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Provide our Army and the Joint Force trained and ready Transporters / Logisticians and synchronize deployment and distribution to enable Unified Land Operations.

Mission:
Train, educate, and deliver professional transporters and sustainers; develop doctrine, concepts, capabilities and force structure to deploy expeditionary forces and distribute materiel to Army and Joint organizations conducting Unified Land Operations in a JIIM environment.

TC Vision:
Our Army’s deployment and distribution experts, effectively supporting expeditionary forces; The Spearhead of Logistics!
Strategic Environment

- Support to ongoing operations remains the priority
  - More than 66,000 Soldiers deployed for various contingencies
- Drawing down the Army—before conflict ends
  - Force Structure: 490,000 Active Army Soldiers in FY15 but then “?”
- Plenty of **Uncertainty**
  - Threat: Complicated and rapidly changing security environment
  - Resources: Sustained, fiscal uncertainty
    - Army absorbed ~$170B in cuts already
    - Modernization accounts down 39% from FY12 planning cycle
- Army must balance: End Strength, Readiness, Modernization

Goal: Lean, more capable, expeditionary forces
TWV Portfolio Priorities

1. **Provide JLTV/MRAP level of protection**
   - Procure Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV)
   - Recapitalize or procure to ≥ 50% Armor Capable
   - Integrate ACO capability to maximize TWV operator protection

2. **Network Interoperability and Mission Command**
   - Ensure sufficient available platforms to host the network as it is fielded

3. **Reduce fleet age and operational costs**
   - Procurement/Recapitalization for MTV, HTV (HMMWV recapitalization /reset, while fielding JLTV)
   - Divestment to meet new requirements from Army 2020
   - Reduce Logistics footprint through advanced technologies (Operational Effectiveness/Operational Energy and ACO capability development initiatives)

4. **Procure additional protection**
   - Procure to ≥ 30% B-Kit on-hand

5. **Maintain Anti-Access/Area Denial (A2/AD) capability**
   - Maintain Armored Air Assault and Low Velocity Air Drop Capabilities
Tactical Wheeled Vehicles

• Today’s TWV Fleet
  • Beneficiary of substantial, rapid, warfighter-focused investment over the past decade
  • Healthy with a relatively low average fleet age
  • Offers greater capability and protection than predecessors
  • *Much improved protection, but at the cost of mobility and performance*

• FY15 Budget Request TWV Objectives (across FYDP)
  • Initiate production of the Joint Light Tactical Vehicle
  • Conclude most medium & heavy production programs
  • Progress toward procurement of new Heavy Dump Truck
  • Transition fleets to sustainment with low average fleet ages
  • Divest older platforms to reduce sustainment costs and manage fleet ages
  • Continue fielding protection kits
  • Support S&T efforts linked to future program insertion opportunities
  • Begin to explore next programs, like a Joint Medium Truck
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avg Ages</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Mission Roles</th>
<th>Future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>5-6 yrs</td>
<td>~120,000</td>
<td>JLTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mission Command</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Troop / Cargo / Shelter Carrier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Security</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>8 yrs</td>
<td>~80,000</td>
<td>Joint Medium Truck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Troop / Cargo Carrier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Line Haul</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Non-transport Missions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mission Command</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>6-7 yrs</td>
<td>~40,000</td>
<td>20T Dump Truck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Recovery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Line Haul</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRAP</td>
<td>4 yrs</td>
<td>8,585</td>
<td>Enduring Requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Purpose built</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Protected Mobility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Troop Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mission Command</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requirements Management & Analysis Plan (RMAP):
• Program Schedule, Event-Driven Process
  ➢ Knowledge Points Inform Service Senior Leaders on capabilities document development throughout a program phase

Streamlined Acquisition Strategy:
• Increased probability of delivering on time and within budget (i.e. Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) Phase condensed from 48 months to 33 months)
• Competitive prototyping & testing in Technical Development (TD) phase allowed the Services to demonstrate achievable operational requirements

Industry Partnership:
• Program allowed to sustain a competitive environment in TD, and EMD phases (Three vendors provided 12 variant prototypes in TD phase. Three vendors provided 22 variant prototypes in EMD phase.)

Testing Community Partnership:
• Testing early in TD & EMD Phases to prove maturity of technology to meet requirements
• Unprecedented ballistic testing to validate Force Protection and Survivability KPPs.
TWV Requirement Reductions

**Study Summary**

- **TWV Studies I, II, III; and TAA 14-18**
  - (-) 29,200

- **Army 2020 TWV IV Reduction Study**
  - (-) 13,363

**Way Ahead**

- More TWV Requirement Reductions probable
- JLTV Increment I quantity remains 49,099
- JLTV will make up a large % of the LTV fleet

**TWV V**

Reduced 575 TWV requirements in the operating force.

**NDIA TWV Conference**
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Equipment Modernization Approach

- Incremental improvements
  - Modernize existing critical systems and build new to address key gaps
- Divest older systems and niche capabilities
  - Decrease sustainment costs and re-allocate resources
- Slow procurement and limit quantities
  - Cannot afford to provide the most advanced equipment across the force
- Insert technologies and capability improvements only as needed
  - Leverage commercial investment where we are “technology-takers”
  - Focus S&T investment where we are “technology-makers”
- Scrutinize each equipment decision
  - Ensure affordability within the overall budget and cost-effectiveness in addressing capability gaps.
Technology Description: Automated Convoy Operations (ACO) is a system designed to incorporate automated capabilities into existing TWVs. These vehicles are designed to operate with minimal human input to accomplish an assigned mission. ACO will utilize a series of sensors including radar, light detection and ranging (lidar), cameras and GPS to determine and navigate the most appropriate route. ACO can operate within purely automated convoys or in conjunction with manned vehicles. ACO vehicles can be controlled and assigned a mission remotely through the use of an operator control unit (OCU).

Automated Convoy Operations JCIDS Summary

Way Ahead: Continue to execute ACO Requirements Management Analysis Plan (RMAP) Knowledge Point process to further develop the Draft ACO CDD

Potential to maximize unit operational effectiveness, improve soldier protection and Significantly reduce Life Cycle Sustainment Costs.
Future Considerations

- How do we best align requirements, S&T, and programs?
  - Long-range analyses to identify technology insertions
  - JLTV as model for future acquisitions
  - Look to CSA’s imperatives for 2025 and beyond
- How can we better manage fleets?
- What industrial base do we need and how do we shape it?
- How do we invest to reduce sustainment costs?
- What technologies are ready now?
  - Operational Energy / efficiency
  - Survivability & Mobility
  - Partnerships (Joint)
  - Commonality
  - Autonomous Operations
Questions
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### Capabilities Document Current & Projected Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Worldwide Staffing</th>
<th>ARCIC Validation</th>
<th>AROC Staffing</th>
<th>HQDA Approval</th>
<th>JROC Staffing</th>
<th>DoD Approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FMTV CPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jun 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JLTV CPD</td>
<td>17-Feb-14</td>
<td>17-Feb-14</td>
<td>15-May-14</td>
<td>15-Jun-14</td>
<td>30-Jun-14</td>
<td>1-Jul-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACO CDD</td>
<td>1-Sep-17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HETS CPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13-Dec-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEMTT CPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15-Mar-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLS CPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 Apr 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHT CPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 Apr 14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Initiatives

* **HETS, PLS, LHT, HEMTT, FMTV**: Update CPDs to include objective leader follower automation addendum

* **PLS, LHT, and FMTV**: Update CPDs to reflect increased underbody protection, once capability is demonstrated

* **FMTV**: Update CPD to include Armored Ambulance and Armored Troop Carrier variants, by FY16

* **HETS**: Update CPD to reflect increased protection, once capability is demonstrated

* **UAH**: Update improved force protection and performance (Objectives)

* Represent the ORD to CPD conversion only. There is a second CPD update envisioned in 2015.
To counter enemy attacks, TWVs have increased force protection.

Increased protection has bought back performance and payload.
Future TWV Capabilities

**TWV Future Capabilities (2020+)**

**Protection**
- Armor Kits
- Active Protection
- NBC Protection
- Environmental Control
- Weapons Mounts
- Non-Lethal Protection
- Full Automation

**Operational Range**
- Increased power train efficiency
- EPA emission compliant
- Fuel Efficiency
- Pay load Ton Miles Per Gallon

**Mobility**
- Improve Cross Country Range (RCI)
- Improved Ride Limiting Speeds
- Improve Ride Quality
- Independent suspension
- Drive by wire

**Maintainability/RA**
- Imbedded Diagnostics/Prognostics
- Program reliability growth
- Condition Based Maintenance Plus (CBM+)

**Safety**
- Lateral Stability
- Emergency Braking
- Restraint System
- Crash Protection
- Fire Suppression

**Force Sustainment**
- Operational Energy compliant
- Power Generation
- Water Generation

**C4ISR**
- Victory Architecture Compliant
- GCSS Army architecture enabled
- Situational Awareness

**Deployability**
- Weight Reduction
- Internal/External transport

**Distribution**
- Multifunctional Intelligent Load Handling System (ILHS)
- Capable of Autonomous operation
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