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Background

- IMX-101 Formulation
  - Developed at Holston Army Ammunition Plant (HSAAP) as a replacement of TNT
  - Contains non-traditional ingredients such as DNAN & NTO
    - Ingredients manufactured and/or processed at HSAAP
  - Superior IM performance than TNT in 155mm M795 projectiles
    - Passed all IM Engineering Tests (Bullet Impact, Fragment Impact, Slow Heating, Fast Heating, Sympathetic Reaction & 81mm Shaped Charge Jet Impact)
    - IM test results presented at IMEMTS 2007
  - Down-selected as the prime candidate as TNT replacement filling in 155mm M795 projectiles
  - BAE Systems OSI was contracted to manufacture IMX-101 in full production scale
    - Explosive Qualification
    - Projectile Loading Process Development
Background (cont)

- IMX-101 successfully Loaded, Assembled & Packed (LAP) at US Army RDECOM ARDEC in the 155mm M795 projectile
- Qualification of IMX-101 explosive near completion
  - Aging trials result pending
- Qualification testing of IMX-101 explosive in M795 projectile also underway
  - Formal IM testing scheduled CY09
- IMX-101 filled M795 projectiles survived gun-launched ambient, hot & cold at max charge (M198 howitzer, Yuma Proving Ground)
- IMX-101 also being considered for the next generation 105mm M1 cartridge and the 155mm M107 training ammunition
Program Objectives

- OSI and ARDEC to jointly establish a reproducible manufacturing process of IMX-101 at HSAAP under optimum operating conditions
  - Baseline parameters established (un-optimized)
  - Conduct experiments in production facility and compare results
    - Provide supporting information for material specification
    - Generate consistent material for loading trials at ARDEC
  - Desire to use Design of Experiment (DOE) approach to optimize processing parameters
  - Manufacture explosives using optimized processing parameters
- Finalize SOP, Material Specification and Manufacturing Instruction for the manufacture of IMX-101
IMX-101 Manufacturing Process Overview

1. **Load Ingredients**
2. **Melt and Mix**
   - Molten IMX-101
3. **Cast onto flaker belt**
   - Molten IMX-101 in thin strip
4. **Cool/solidify and break-up**
   - IMX-101 flakes
5. **Pack and ship**

Images shown are from the PAX-21 production
IMX-101 Manufacturing Process Overview (video)
Baseline Processing Parameters

- Baseline Processing Parameters identified from 1,200 lb production-scale batches of IMX-101 made in 2006
  - Processing temperatures at various stages
  - Ingredient Feed Rate & Order of Addition
  - Use of dry/wet ingredients
  - Final Incorporation (mixing) Time
  - Agitator Speed
- Material Processibility indicated by Efflux Viscosity and consistent Product Homogeneity
  - Composition, sensitivity and other physical/chemical properties testing
- Close interaction with ARDEC EM and LAP Producibility Teams
  - Immediate feedback on LAP
IMX-101 Producibility Parameters Considered

Machine
- Steam Jacketed Kettle
- Agitator Design
- Secondary kettle holdup
- Belt Flaker
- Pellet Pot
- Discharge Valve

Method
- Agitation Speed
- Ingredient Addition Rate
- Mixing Time
- Total IMX-101 Run/Process Time
- Process Temperature
- Casting Rate (flow out of pellet pot)
- Casting belt speed

Material
- DNAN Wet or Dry
- NTO Material
- Building Temp / RH
- Means of cooling flaker belt

Impact
- Sensitivity, VTS
- Product Moisture
- Measurement

Product
- Composition
- Viscosity
- DSC M. pt / Exotherm Onset
- Agitator Speed
- Batch Size
- Temperature of Kettle
- Mix Times
- Flake Density & Thickness

Personnel
- Scoop vs. hand measure
- Adhering to Prescribed Mix Times
- Ingredients Addition Rate

Environment
IMX-101 Producibility – Phase 1

• Leverage lessons learned from previous melt-pour and IM explosive development activities (e.g. PAX-21, PAX-41, PAX-196, etc)
  • All products utilized same production equipment
• Established nominal composition and tolerances based on user feedback on earlier production-scale batches
  • Attempted to optimize
    • ingredient addition rate
    • final incorporation time
    • agitator speed
    • intermediate holding vessel retention time
IMX-101 Producibility – Phase 1

• Desire by the customer (PM-CAS) to manufacture using set operating conditions to establish process parameters for LAP of the M795 projectile
  • Utilize nominal formulation and consistent IMX-101 production parameters to manufacture multiple batches
    • 24 batches delivered to the customer for LAP process development operations
IMX-101 Producibility – Phase 1 Result

• Discovered variation of ingredients (particle size / shape) going into IMX-101 explosive led to independent evaluation
  • Extensive laboratory support for analysis and process optimization (e.g. NTO)
  • Obtained better understanding of product
IMX-101 Producibility – Phase 1 Result

- Product appeared to be consistent, as measured by efflux viscosity, and other draft specification requirements
  - Occasionally, end user experienced difficulties in LAP operation even with what was believed to be consistent material
    - LAP producibility DOE was also conducted
  - There are likely other parameters that affect the quality of the M795 cast (porosity, cracking, etc)
    - It is recommended to conduct Phase 2 of the IMX-101 Producibility Study

![Efflux Viscosity](chart)

**IMX-101 Consistency Improvement (efflux viscosity)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viscosity Pre-DOE</th>
<th>Viscosity Post-DOE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp k</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IMX-101 Producibility – Phase 2 Follow-on Work

- Utilize Six Sigma Tools as a follow on to the LAP DOE and Conduct Explosive Producibility DOE to improve overall product
  - Work concurrently with ARDEC LAP Producibility Team to provide consistent explosive for LAP operation with no defects
- Investigate formulation ingredients (high/low)
  - Evaluate existing tolerance
- Optimize Processing Parameters
  - Final incorporation time
  - Ingredient addition rate
  - Agitator Speed / Design
Conclusion

- Over 20,000 lbs of IMX-101 have been manufactured
  - Still relatively “young” explosive, compared to Comp B, RDX, HMX & TNT
- Successful LAP and Gun Launch
- Explosive Qualification Nearing Completion
- Producibility of Explosive Demonstrated
- Qualification Testing in the M795 Underway
- Efforts underway for Large Scale Production Volumes in CY10