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Background on the Digital System Model

• “The Digital System Model (DSM) is a digital representation of a defense system, generated by all stakeholders, that integrates the authoritative technical data and associated artifacts which define all aspects of the system for the specific activities throughout the system lifecycle.”*

• Evolving, cohesive representation and unifying instantiation of the system across the acquisition lifecycle
  - Increase efficiency of activities and confidence in decisions

• Creation and evolution of the DSM will not require new methods or artifacts

* From the Defense Acquisition University Glossary
Subcommittee on the Essential Elements of the Digital System Model – Charter

• Define the essential elements of the Digital System Model as it evolves over the Defense Systems Acquisition Life Cycle

• Using the Identification of Modeling & Simulation Capabilities by Acquisition Life Cycle Phases as a basis:
  - For each major acquisition activity of each phase identify:
    - The data the digital system model must contain to support initiating that activity
    - The new (or updated) information that can be put in the digital system model at the conclusion of that activity
  - For each M&S capability that can support the major acquisition activities identify:
    - The data for running that M&S capability that should come from the digital system model
    - The data from the M&S results that should get put into the digital system model

• Identify existing standards, if any, for each essential element

• Provide a final report on the findings of the subcommittee
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Subcommittee Process

• Initial subcommittee formation at 20 August 2013 NDIA SE M&S Committee meeting
  - Formal Study Kick-Off at 11 February 2014 NDIA SE M&S Committee Meeting

• Sub-teams formed, one for each Phase of the DoD Acquisition Life Cycle

• Data collection spreadsheet designed and distributed

• Bi-weekly teleconferences scheduled

• Face-to-face meetings at numerous NDIA SE M&S Committee meetings

• Formal modeling of information initiated in May 2014
### Phase: Technology Maturation and Risk Reduction

**Data Inputs:**
- Cost data for design, build, sustainment
- Expected reliability
- Success criteria
- Functional Architecture
- Physical Architecture
- Functional Interface Definition
- Physical Interface Definition
- Operational Concept
- Functional Transformations
- Performance Requirements/Constraints
- Operator Interface Definition
- Size, power, weight allocations to subsystems
- Performance data
- Allocations of reliability to subsystems
- Characteristics of usability
- Non-combat usecases
- Predicted non-recurring, recurring, and sustainment costs
- Tolerances (tooling) and variations (commonality)
- Material constraints
- Test cases
- Functional allocation to prototype
- Performance expectations for prototype system
- SOS architecture, interfaces
- Operational environment, CONOPS, validated scenarios, mission description, threat representation

**Level 2 Acquisition/SE Activity:**
- Development & technology risk reduction
- System integration
- Design
- Prototyping
- Military utility assessment

**Data Outputs:**
- Update cost model and ID cost reduction initiatives
- Update reliability growth curves and validate or correct the KPPs
- Validated Functional Architecture
- Validated Physical Architecture
- Validated Functional Interface Definition
- Validated Physical Interface Definition
- Validated Functional Transformations
- Rebalanced size, weight and power allocations
- Updated performance data
- Feedback from characterizing functions and validated or corrected performance
- Identified emergent behaviors
- Validated performance or performance gaps
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 2 Acquisition/SE Activity</th>
<th>Data Inputs</th>
<th>M&amp;S Capability</th>
<th>Data Outputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development &amp; technology risk reduction</td>
<td>Engineering-level simulation</td>
<td>Virtual system simulation</td>
<td>Mission-level simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering-level simulation</td>
<td>Modeling of the natural environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System integration</td>
<td>Mission-level simulation</td>
<td>Virtual system simulation</td>
<td>Engineering-level simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Mission-level simulation</td>
<td>Virtual system simulation</td>
<td>Virtual system simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering-level simulation</td>
<td>Modeling of the natural environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Software modeling</td>
<td>Mechanical design modeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototyping</td>
<td>Reliability modeling</td>
<td>Maintenance simulation</td>
<td>Survivability simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life-cycle cost modeling</td>
<td>Engineering-level simulation</td>
<td>Mission-level simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military utility assessment</td>
<td>Manufacturing process modeling/simulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mission-level simulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Defining an Essential Element

• “Use” characteristics of a DSM essential element:
  - Required by an acquisition activity or M&S capability for all types of systems
  - Required to make decisions during the lifecycle
  - Used in more than one acquisition activity during the lifecycle
  - Used by more than one organization, or discipline, during the lifecycle

• “Impact” characteristics of a DSM essential element:
  - Required by DoD acquisition policies and/or best practices
  - If it is changed, it will impact other elements or the system
  - Required to complete all activities in the acquisition process

• An essential element of the DSM is information and/or data that:
  - if missing, prevents subsequent acquisition activities from being performed; or
  - is required to make decisions at formal Decision Points and Milestone Decisions identified in the acquisition life cycle.
Modeling the Information

• Spreadsheets quickly became too cumbersome
  - Integrating the data was challenging
  - Analyzing the data was difficult

• Offer from Steve Dam, SPEC Innovations, for free use of Innoslate® by the entire Study Team

• Demonstration session and development of initial set of modeling conventions
  - Modeling conventions have continued to evolve

• Technical interchange with MITRE on the Acquisition Guidance Model (AGM)
  - Useful information contained in AGM that can be folded into the model the Study Team is developing
Overview of the Model

- All phases of the DoD acquisition lifecycle
- 283 distinct acquisition and modeling & simulation activities
- 7 milestones / decisions
- 588 distinct input/output items
  - 211 of which have been tagged as “essential elements”
Conduct Analysis of Alternatives
Manufacturing Procedures
Life Cycle Sustainment Plan (LCSP)
Refine LCSP
Study Results and Benefits

• Provides guidance to Government contracting officers and program managers:
  - Identifies the data and information that Government produces and Industry needs
  - Identifies the data and information that Industry produces and the Government needs

• Formal model provides a platform for further analysis:
  - Identifying areas where the digital system model can impact the effectiveness of the acquisition process
  - Guiding improvements in how modeling and simulation capabilities and data can better support the digital system model and the acquisition process
  - Assessing how potential changes to the acquisition process will affect the generation and use of the data and information contained within the digital system model
Availability of the Study Report and Model

• Complete the Study Final Report
  - Anticipate completion in December 2015 followed by the NDIA internal review / approval process

• The model will be made available in two forms:
  - A static view for those who want to look at the model – most likely in .pdf format
  - A format that will allow individuals to use the model data – most likely in MS Excel format

• Anticipate making annual updates to the model to incorporate feedback provided by the community

• Approved Final Report and the model will be posted on M&S Committee website
Questions?