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Objectives

• To generate discussion on the exploration of effective solutions to improve the conduct of test through fundamental and advanced research focused on the science of test

• To foster relationships that tighten the links between the R&D, product development, and test & evaluation worlds to enable all players to better understand each role, ultimately to enhance the test community’s ability to develop and implement effective, streamlined, and cost efficient testing approaches to support materiel development
Yuma Proving Ground - Mission

- Plan, conduct, analyze, and report the results of developmental tests, production tests, and other tests in the following capability areas:
  - Combat vehicles and automotive systems
  - Air delivery systems/airdrop
  - Aircraft systems - aircraft armaments and armament systems integration-rotary
  - Engineering equipment (demolition, mine systems, countermines, detection systems - hand-held, vehicle mounted, airborne, and clearing systems - explosive, mechanical)
  - Direct-fire systems (non missile/rocket) - Direct-fire munitions performance/acceptance
  - Electronic Countermeasures - Improvised Explosive Device (C-IED)
  - Indirect-fire systems (mortars, indirect-fire weapon systems, munitions performance, Smoke/obscurants)
  - Ground and airborne sensors
  - Unmanned aircraft systems (performance and weapons integration)
  - Extreme natural environment (desert, cold, tropics)

- Provide test and test support services for authorized customers within the Department of Defense (DoD) and outside DoD, including domestic and foreign government and nongovernmental organizations
Yuma Proving Ground - Test Centers

**Cold Regions Test Center**
- 670,713 acres; 254,000 acres of impact area
- Airspace designated user and range priority
- DoD’s only high speed closed circuit test track

**Tropic Regions Test Center**
- Access to ranges in three countries (Honduras, Panama, and Suriname) and the state of Hawaii
- Variety of microenvironments combining factors unable to reproduce simultaneously in a chamber
- Vehicle courses in Suriname and Panama, modifiable to meet customer test requirements

**Yuma Test Center**
- 838,000 acres; 1,300 square miles
- 8 Runways and 12 Air Delivery Drop Zones
- Execute an average of 100 active tests per day
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Unmanned Aircraft Systems

VARIOUS TEST OBJECTIVES
- Initial Flight
- Payload/Sensor
- Laser Designator
- Sensor & Target Acquisition Systems
- Cargo Delivery Systems
- Weapons Delivery
- Manned/Unmanned Teaming
- Endurance
- Air Vehicle Performance
- Operator Training
- High Altitude
- Long range

DIVERSE UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS
- Rotary Wing
- Fixed Wing
- Varied Launch and Recovery
  - Catapult
  - Vertical capture
  - Belly land
  - Runway
  - Hand thrown

U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground
Unmanned Aircraft Systems (cont.)

CHALLENGES
— Safety
— Risk Assessment
— RF Spectrum Approval
— Non-traditional Use Of Restricted Areas
— Training For UAS Support Personnel
— Support For Longer Range Flight Operations

FIRE SCOUT
PTDS2
ZEPHYR
FCS CLASS I
BATCAM
STUAS
KILLERBEE
UNMANNED LITTLE BIRD
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Unmanned Ground Systems

TESTING OF
- Countermine
- Cargo
- Reconnaissance
- Remote Hazard
- Vehicle Reliability, Availability and Maintainability (RAM)
- System Safety Testing
- Environmental Performance

ABILITY TO TEST INTEGRATING UGVs
- Sensors
- Fire Control
- Weapons

CHALLENGES
- Reliability
- Mobility
- Perception
- Pathing

Army Proven
Battle Ready
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The Science of Test

Some Definitions & Benefits

- Fundamental and advanced research related to overarching testing concepts that show promise to significantly enhance the ability to evaluate system performance and inform the developmental process.

- Developing or applying new technologies and methodologies to the test process in order to make it more efficient and effective. *Test Centers primarily focus here...*

- Reduced cost and shorten schedules; improve quality
  - Address Technology Gaps *here*
  - Leverage Customer Requirements *here*
  - Conduct Fundamental Research
  - Develop New Solutions, New Approaches for Unmanned Systems *and here*
  - Multi-Agent Systems
Address Technology Gaps

Customers: Test Officer, Analyst, etc.

1. Data Acquisition
2. Installation Campus Area Network (ICAN)
3. Plan & Data Management
4. Analyze
5. Report

Transport

Data Center
- Security
- Monitoring & management
- Applications, content & DBs
- Storage & backup
- Temp control
- Power conditioning
- Fire detection & suppression

Power, HVAC, Upgrade

Storage Upgrade

Wireless Mesh
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**Leverage Customer Requirements**

**Laser Bore Mapping System**

YPG partnered with industry to develop a system capable of gun-tube wear characterization previously not possible while also dramatically reducing the inspection turnaround time, shortening program timelines.

**Legacy Approach: Manual**

1. Place sensor head in gun tube
2. Manually manipulate to acquire measurements

- 100% manual operation, opportunity for user error
- Only 2 data points per planar x-section
- Measurement accuracy = +/- .001”
- Singular data file format output (.txt)
- Average of 4 hours per gun tube to fully inspect

**Improved Approach: Automated, Laser-based Meas.**

1. Place sensor head in gun tube
2. Automatically traverses, measures & records simultaneously

- 100% automated operation, eliminates human error
- 3000+ data points per planar x-section
- Measurement accuracy = +/- .0005”
- Many data file format output options (3D CAD files, etc.)
- Average of 1 hour per gun tube to fully inspect

**CAD File Output**
The Science of Test in Action

• Test Facility Development

Questions...
How should we test the robots?
What should a robotic vehicle test facility look like?

Answer...
Start by producing a concept video to enable the lab, development, and test environments to envision and collaborate on development of a test facility that meets the full spectrum of requirements, from specialty experimental features to service facilities, and validated test & evaluation capabilities.

• Partnering with Industry and Academia to tackle Science of Test challenges

Examples
• Antenna design for wireless IP deployment
• Data mining within Testing Knowledge Database (TKD)
• Testing networked multi-agent systems
• Socializing the Science of Test
New Solutions, New Approaches for Unmanned Systems

Infrastructure
• Existing facilities and test areas were designed for testing *manned* tactical and combat vehicles

Unmanned systems frequently have different requirements
— Range space/safety fans
— Airspace
— Broad frequency spectrum demands (video)
— Type/severity of courses
— Instrumentation needs
— Communication (wireless networks, long-range UAS capabilities may require geographically dispersed test support)

• Facilities are long-lead; need to anticipate requirements and solutions far in advance of actual need
  — Partner with Communities of Interest (COI) for development/specification + forecasting
New Solutions, New Approaches for Unmanned Systems (cont.)

Instrumentation
- Limited volume and/or power to accommodate legacy data acquisition systems
  — What is the “right size” for an instrumentation package to ensure System Under Test (SUT) performance isn’t adversely affected?
  — How is instrumentation performance compromised by volume and/or power constraints? Is this acceptable?
- Required Data
  — Time, Space, Position, and Information (TSPI)
  — Controller & sensor inputs / outputs
  — “Decision Process” data trails

Data Collection & Management
- Onboard, wireless, other
- Related hardware and software
- Data storage policy, scheme and provisions
- Volumes of data being generated drives solution
New Solutions, New Approaches for Unmanned Systems (cont.)

**System Safety**
- Highly dynamic and emerging nature of UAS technologies makes assessing hazards uniquely challenging
- Armed vs. unarmed systems (require a tether for positive kill whenever possible?)
- Methodology of techniques used for loss of link recovery, GPS denial, etc.
- FAA Air Worthiness definitions scarce/lacking; how to address?

**Methodology, Processes and Procedures**
- Existing paradigms of testing and evaluation may no longer apply
- RF spectrum management is a fundamental lynchpin for these systems – MUST have ironed out early
- Not just testing mechanical performance, but also software, and “soft criteria” such as perception and ability to “reason”
- How will the collected data be interpreted/analyzed?
- How to determine root cause (mechanical, software, system logic, system perf)? How to determine if failure is due to a combination of causes?
Multi-Agent Systems

- **Complexity of testing increases exponentially as the number of individual agents increases**
  - The complexity of individual agents' control systems alone is tremendous; SoS (System of Systems) / intersystem control is higher order
  - If overall performance is interdependent, how do we evaluate a single platform or identify a root cause of failure?
  - How do you test overall behavior of a multi-agent system or swarm?
  - What data is relevant?

- **Infrastructure and Resource Footprint Requirements**
  - At least the sum of the constituents, likely more (additional resources required to support SoS activity)

- **Data Collection & Management**
  - Complexity grows exponentially with multiple agents (system-to-system commo alone is exponential)
  - How to manage?

- **How do you “separate the wheat from the chaff?”**
  - Potential for cognitive overload for both people and systems
  - How to manage?
Questions?