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SEI Objectives

The SEI works to:

• Identify, research, evaluate, and advise on software engineering technologies, trends, and practices
• Collaborate with and leverage work found in industrial research, academia, and government laboratories
• Mature promising software engineering technologies to enable standards, transition, and adoption
• Enable government & industry organizations to make measured improvements in their software engineering practices
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Background: The problem
What Generates the Need for Sustainment Work?
The system dynamics model and gaps as forces
Scenarios (threat, support technology, workforce)
Outcomes of scenarios
Plans
Background

DoD: Rising cost of software sustainment

Big, complicated problem
• Multiple stakeholders with different value systems decide budgets
• Constantly changing technology
• Constantly changing threat space
• Inventive users discovering new applications for products
• Highly coupled, interdependent environment (systems talk to each other)
• Unstructured and not well-managed portfolio of products

Making progress requires
• Portfolio approach to management
• Better funding, budgeting processes
• Better system architecture
• Better and improving sustainment processes
• ...
Research Questions

How can sustainment organizations constantly learn and adapt

1. How can we identify the funding needs?
2. What has to be learned for the next release?
3. What happens if/when funding is delayed?

What are the connections between stakeholders?

What are the opportunities to measure the business processes?
Need for Sustainment Work

Update requests come from many sources
Program: fighter aircraft
  • User community (warfighter, logistics)
  • External systems (C4I, supplies, maintenance)
Demand types:
  • Feature enhancement (new users, different uses, coalitions, new threat)
  • Performance improvement (technology opportunity or threat)
  • “Real options” – opportunity to invest for future value
  • Preventative measures: Information Assurance, security
  • Bug Fixes
  • Respond to External Change: New OS, new processor, new sensor
Gaps as Sustainment System Forces

System Gap: Difference between strategy and operations

- Mission Planning: effectively “strategic management” determines which battles are to be fought with what operational capabilities. The measure of interest is the capacity of current operational capability.
  - Capacity: how much work can be done (battles can we fight)?
  - Capability: what are the skills, tools and methods we can bring to the fight?
- Operations: Operational performance as a percentage of total capability and the measures of successful performance.

Sustainment Gap: Difference between operations and sustainment

- Sustainment: Close the gap between potential capacity and available capacity. Also update operational capability with new capabilities.

[Sustainment] Improvement Gap: Funds needed – Funds available

- Funds required to update sustainment capability to address operational capability and capacity concerns. Funding is often deferred or delayed.
How Gaps Act as Forces

System Gap (Strategy - Operations)Causes…
• Operations to request additional support from Sustainment.
  – Greater throughput = Increase your capacity to do sustainment; and do it faster.
  – Fix bugs that compromise operational capacity and capability.
  – Add enhancement to improve operational capability and capacity.
• Alternative: Go for a “Modernization Project” or new product.

Sustainment Gap (Operations – Sustainment) Causes …
• Sustainment organization to request updates to tools, skills and processes. Some internal improvement efforts will cover some of the work.
• Requests that require changes to technology of product or development process result in capital requests and training requests.

Improvement Gap (Improvement need – Funding) Causes …
• Both the sustainment gap and the systems gap to grow.
• Delays in funding or partial funding have the same effect on sustainment.
Systems Dynamics: Reinforcing and Balancing

Reinforcing and Balancing loops: “Limits to Growth Archetype”

“R” Reinforcing loops cause geometric growth rates.
“B” Balancing loops create exponential decay rates.
Growth and Underinvestment “Archetype”

Our “loops” are Operations, Sustainment, Sustainment Investment
System Performance Gap=Demand
Sustainment Performance =Performance
Sustainment = Growth and Underinvestment

Three Gaps but more processes to define the loops.
Simplified View of Simulation Model
Testing the Simulation with Scenarios

Test a Simulation by
1. Create a stable model with all forces and flows in equilibrium.
2. Plan scenarios to test the response.
3. Run scenarios and validate the responses (data or interviews).
4. Revise equations if needed.

Suggested Sustainment Scenarios
- New threat or opportunity involving a technology change is requested by planners.
  - Sustainers recognize requirement for changes to skills, tools and process.
  - Sustainers request capital funds to improve capability.
  - Test scenarios with different funding delays
- Sequestration leads to furloughs resulting in loss of sustainment capacity.
  - What are the responses?
  - Do responses match actuals
- Funding Delays
Outcomes

Avg Sustainment Performance

Time (Month)

fraction

0  0.4  0.55  0.7  0.85  1

0  6  12  18  24  30  36  42  48  54  60

Avg Sustainment Performance: low training - steady staff
Avg Sustainment Performance: med training - steady staff
Avg Sustainment Performance: high training - steady staff

Shows what happens as we increase or decrease training.
If we train less, productivity temporarily increases before attrition drains skills.
If we train more, we get an increase until we get to a new steady state.
Plans

Calibrate the model with a real sustaining organization
  • Sequestration and new technology changes will both help

Extend the model to investigate the additional problems of a portfolio
  • More projects create more opportunities for change
  • More projects help to balance resources without dependence on consultants
Benefits of Working with the SEI

Direct access to leading edge software engineering technology

Opportunity for transition of technology for competitive advantage

Non-disclosure policies protect proprietary information

Potential for licensing technology developed as a result of collaboration

Fast-track contracting for Federal organizations
Contact

Bob Ferguson
Software Engineering Institute
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
rwf@sei.cmu.edu

Sarah Sheard, PhD
Software Engineering Institute
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
sheard@sei.cmu.edu

Institute Web Address
http://www.sei.cmu.edu
Copyright 2013 Carnegie Mellon University

This material is based upon work funded and supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8721-05-C-0003 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense.

NO WARRANTY. THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN “AS-IS” BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution.

This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu. (DM-0000672)