

Mobile Charging System Joint Service Power Expo May 3, 2011

Custom Manufacturing & Engineering, Inc. 3690 70th Avenue, Pinellas Park, FL 32781 T (727) 547-9799 F (727) 541-8822

www.custom-mfg-eng.com



OUTLINE



PROBLEM

BACKGROUND REQUIREMENTS

DESIGN

ELECTRICAL

MECHANICAL

TESTING

CME TESTING

ATC TESTING

LUT LESSONS LEARNED & SUMMARY



PROBLEM



In April 2009 CME was approached by PM-Soldier Warrior Ground Soldier (PM-SWAR-GS)

- They needed to charge lots of batteries in 10 hours
- Charging occurred in the field
- Multiple types of of non-standard batteries
- On some sort of mobile platform (vehicle, trailer) Carrying about 10kW of DC power generation



PROBLEM



SOLUTION (almost)

- CME had developed a mobile power platform several years ago called LPGP
- Lightweight Power Generation Platform
- It was a vehicle carrying 10kW of DC power generation
- Club Car diesel 4-wheel drive off road utility vehicle converter to hybrid electric drive with wireless remote controls







SOLUTION (almost)

LPGP with Ultralife chargers





Club Car with Ultralife chargers



BACKGROUND



PM-SWAR initially needed to charge Nett Warrior batteries, Ultralife LI-145 and LI-80 Not supported by CECOM Over the next year requirements solidified slowly More battery types were added The numbers of batteries were determined Additional needs were identified



REQUIREMENTS



- Vehicle; diesel, JP-8 fuel
- Charge 578 batteries in <24 hours
- Battery types, LI-145, Rifleman Radio, MBITR (Harris, Thales (BB-521-like))
- Storage for 578 charged batteries
- Power for 20 charges, 4 for LI-145, 16 for MBITR
- Company level charging with Platoon modularity with each charger removable for Squad use
- Standardized connectorization



REQUIREMENTS



- Auxiliary connectors for standard chargers
- Charge in all weather
- Carry fuel for one day's operation
- Power distribution with protection
- Safety Assessment Report
- User's Manual
- Training







Concept

- Start with the Club Car, modify only as necessary
- Add alternator
- Add power distribution
- Add safety controls
- Add frame, canvas cover Add removable racks









Work begins.....

by posing for pictures.....or taking a joy ride









GFE Battery Chargers

Ultralife CH0012 for charging 12 LI-145 at once

Thales UBC for both MBITR and Rifleman Radio batteries for charging 8 MBITR or 16 Rifleman at once or a mix



ELECTRICAL DESIGN



- Mounted an MRAP 28VDC, 570A alternator under the bed coupled to the transmission
- Mounted and wired 4 NATO Slaves to alternator
- Built 4 intervehicular cables to connect each Platoon rack set (2 racks per Platoon)





ELECTRICAL DESIGN



Designed power distribution panels for each rack





MECHANICAL DESIGN



Designed a rack with two configurations; 2 and 3 shelf





MECHANICAL DESIGN



Racks latch onto rails mounted on bed Chargers latch or thumbscrew onto shelves





MECHANICAL DESIGN



Designed and built a frame with hinged side panels Covered the frame with canvas





MECHANICAL DESIGN



Designed and built three battery bag types for storage





TESTING



System Verification Safety Assessment ATC Evaluation



CME TEST



System Verification



Critical Tilt Angle





CME TEST



System Verification



Braking Distance



Power Distribution & Protection



ATC TESTING



- Inclinometer
- Brakes
- Safety Inspection
- Noise





ATC TESTING



-

Safety

Ξ





ATC TESTING



The Safety Assessment Report and safety testing and inspection were completed

- ATC signed off on the Safety Release allowing soldiers to use the MCS at the LUT
- Without the Safety Release MCS would have been a static display







LIMITED USER TEST

Ft Riley, KS, November 2010

The Nett Warrior LUT included several sources for battery charging including fuel cells, thin film solar, small JP-8 generator and three vehicle-based charging systems.

Batteries included LI-145, MBITR (Thales and Harris), Rifleman Radio and conformal.

Charging took place in the field







LIMITED USER TEST

The MCS was driven by the team leader who followed behind the observers who followed the soldiers on a mission to take a village.

Travel was through thick brush, rutted open fields, high grass and paved road

After the village was taken, all the charging systems were exercised, including MCS, for an hour or so and then packed up and returned to base





LESSONS LEARNED & SUMMARY



Negatives

MCS was noisy, hearing protection required MCS didn't look Army

There are materials we could use to reduce noise and we could couple the alternator to lower the rpm

We could have made it look more Army and although it would have been cosmetic there is something to be said for looks

For the limited time and budget....



LESSONS LEARNED & SUMMARY



Positives

MCS provided charging capability for a Company, Platoon or Squad

MCS provided a mule-like function

MCS can provide more power than was used or was made available

MCS did what it was asked to do without issue



LESSONS LEARNED & SUMMARY



Observations

The chargers took a long time to charge batteries, 6 to 7 hours versus 2.5 to 3 hours for C/2. That is 18 to 21 hours to charge a Company's batteries The load of 578 batteries per day every day seems like too much

There may not be a good answer to this problem except to need fewer batteries



THANK YOU



QUESTIONS??

