

USMC Ground Equipping Strategy




ALTERNATIVE MODELS





What's the "right" requirement?





Why an alternate equipping model?




- **Reduce costs**
- **Increase readiness**
- **Maintain operational flexibility**



Most ready when the Nation is least ready...



Current State



Reducing current readiness & jeopardizing future capabilities
- to respond “across the ROMO”




METT-T Tailored/Scaled

Equipped for a
Core mission set
& Scaled for ?? Op
Concept

Augmented/Re-
equipped for ops at
one "point" on the
ROMO

In a specific
clime &
place

Mission
#1




+

Augment
Equipment
Package

+

Cold Wx
Mountain
Package

Mission
#2



+

Augment
Equipment
Package

+

OPLAN 1234
Package

Core T/E (constrained)

+

METT-T T/E (Additive & Adaptable)



Issues



- Training?
- Readiness reporting?
- Accountability?
- ***Strategic Decisions***
 - Core missions?
 - Other missions? Capacity?

