LINTs act like a SS Interface – which only exist in one place
  - Resilient SoS Config Sometimes Require LINTs Feeds to be in multiple HCAL Stacks
  - Note, equivalent to the optimization of letting software only SSes live on another HCAL
Cyber Resiliency

• Use Detailed Information on Interface Properties to Improve System Cyber Defenses
  – Auto generate distributed whitelist enforcement (EMs) of field, message and SoS properties based this specific composition
  – Provide a minimal, unpredictable attack surface via heterogeneous implementations of the minimal interface needed for this composition’s interactions

• Execution Monitors Provide a Framework for Loading White List Property Checks Into the HCAL
  – Property checks written into the FTG nodes
  – Automatically loaded into the EM based on available resources
  – If Any Property Check Fails, the Message is Suppressed

• Current Version of STITCHES Also Supports MAC (Message Authentication Codes) for Crypto Signatures

HCAL: Heterogeneous CAL
CAL: Critical Abstraction Layer
MAC: Message Authentication Code
EM: Execution Monitor
Synchronizing Transforms Handle the Stateful Logic for Asynchronous Message Inputs

• Case 1: Combine Messages From Multiple Sources
  – Example: Tag EO Messages with GPS Time
  – Messages are still independent, so no requirement on message delivery
  – First Argument is FIFO (First In, First Out) Processed, All Others are Port Sampled (Most Recently Received)

• Case 2: Fragmentation Logic Differences Between Source and Destination
  – Example: STANAG 4607 (Dwell is sent as a sequence of Header, Data[], Trailer)
  – Must Impedance match between different fragmentation standards
  – Messages are dependent – If you drop one, the entire dwell is invalid
  – Interface is defined by the Logical Message (Dwell), but implemented “Virtually” by a sequence of messages (subfields of Dwell)
  – Only the first argument of a Synchronizing Transform can be implemented “Virtually”

• Synch Transforms Use a Simple State Machine Construct with Three Actions
  – Init Block: Construct Persistent Context Variables
  – Inc Block: Increment the current state (mutation!) based on the new message
  – Term Block: Finish processing the current context and then close it
A Simple Example: Defragmenting a Dwell

**AR.Radar.Message3**
- header: AR.Radar.Header
- dets[:32]: AR.Radar.Data
- trailer: AR.Radar.Trailer

**AR.Radar.Header**
- Source: AR.Radar.Source
- Time: AR.Radar.Time
- Cov: AR.Radar.Coverage
- PD: AR.Radar.PD

**AR.Radar.Data**
- dets[:16]:AR.Radar.Det

**AR.Tracker.Message1**
- Source: AR.Tracker.Source
- Time: AR.Tracker.Time
- Coverage: AR.Tracker.Coverage
- ProbDetect: AR.Tracker.ProbDetect
- NumDetects: AR.Tracker.NumDetects
- Dets[:512]: AR.Tracker.Detections

Source Msg: AR.Radar.Message3 (Virtual)
Destination Msg: AR.Tracker.Message1

**Context Variable**
- detections[:512]:AR.Radar.Det;

**Init Bind on AR.Radar.Header**
- Source = Assign(in.Source);
- Time = Assign(in.Time);
- Coverage = Assign(in.Cov);
- ProbDetect = Assign(in.PD);

**Inc Bind on AR.Radar.Data**
- Append(detections, in);

**Term Bind on AR.Radar.Trailer**
- Dets=Assign(detections);
- NumDetects = AR.Tracker.NumDetects {Value = Len(detections)};
- send out;

**Special Variables:**
- in: message just received
- out: instance of Destination message
Hierarchical SoSes

System of Systems applies hierarchically
- Components into an electronic “box”
- Boxes connected via an avionics bus
- Avionics buses on an aircraft
- Aircraft in a flight

Every System of Systems is a System and is Composed of Systems
- We use System of Systems (SoS) for the composed system
- We use SubSystem (SS) for the systems being composed

- Incorporate SSes that are Actually Implemented as SoSes (SSS)
- What Makes a Subsystem a Subsystem (to STITCHES)?
  - Provides a Set of Interfaces for SoS Composition
  - We Don’t Need to Understand Where Those Interfaces are Implemented (Abstraction of Interfaces Allows Efficient Hierarchical SoS Composition)
Consider a Subsystem Defined by a Simple SoS Configuration with (Pseudo) Spec

• Consider a Simple 3 SS SoS
  – Radar feeds a Tracker
  – Tracker feeds a Display
• Define a Single Config SoS SS (SC-SSS)
  – Internal Connections Define How the SC-SSS is Wired Up
  – External Interfaces Define How Other SSes can Interact with it
  – External Interfaces are Implemented by an Internal Interface
• RTD Can Now Be Used as a SS in Other SoS Configurations
  – Note: A SS can only be directly used in a Single SoS

Subsystems:
- R1: Int1:R1.Msg
- T: Int1:T.In; Int2:T.Out
- D: Int1:D.In

Connections:
- R1: Int1:R1.Msg -> T: Int1:T.In
- T: Int2:T.Out -> D: Int1:D.In

RTD: Single Config SSS
Subsystems: R1; T; D
Internal Connections:
- R1: Int1:R1.Msg -> T: Int1:T.In
- T: Int2:T.Out -> D: Int1:D.In
External Interfaces:
- Int1:D.In <- D: Int1:D.In
Composing a SSS with Other SSes

- Consider a Simple 3 SS SoS
  - WAMI feeds a WAMI Tracker
  - WAMI Tracker feeds an RTD
- DSE Will Solve for RTD, then Compose
  - Mitigate Sub-optimality by Maximizing Margin on SSes that Implemented SSS Interfaces (D in this case)
  - Assembles HCAL constraints on D from both RTD Composition and W-T-RTD Composition
  - Early prototype of real-world functionality to support SSes used in Multiple SoSes

Subsystems:
- W: Int1:W.Msg
- WT: Int1:WT.In; Int2:WT.Out
- RTD: Int1:D.In

Connections:
- W: Int1:W.Msg→WT: Int1:WT.In
- WT: Int2:WT.Out→RTD: Int1:D.In
Handling Resilient Configurations is a State Dependent Transform

• STITCHES Uses Small Optimized Interfaces Tailored for Specific Configuration
  – Transforms remove information that the destination doesn’t need
  – Interfaces that aren’t used are blocked to reduce attack surface and minimize waste network traffic

• Traditional OSA Operates at the Other End of the Spectrum
  – Translate all SS interfaces/messages to global standard for open integration
  – Result is flexibility in real-time updates, but with sub-optimal performance

• Augment STITCHES to Generate HCALs with Configuration Switch Layers
  – Filter messages across the stacks and to/from SSes based on the determined Configuration State
  – Operate as a State Dependent Synchronizing Transform
  – Can Optimize the Sub-Stacks Based on the Specific State Configuration

• Note: STITCHES Does Not Reason Over What the State Should Be
  – This is a Hard Problem and Out of Scope of Our Effort
  – Configuration State is Managed by External Source (Mission Management Software, User, etc.) and Provided Via State Management Messages
  – Current Version Doesn’t Manage Transients Robustly
Resilient Configurations as SSS

- Consider a Simple 3 SS Resilient SoS
  - Radar 1 (R1) feeds a Tracker
  - Radar 2 (R2) is a backup feed for Tracker
  - R1 & R2 interfaces don’t need to be the same but must be “equivalent”
- Define a Resilient SoS SS (RC-SSS)
  - Multi-Mode, with a different SS “active” in each Mode
  - Define set of external interfaces
  - Define which SS implements the External Interfaces in Each Mode
- R_R Can Now Be Used as a SS in Other SoS Configurations
  - Which Radar is Active will Change with Mode Switch Message

Subsystems:
- R1: Int1:R1.Msg
- R2: Int1:R2.Msg
- T: Int1:T.In; Int2:T.Out

R_R: Resilient Config SSS
Modes:
- Mode1: R1
- Mode2: R2

External Interfaces:
- RROut:CatRROut
- Mode1Impl:R1:Int1:R1.Msg
- Mode2Impl:R2:Int1:R2.Msg

Connections:
- R_R:RROut:CatRROut->T:Int1:T.In
Resilient Config SSS Can Be Composed of Multiple Single Config SSSes

**Subsystems:**
- R1: Int1:R1.Msg
- R2: Int1:R2.Msg
- T1: Int1:T.In; Int2:T.Out
- T2: Int1:T.In; Int2:T.Out
- D: Int1:D.In

**RT1: Single Config SSS**
- **Subsystems:** R1; T1
- **Internal Connections:**
  - R1:Int1:R1.Msg -> T1:Int1:T.In
- **External Interfaces:**
  - Int1:T.Out <- T1:Int2:T.Out

**RT2: Single Config SSS**
- **Subsystems:** R2; T2
- **Internal Connections:**
  - R2:Int1:R2.Msg -> T2:Int1:T.In
- **External Interfaces:**
  - Int1:T.Out <- T2:Int2:T.Out

**R_RT: Resilient Config SSS**
- **Modes:**
  - Mode1: RT1
  - Mode2: RT2
- **External Interfaces:**
  - R_RTOut: CatRTOut
    - Mode1Impl: Int1:T.Out
    - Mode2Impl: Int1:T.Out
- **Connections:**
  - R_RT: R_RTOut: CatRTOut -> D: Int1:D.In

Mode Switch Messages Will Switch btw
- Mode 1: R1->T1->D
- Mode 2: R2->T2->D
Single Config SSS Can Be Composed from Multiple Resilient Config SSSes

Subsystems: (Same as Before)

R_R: Resilient Config SSS
  Modes:
    Mode1: R1
    Mode2: R2
  External Interfaces:
    RROut: CatRROut
      Mode1Impl: Int1:R1.Msg
      Mode2Impl: Int1:R2.Msg

R_T: Resilient Config SSS
  Modes:
    Mode1: T1
    Mode2: T2
  External Interfaces:
    RTIn: CatRTIn
      Mode1Impl: Int1:T.In
      Mode2Impl: Int1:T.In
    RTOut: CatRTOut
      Mode1Impl: Int2:T.Out
      Mode2Impl: Int2:T.Out

SC_R_RT: Single Config SSS
  Subsystems: R_R; R_T
  Internal Connections:
    R_R:RROut:CatRROut->R_T:RTIn:CatRTIN
  External Interfaces:
    Int1:CatRTOut <-> R_T:Int2:CatRTOut
  Connections:
    SC_R_RT:Int1:CatRTOut->D:Int1:D.In

Mode Switch Messages Will Switch btw
  Mode 11: R1->T1->D;  Mode 12: R1->T2->D
  Mode 21: R2->T1->D;  Mode 22: R2->T2->D
Demonstrated Capabilities

• Global Interoperability without Global Consensus on Interface Specification
  – Stateless Interactions (Message Transformations)
  – Stateful Interactions (Multiple Source Messages Required to Form Destination Message)
• Efficient Reuse in and Evolution of the Architecture
• Near Real-Time Construction of the SoS from Specification
• Optimized Implementation of Interfaces that are Small and Fast
  – Support for High Speed Packed Representations
• Allow Legacy Subsystems and Existing Open Architectures to Interoperate
• Cyber Defenses via Heterogeneity & Run-Time Execution Monitors
• Hierarchical & Resilient SoS Configurations that simplify complexity of large SoSes