Industry Panel

How Can Systems Engineering Help Improve Program Development and Execution in Times of Tight Budgets?

> Paul Bailey Chief Systems Engineer Raytheon Company

NDIA 14th Annual Systems Engineering Conference 29 Oct 2013

Perspective

- Looking through the lens of a Chief Engineer
 - Program and Business
- A lot of time spent fighting fires
 - Program schedule and technical difficulties
 - Test Failures
- In my experience, the vast majority of fires are started by inadequate front-end systems engineering



Just Two Examples...

- Integration of a Radar into a System-of-Systems
 - Problem: Attempt to develop Interface Specifications without having created a CONOPS and true functional allocation – "Just modify the existing ICD"
 - Outcome:
 - ICD and IRS took an interminable series of meetings to develop, delaying software development and testing –
 "Hmm, what might we use this field for?"
 - Desired functionality lacking "What do you mean I can't drop a track?"
- Integration of Existing Components into a Weapon System
 - Problem: Integrate the components without end-to-end analysis capability to support architectural trades and functional allocations – "Already been done for all the components"
 - Outcome:
 - System performance lacking "Less than the sum of the parts"
 - Test preparation capability inadequate "You assumed what?"

Now More Than Ever

Why this keeps happening

- Hard to quantify the benefit of avoiding future problems, rework, etc.
- Leveraging existing systems makes it easy to assume away the need
- In a cost constrained environment, SE can be a tempting target for perceived cost reduction

Why it can't happen any more

- Programs can't afford delays, rework and overruns due to inadequate or incomplete systems engineering
- The DoD can't afford to buy systems that are not all they can be
- The Warfighter can't afford the risk of system failures