



Improving Systems Engineering Effectiveness on the C-17 Program



Mr. Nick Mansur Director C-17 Avionics/Flight Controls/Support Equipment IPT Nick.Mansur@Boeing.com



Mr. Sivam Paramanathan Sr Manager Systems Engineering C-17 Air Vehicle IPT Sivam.Paramanathan@Boeing.com



U.S. AIR FORCE

Mr. Christian Stillings Lead Systems Engineer ASC C-17 Division A.Stillings@US.AF.MIL



- C-17 software-intensive projects often missed major milestones. Contributing factors determined at Joint Customer-Boeing offsites were:
- Significant requirements volatility after CDR
- Product defects found late later than they could have been
 - High number of V&V anomalies late in test program
- Engineering culture did not embrace risk management
 - Some risks existed but went unidentified
 - Some mitigation steps didn't reduce risk level
- Value of metrics desensitized by measuring & reporting on everything
- Process deficiencies addressed symptom-by-symptom resulting in unnecessary complexity



Reducing Requirements Volatility

- What was done:
 - Method for assessing requirement quality developed
 - » Eight elements individually assessed: 1) inputs & triggers, 2) bounds & conditions, 3) outputs & effects, 4) performance spec, 5) verifiability,
 6) traceability, 7) rationale/decision, 8) change impact analysis
 - » For each element, four criteria defined and rated: Poor (1), Low (2), Moderate (3), High (4)
 - Predictive metrics developed
 - » Plotted trend of multiple assessments for quality predicted when review can be conducted
 - » Standard adopted: Requirements sufficiently mature to proceed to milestone review when average quality score > 3.5
- What resulted:
 - Earlier discovery of problems with requirement statements
 - Increased collaboration between system and software developers
 - 300% reduction in post-CDR volatility (from 12% to 4%)



Improving Test Strategies

- What was done:
 - Multi-level test strategy developed & established as a best practice
 - Integrated Systems, SW, HW, & Test engineering teams deployed on projects to review requirement correctness & testability
 - Greater emphasis placed on low level SW testing
 - Boundary & off-nominal robustness testing incorporated
- What resulted:
 - In general:
 - » Much greater collaboration among sub-teams
 - » Much quicker ramp up & response in facing problems & issues early on
 - On one project:
 - » 1000% reduction in defects per test case; 600% improvement in system-level productivity; flight test completed with no major anomalies; avoided 2nd SW build, 2nd TRR, 2nd qual & flight tests; EAC savings of \$1.7M & schedule savings of 3 months realized
 - At the program level:
 - » Opportunity realized for consolidating 4 project test plans into one with significant cost and schedule savings



Improving Risk Management (1)

- What was done:
 - Workshops conducted by risk SMEs with project teams
 - » Most complex projects selected: 8-10 hours/week, 3-4 weeks
 - » Reviewed & worked existing risks posted to risk database
 - » Risk process applied step by step
 - » Existing risk descriptions refined & revised
 - » Risk sources reviewed & new risks identified as needed
 - » Risk mitigation actions & plans scrutinized & revised or developed
 - » Mitigation tasks with no significant impact on risk reduction eliminated
 - Integrated mitigation plan with project schedule
 - Risk dictionary compiled
 - » Recurring patterns of risk identified from individual project risks and summarized & abstracted into common risk areas & sources
 - » Rationale: Common C-17 sources & areas much easier for domain engineer to work with than generic, difficult to interpret sources & areas
 - » Rationale: Commonalities in areas & sources lead to common handlings & similar mitigations

ΝΠΙΔ



Improving Risk Management (2)

• What was done:

Lessons Learned database improved

» Access improved providing wider view of projects with similar circumstances, aiding in risk identification & effective mitigation actions

Risk metrics developed

- » Metrics focused on risk / issue relationship (risk realized = issue)
- » First metric: Identification Effectiveness measured how many issues resulted from unidentified risks (expressed as %)
- » Second metric: Mitigation Effectiveness measured how many identified risks were realized as issues (expressed as %)

• What resulted:

- Positive feedback from workshop participants
 - » Key concepts clearer
 - » Complex subjects better understood
 - » Skills in executing process improved
- Risk Dictionary defined
- Formal guidelines for mitigation / schedule integration established



Improving Process & Metrics

- What was done:
 - Developed list of "Things to Stop"
 - » Helped to shine light on processes without a customer and on products no one needed
 - » Forced questions to be asked about value vs. cost
 - » Questioned "required" data to defend data that was already defensible
 - Addressed processes holistically rather than symptom-by-symptom

• What resulted:

- Eliminated requirement to gather and publish metrics & data with little or no value
 - » Helped everyone see what could be done, what's useful & what's not, and changed the culture as a result
 - » Increased understanding of key metrics
 - » Clearer understanding of "WHY" things are done
- Eliminated multiple processes no longer deemed necessary
 - » Improved understanding of applicable processes
 - » Clearer understanding of "WHY" things are done



Conclusion



- Summary of Accomplishments
 - Requirements Management: Method for assessing requirement quality, early discovery & resolution of issues, increase in system-software collaboration
 - V&V: Multi-level test strategy best practice, integrated Systems, HW, SW, & Test sub-teams, early discovery & resolution of issues, increase in collaboration
 - Risk Management: Workshops as standard practice, effective mitigation plans integrated with project schedules, development of key risk metrics
 - Metrics & Process: Improved metrics & processes
- Key Results
 - Key Systems Engineering activities moved to the left
 - Schedule and cost savings realized
- Key Tools
 - Systems Engineering
 - Lean + Principles
- Ultimate Goal
 - DELIVERING FIRST-TIME QUALITY TO THE WAR FIGHTER SOONER RATHER THAN LATER