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What we do:

- **Engineer, Military Police, and CBRN Materiel Requirements**
  - Write the CDDs and CPDs necessary to define requirements and allow funding to be established
  - Coordinate with Concepts, Organization and Doctrine Division
  - Input to Capabilities Needs Analysis (CNA)
  - Input to other CoE led CDD/CPDs
  - Input to LIRA/CPR
  - Doctrine, Tactics, Techniques training (post NET)
DoDI 5000.02 dated 7 Jan 2015 Establishes policy for the management of all acquisition programs.
Chemical Corps Pre-2001

- ~ 30% more force structure
- No concept of CWMD or WMD—Elimination (no doctrine, strategy, policy, etc…)
- 9 different kind of Chemical Companies across all compos
- NBC NCOs in EVERY company in the Army
- M8 Alarm, M8 paper, and M256 Kit considered the “high-end” detection equip.
- No Active Compo CBRN BDE
- No 20th CBRNE Command
- JA/JB Teams in the active component
- Focused on Protection as part of Passive Defense (PD) – reactive, right-of-boom
- Technical Escort Unit (TEU) under AMC vs. FORSCOM (TDA Structure)
- Homeland Defense not understood or embraced
- TEU part of AMC & focused on the Surety mission with over 50% civilian structure
- Quality/consistent NBC training at CTCs & unit level down to Cos (focused on PD)
- All SMCT NBC skills still considered proficient after the Desert Storm I backlash
- NBC vs. CBRN
Our Mission
The Chemical Corps conducts CBRN operations in order to protect the force and the nation from WMD/CBRN threats and hazards.

Commandant’s Vision
Dragon Soldiers, America’s CBRN counterforce. World leader in countering weapons of mass destruction defense, guarding the force and protecting the homeland.

Our Core Competencies
- Advise commanders regarding CBRN defense and CWMD missions
- Conduct CBRN reconnaissance and surveillance
- Shield/protect friendly forces against CBRN hazards
- Consequence Management

Our Campaign Plan
Line of Effort 1 – Train Chemical Soldiers, units, and staffs
Line of Effort 2 – Develop Chemical Corps leaders
Line of Effort 3 – Enhance and expand CWMD/CBRN defense capability
Line of Effort 4 – Take care of our profession
Line of Effort 5 – Build and maintain international partner capacity

Endstate
A force trained and ready for operations across the Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction mission spectrum

We Support the Force
Integrated approach to building capability and capacity, together

The Big 3 – “What We Are For”
- Support the Warfighter
- Increase Readiness
- Build Capability and Capacity

Combined Efforts
- Doctrine
- Organization
- Training & Ldr Dev
- Material

Seek ways to improve:
- AOC
- Maneuver Spt Concept
- “4 Pillars” of Readiness

CBRN Imperatives:
- Early Warning
- Protection
- Mitigation

Focused to best execute the CWMD mission set, in order to gain advantage for the strategic win.
Future Efforts??

Things we are doing to improve:

• Establish better communication with those performing 6.1 level research
  • Identify potential ‘game changing’ technology to inform requirements
• Establish better communication with Industry
• Write better Requirements
  • No requirements geared narrowly to a specific technology unless that technology is a potential Revolution in Military Affairs
  • No performance specification without a reason. Defend why Threshold is Threshold from an Operationally relevant position
  • Don’t drive Test costs unnecessarily high
  • Clearly communicate the highest priorities within a requirement document

Things that might make a difference:

• Non-specific Threat Detection
• Reduced Logistics burden for Decon (non-aqueous? Self-deconning? Disposable? A solution for those ‘hard to reach places’? Better TTPs?)
• No more MOPP suits or gas masks
• Increased Early Warning capability (identify, communicate, and avoid the hazard)
• Anything that preserves combat power for combat
Possible JCIDS Reforms

These are only Possible – not adopted.

- Weighted Requirements – more clearly communicate what the CoE considered the most valuable KPP/KSA/APAs

- Establish when appropriate, levels between Threshold and Objective (inform VATEP, incentivize performance above Threshold for the most valued aspects)

- Centers of Excellence co-author documents to industry

- More open communication with CoEs and PMs for those interested in responding to proposal (allow on the spot clarification of unclear requirements)
QUESTIONS?
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BACKUP
Material Development Decision (MDD)

Solution Analysis

DoD: Materiel Solution Analysis Phase

- Begin translating validated capability gaps into system-specific requirements including the Key Performance Parameters (KPPs), Key System Attributes (KSAs).
- Conduct planning to support a decision on the acquisition strategy for the product.
- Analysis of Alternative (AoA) solutions, key trades between cost and performance, affordability analysis, risk analysis, Market Research and planning for risk mitigation are key activities in this phase.
- Component Acquisition Executive selects a Program Manager and establishes a program office to plan the acquisition program with emphasis on the next phase. (AT MDD)
Milestone A

Risk Reduction Decision (DoD: Milestone A)

Technology Maturation and Risk Reduction

DoD: Technology Maturation and Risk Reduction Phase
- Includes additional design trades and requirements trades necessary to ensure an affordable product and executable development and production programs.
- Includes competitive sources conducting technology maturation and risk reduction activities and preliminary design activities up to and including Preliminary Design Review prior to source selection for the EMD phase.

An investment decision to pursue specific product or design concepts, and to commit resources required to mature technology

Requirements Decision Point (DoD: CDD Validation)

Development RFP Release Decision

Full Rate Production/Full Deployment
FUE

Major cost and performance trades have been completed and enough risk reduction has been completed to support a decision to commit to the set of requirements that will be used for preliminary design activities, development, and production (subject to reconsideration and refinement as knowledge increases).

Planning for development is complete and a decision can be made to release an RFP for development (and possibly initial production) to industry.

FUE = First Unit Equipped
TC/MR = Type Classification / Material Release
SOW = Statement of Work
PD = Purchase Description
RFP = Request for Proposal
CDD = Capability Development Document
TRL = Technology Readiness Level
**Some programs, IOT&E can come after Milestone C**

**Development Decision (DoD: Milestone B)**

The decision that commits resources (authorizes proceeding to award of the contract(s)) needed to conduct development leading to production and fielding of the product.

**Development**

**DoD: Engineering and Manufacturing Development Phase**

- Complete all hardware and software detailed design.
- Retire any open risks.
- Build and test prototypes (IOT&E) or first articles to verify compliance with capability requirements.
- Prepare for production or deployment.
- **Successful completion of adequate developmental testing to support entering Low-Rate Initial Production or Limited deployment.**

**Key Terms:**
- FUE = First Unit Equipped
- TC/MR = Type Classification / Material Release
- SOW = Statement of Work
- PD = Purchase Description
- RFP = Request for Proposal
- CDD = Capability Development Document
Milestone C

Initial Production Decision (DoD): Milestone C

Low-Rate Initial Production (LRIP) or Limited Deployment and Operational Test

DoD: Production and Deployment Phase
- LRIP establishes the initial production base for the system, provides operational test articles, provides an efficient ramp up to full rate production, and maintains continuity in production pending completion of operational test and evaluation.
- While this portion of the phase should be of limited duration so that efficient production rates and/or full fielding can be accomplished as soon and as economically as possible, it should be of sufficient duration to permit identification and resolution of any significant deficiencies prior to full rate production.

Full-Rate Production / Full Deployment

Production, Deployment and Sustainment

DoD: Production and Deployment Phase, continued...
- Remaining production or deployment of the product is completed, leading to Full Operational Capability or Full Deployment.
- Except as specifically approved by the MDA, critical deficiencies identified in testing will be resolved prior to proceeding beyond LRIP or Limited Deployment.

- OPA $ or APA $ for AMMO/Class V
- The decision, following completion of operational testing of representative initial production products, to scale-up production and/or fielding.
Operations and Support Phase

DoD: Operations and Support Phase

- The product support strategy is executed to satisfy materiel readiness and operational support performance requirements, and sustain the system over its life cycle.
- At the end of its useful life, system is demilitarized and disposed of in accordance with all legal and regulatory requirements and policy relating to safety (including explosives safety), security, and the environment.

FUE = First Unit Equipped
TC/MR = Type Classification / Material Release
SOW = Statement of Work
PD = Purchase Description
RFP = Request for Proposal
CDD = Capability Development Document
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Readiness Levels</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Basic principles observed and reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Technology concept and/or application formulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof-of-concept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Component and/or breadboard validation in laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Component and/or breadboard validation in relevant environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment (ground or space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>System prototype demonstration in a space environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Actual system completed and “flight qualified” through test and demonstration (ground or space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Actual system “flight proven” through successful mission operations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proof of Concept. Scientific Study**

**RDT&E**

- Approved CDD w/ CARDS number.
- Funding
- Can be a Program Of Record

**RDT&E =** Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation

**CDD =** Capability Development Document
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JCIDS Document</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>TRL Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICD or Abbreviated CDD</td>
<td>Milestone A</td>
<td>5 or lower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDD</td>
<td>Milestone B</td>
<td>6/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>Milestone C</td>
<td>8/9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requirements for WWS of JCIDS CDDs/CPDs

- Analysis of Alternatives (AoA)
- Cost-Benefit Analysis (CBA)
- Technology Readiness Level Assessment (PM)
- Life Cycle Cost Estimate (PM)
- Program Summary Paragraph (PM)
- Capability Development Document (CDD) or Capability Development Document (CPD)
- System Training Plan (STRAP)
- Architecture Products
Joint Concept for CBRN Hazard Awareness and Understanding (HAU)

- Developed by the Joint Staff in 2010
- Consistent with the CWMD JIC 2008, CCJO 2009, and the JOE 2010
- 2016–2028, describes how the JFC will employ/conducts CBRN hazard awareness and understanding
- Focused on S&T and experimentation…informs DOTMLPF-P
- The Military Problem: the Joint Force cannot achieve complete awareness of CBRN hazards. Further, the Joint Force lacks the capabilities necessary to attain shared situational understanding of the implications these hazards pose to joint force operations.
- The Central Idea: The Joint Force achieves near real-time comprehension of the character, nature, or subtleties of WMD threat, neutral CBRN sources, and CBRN hazards to aid decision making and risk communication by employing battlespace awareness and command and control capabilities and processes.

Joint Concept Prospectus: WMD Early Warning

- Refreshes the 2010 CBRN HAU concept (CCJO 2012, JOE 2035, and Army Operating Concept)
- Based on the tactical commander’s need to generate space, that creates time, for the commander to make informed decisions
- Describes WMD Environment, WMD Awareness, and CBRN Situational Understanding
- The military challenge: “In 2025–2040, how will the tactical commander make proactive decisions to protect forces and critical assets while operating in a WMD Environment?”
- The Central Idea: “To provide a functionally integrated WMD Early Warning framework that allows commanders to make proactive decisions that enable survivability, maintain Freedom of Movement & Maneuver, and Freedom of Action.”