

Making the CMMI[®] Sing

A Framework for Performance Excellence

CMMI® Technology Conference and User Group

November 17th, 2009

Jeffrey L. Dutton Chief Engineer Jacobs Technology Inc. ITSS

This presentation spans TWO sessions



Administrivia

- Trademarks and Service marks
 - ITIL® is a Registered Trade Mark, and a Registered Community Trade Mark of the Office of Government Commerce, and is Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
 - IT Infrastructure Library® is a Registered Trademark of the Central Computer and Telecommunications Agency which is now part of the Office of Government Commerce
 - [®] CMMI is registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by Carnegie Mellon University
 - SM SCAMPI is a Service mark of Carnegie Mellon University
- Who I am:
 - Chief Engineer, Jacobs Technology, Inc./ITSS
 - SCAMPI Lead Appraiser
 - (Lean) Six Sigma Black Belt
 - Certified Scrum Master
 - Member, NDIA Systems Engr Steering Committee
 - Member, NDIA CMMI Working Group
 - Member, CMMI-SVC Advisory Group
 - Visiting Scientist, SEI



Outline

- Goals of a performance improvement approach
- Discovering some driving principles
- Attributes of some performance improvement approaches
- Our journey
- Introducing the Framework for Performance Excellence
- Value propositions of framework components
- Making the Framework sing



Goals of a Performance Improvement Approach

- Respond to business objectives or solve problems
- Exhibit positive return on investment
- Produce sustainable improvements
- Be transferrable across projects and organizations
- Produce results fast enough to make business sense





Outline

- Goals of performance improvement
- Discovering some driving principles
- Attributes of some performance improvement approaches
- Our journey
- Introducing the Framework for Performance Excellence
- Value propositions of framework components
- Making the Framework sing



Some Driving Principles

- Focus on performance and quality objectives
- Direct involvement of leadership
- Process ownership
- Improvement velocity



Focus on Performance/Quality Objectives

- Examples of performance objectives
 - Reduce software life cycle time frame
 - Increase level of service
 - Respond to changes in customer demand in three months or less
 - Reduce cost of development by 35%
- Examples of quality objectives
 - Meet service levels 99.9% of the time
 - Reduce delivered defects to less than 3 per 1,000,000 opportunities



Why are Performance/Quality Objectives Important?

Because they change <u>everything</u>

- The improvement approaches chosen
- Interpretation of CMMI practices
- Workflow measures in Value Stream Mapping
- Measurement objectives
- Which CMMI Process Areas to implement
- What Maturity or Capability Levels to target
- What part of the organization to improve
- How much you're willing to invest







Return on Investment Envelope





Direct Leadership Involvement

- "Allowing" the organization to improve is often not enough
 - Resources, personnel, money
 - Some level of process/work product review
 - Support for organizational change
 - Approval and support of process changes
- Direct, active involvement is key
 - Tie effort to real business objectives and issues
 - Be demanding of results in a meaningful time frame
 - Set high level performance and quality goals
 - Get "heroes" and key personnel directly and personally involved





Process Ownership

- Levels of removal from process ownership
 - Hire a professional to come in and write your processes (increasingly rare)
 - Form an SEPG of "process people"
 - Buy-in strategies
 - Dealing with "heroes"
 - Mandates for use of processes (!)
- Ownership by process "doers"
 - Charge the "heroes" with leading performance improvement
 - Exactly as intended by Lean Thinking
 - Make performance improvement <u>everyone's</u> job



Improvement Velocity

- Velocity = speed in a specific direction
- Improvement "at the speed of business" is the key
- Barriers to high velocity:
 - Lack of focus (objectives, issues, scope, etc.)
 - Lack of leadership
 - Processes not owned by "doers"
 - Low process maturity
 - Misunderstanding of CMMI and other approaches



Outline

- Goals of performance improvement
- Discovering some driving principles
- Attributes of some performance improvement approaches
- Our journey
- Introducing the Framework for Performance Excellence
- Value propositions of framework components
- Making the Framework sing



Attributes of Performance Improvement Approaches

- Lean Thinking:
 - Pros:
 - Cons:
- The CMMI:
 - Pros:
 - Cons:
- The Information Technology Infrastructure Library:
 - Pros:
 - Cons:
- Six Sigma:
 - Pros:
 - Cons:



Our Lean/CMMI/ITIL/Six Sigma Journey





JACOBS



- Goals of performance improvement
- Discovering some driving principles
- Attributes of some performance improvement approaches
- Our journey
- Introducing the Framework for Performance Excellence
- Value propositions of framework components
- Making the Framework sing



JACOF

Lean/CMMI/Sixσ Venn Diagram



The Framework for Performance Excellence







- Goals of performance improvement
- Discovering some driving principles
- Attributes of some performance improvement approaches
- Our journey
- Introducing the Framework for Performance Excellence
- Value propositions of framework components
- Making the Framework sing



Value Propositions for Framework Components

- The CMMI
- Lean Thinking
- Six Sigma
- ITIL



JACOBS



Capability Maturity Model Integration

- What is?
 - Models (goals, practices, informative material)
 - SCAMPI appraisal methods
 - Core training (SEI authorized)
- Value proposition:
 - Domain-specific best practices (development, services, and acquisition)
 - Practices for improvement infrastructure
 - Framework for continuous improvement
 - Maturity Levels
 - Process Area Capability Levels
 - Robust, extensible appraisal methods
 - Course correction
 - Learning mechanism
 - Benchmarking
- Downside:
 - No improvement approach or strategy
 - Needs focus and leaning
- Integration with other approaches:
 - Synergistic with Lean
 - Actualizes Six Sigma
 - Implements ITIL

Lean Thinking

- What is?
 - Focus on customer value
 - Value stream mapping (workflows)
 - Cadence and synchronization
 - Organizational rapid learning
 - Process doers are process owners
 - Reliance on tacit knowledge and skilled team members
 - Agile project management
- Value proposition:
 - High velocity (Presentation Wednesday 8AM)
 - Lean (smart) processes and process efficiency
 - Builds mature teams quickly
 - Rapid response to customer pressures
- Downside:
 - No improvement infrastructure
 - Suffers from lack of consistency and persistence
- Integration with other approaches:
 - Synergistic with CMMI models
 - Leverages Six Sigma
 - Sharpens business context of ITIL



Family of Lean/Agile Constructs



Six Sigma

- What is?
 - Statistical mechanisms for process control
 - Process variability
 - Central tendency
 - Some mechanisms:
 - Regression and correlation
 - Tests of Hypothesis
 - Analysis of variance
 - Statistical process control
 - Experimental design
 - Process performance modeling and optimization
- Value proposition:
 - Allows prediction of project performance
 - Leading vs. lagging indicators
 - High degree of process control (e.g. six sigma)
- Downside:
 - High cost
 - Extensive timelines (improved by lean)
- Integration with other approaches:
 - Fully integrable with CMMI
 - Energized by lean (shorter cycles/more data)

Upper Specification Limit - USL (Voice of the Customer)



Lower Specification Limit – LSL



Process Capability

Information Technology Infrastructure Library

- What is?
 - Best practices for IT service operations
 - Fair implementation guidance
 - ITSM life cycle
 - (Strategy/Design/Transition/Operation/Continuous Improvement)
- Value proposition:
 - Excellent set of IT- specific practices
 - Several useable ITSM processes
 - Personal knowledge certifications
 - ISO 20000 registration
 - Some guidance for setting objectives and strategy
- Downside:
 - Little support for "organization for improvement"
 - No framework for benchmarking performance improvements
- Integration with other approaches:
 - Works well with CMMI-SVC
 - Can be benchmarked with CMMI SCAMPI A (presentation Wednesday 10AM)
 - Orthogonal to Six Sigma
 - Organizational context improved with Lean Thinking

JACC

Relative Contributions Fully Integrated Framework (CMMI-SVC Example)



Slide No. 29 Q



- Goals of performance improvement
- Discovering some driving principles
- Attributes of some performance improvement approaches
- Our journey
- Introducing the Framework for Performance Excellence
- Value propositions of framework components
- Making the Framework sing



Making the Framework Sing

- Applying the Framework
- Driving principles
 - Focus on performance and quality objectives
 - Direct involvement of leadership
 - Process ownership
 - Improvement velocity
- Choosing the improvement approaches
- Tuning the Framework some examples



Applying the Framework





Example 1: Small SW Development Organization

- Performance Objectives:
 - Negotiated schedules are estimated and met with no more than a 10% variance
 - Financial costs within a 10% variance
 - Customer survey scores of 90+% satisfaction
 - Delivered product and development iterations meet or exceed committed requirements 100% of instances
 - Customer sign off occurring within 1 week after project completion
- 11 Months to achieve goals and ML3

nization

- Exal Performance Objectives:
 - Negotiated schedules are estimated and met with no more than a 10% variance
 - Financial costs within a 10% variance
 - Customer survey scores of 90+% satisfaction
 - Delivered product and development iterations meet or exceed committed requirements 100% of instances
 - Customer sign off occurring within 1 week after project completion



JACOBS

Example 2: IT Service Organization

- Large IT commercial organization
- Internal (Lean) CMMI-DEV ML3 software dev. organization
- Performance Objectives:
 - Mistake-free processes and services
 - Seamless flow between business departments
 - Single ownership of services
 - Delivered services meet or exceed Service Level Agreement (SLA) 100% of instances
 - Develop credible proof of delivery capability and continuous improvement





- Performance Objectives:
 - Mistake-free processes and services
 - Seamless flow between business departments
 - Continuous improvement of defined services
 - Single entry of information/data
 - Single ownership of services
 - Delivered services meet or exceed Service Level Agreement (SLA) 100% of instances
 - Develop credible proof of delivery capability and continuous improvement



Drganization

- See article in Jan/Feb 2010 issue of Crosstalk
- To discuss further, contact me at:

jeff.dutton@jacobs.com

QUESTIONS?

