



Copyright (c) by United Space Alliance, LLC.



Overview



United Space Alliance (USA) Launch Processing System Software Development organization received a CMMI-DEV + IPPD Level 3 rating in September 2009

- Employed a lean approach to appraisal activities resulting in >50% cost and schedule reduction
- Proved that appraisals can be done faster, better, cheaper

Focused – Innovative – Trailblazers

This presentation provides:

- Company CMM/CMMI history and background
- Objectives, challenges and results of the recent CMMI appraisal
- Methodology and examples of lean appraisal practices
- Advice for others wishing to embark on a similar journey





History

Who We Are . . .



United Space Alliance

- **2002**--USA began its journey towards CMM Level 3.
- 2003--A mini-assessment was conducted across USA elements to determine readiness for a CMM Level 3
 - A common software process and appraisal at the company level was deemed not achievable
 - Decision was made for each element to develop their own framework and conduct individual assessments
- **2004**--LPS Software Development achieved SW-CMM Maturity Level 3
- **2006**--LPS Software Development completed CMMI-DEV (v 1.1) Maturity Level 3
 - No prior CMMI experience
 - Pathfinder for the entire company
 - All of the other business units benefited from the knowledge and expertise gained by LPS Software Development
- 2009—LPS Software Development completed CMMI-DEV+IPPD (v 1.2) Re-Appraisal Maturity Level 3
 - LPS Software Development organization was the pathfinder for the entire company in re-appraisal activities





Where we started





Background

- Demonstrated compliance with CMMI-DEV v1.1 Maturity Level 3 in March 2006
- Business decision was made to forego any further appraisal activities
 - CMMI rating expired in March 2009
- Business shift with the possibility of Shuttle Program extension and the need for a current CMMI v1.2 rating in order to bid on future contracts
 - Decision for LPS Software to conduct a CMMI v1.2 re-appraisal (early April 2009)





Why we did it



Main objectives of the re-appraisal:

- □ Ensure the software development process remains compliant with
 - Shuttle customer requirements (NSTS)
 - CMMI-DEV Maturity Level 3 framework
- Ensure the LPS Software Development processes meet the customer requirements for the Constellation Program in preparation for future work
- □ Compliance with version 1.2 of the CMMI-DEV model
- □ Enhance the software development framework to
 - Improve and refine the processes
 - Ensure continued improvement in the quality and reliability of delivered products





The Road Ahead





- Sense of urgency with the pending release of the Exploration Ground Launch Services (EGLS) Request for Proposal (RFP) for the Constellation Program
- Concern from NASA with the amount of time invested for appraisal activities versus contractual obligations and value add for the customer
- Lack of work during transition from Shuttle to new Constellation program for re-appraisal activities
- LPS Software Development was challenged to conduct the re-appraisal in:
 - 1. Under \$150K for external Lead Appraiser services (paid for by the company)
 - 2. \$125K for appraisal team members (paid for by the company)
 - 3. PIID preparation by project personnel at an effort of 1680 labor hours (paid for by Shuttle Program).
 - 4. Schedule challenges...calendar year, before RFP—moving target



Re-Appraisal Theme: It's NO BIG DEAL!!





Did We Meet Our Challenges?







Results-Cost Savings



Overhead Cost Assessment

Lean Re-Appraisal Approach

- Less training required (experienced team)
- Removal of Class B
- Condensed Readiness Review
- Condensed SCAMPIA
- PIID implementation

Resulting in

United Space Alliance



Reduced SCAMPI activity cost by 63%

Met Challenges 1 & 2

*See next slide







Results-PIID Productivity



PIID Effort Assessment

Lean Re-Appraisal Approach

- □ Reused PIID format with minimal changes
- Reused Model interpretation of required OE
- Experienced PIID team members



Resulting in

Reduced PIID preparation activities by 56%







Results-Schedule



2006 Appraisal Timeline

Jan-05	Feb-05	Mar-05	Apr-05	May-05	Jun-05	Jul-05	Aug-05	Sep-05	Oct-05	Nov-05	Dec-05	Jan-06	Feb-06	Mar-06
CMM To CMMI Transition15 Month Schedule									SCAMPI A					

2009 Re-Appraisal Timeline











Lean Methodology



Lean Factors	Appraisal	Re-Appraisal	How	
T Mala	8 Appraisal Team Members (ATM)	6 Appraisal Team Members (ATM)	Reduced PIID OE	
теат макеир	4 ATMs had no previous experience	All ATMs had either PIID or CMMI appraisal experience	Leveraged USA ATM Experience	
	5 Day Readiness Review activity	3 Day Readiness Review activity	Lean Concept Applied	
Appraisai Time	10 Day SCAMPI A	<mark>8</mark> Day SCAMPI A	Experience & Lean Concepts	
	New PIID format/tool	Reused general PIID format/tool	Experience	
	All model practices had to be interpreted in relation to the organization	Practice interpretations were reviewed and reused 85% of the time	Leveraged Previous PIIDs	
PIID Reuse	Separate objective evidence (OE) for project and tasks	Effective techniques for project/task OE combinations	Lean Concept Applied	
	4 Projects with 4 Focus Tasks	3 Focus Projects with 3+ Tasks	Model Interpretation Maturity & Experience	
Training methods	PIID workshop used canned SEI examples/formats activities	PIID workshop used previous appraisal orgnaizational PIIDs	LA Creative Approach	
I raining methods	Appraisal team training used canned SEI training exercises	Appraisal team training used current PIIDs for exercises		





Lean Methodology²



Reduction of required PIID evidence

- Artifact reuse
 - Replaced 2006 evidence with current version of same artifact.
 - o Estimate 85% of evidence types were reused
 - Reduced unique artifacts by 37%
- Direct evidence reduced by 22%
- Minimal Indirect evidence provided
 - Reduced by 62%
 - 1 piece of evidence per project per goal

Leveraging interviews for objective evidence

- Affirmations were required for model coverage (not relying on indirect evidence)
- □ LA provided generic scripts customized for organization.
 - Scripted questions were mapped to model practices
 - Reduced Appraisal team time for script preparation and note tagging







Lean Methodology³ PIID Size Assessment



Resulting in

Reduced number of PIID cells populated by 39% from 2006 to 2009









Lean Methodology⁴

Appraisal Activity Assessment

- Decision was made to track types of appraisal activities using USATS
 - Appraisal Planning
 - Planning
 - Tracking
 - Schedule
 - Status Reporting
 - CM of PIID Artifacts
 - Appraisal Execution (internal personnel involved in interview and meeting support)
 - Process Compliance Audits (PIID Review & Development)
 - By Process Area (PA)
 - SCAMPI Activities
 - Appraisal Team Training
 - Readiness Review
 - SCAMPI A





United Space Alliance





Lean Methodology⁵ Appraisal Activity Assessment

- CMMI Process Areas
 - For each process area (PA) a unique USATS stat code was created which allowed effort to be tracked at a lower level than just PIID work
 - Each PIID PA contained:
 - Project Data (or)
 - Task Data (or)
 - Both Project and Task Data (or)
 - Organizational Data









United Space Alliance

Re-Appraisal Milestones



2009 Re-Appraisal Timeline

	may oo	Jun-09	Jui-09	Aug-09	Sep-09	Oct-09	NOV-09	Dec-09	Jan-10
Р			10 N	Month Schee	dule				SCAMPI A
Assumptions	3:	Based on 20 Normal SEL	06 informati	on & contrac C. Class B. F	t business n Readiness R	eeds eview, SCA	MPLA)	-	
		Available wo	rk to apprais	se on shuttle	work	,		0	
Activition		Grade A me	ntalityNo ri	sk				UNDE	
Activities.		Appraisal Pla	an drafted						-1
Р			8 N	Ionth Sched	dule		SCAMPI A	1	
Trigger:		Need to com	iplete apprai	sal activities	in CY2009			•	
Activities:		PIID format and changes agreed to							
		Focus Projec	cts and tasks	s identified					
Ronlan resul	lte	Started Clas	r C's for PA^3	's with higher	et riek				
rteplan resu		Otarted Olas	Gave indica	tion of minim	nal daps and	drifts			
		Lean Method	dology appro	ach discuss	ed (smaller to	eam, fewer	appraisal dav	/s	
		All class C's	conducted A	ASAP – redu	cing possible	rework			
Р		5	nedule	SCAMPI A]				
Trigger:		Contractual I	need		_				
Activities:		Risks were a	acceptable w	vith mitigation	1				
		Discussions	of business	needs and v	alue of SCA	MPI B vs S0	CAMPI A		
		Completed F	All Worksho	ops and Clas	S C'S of ophodulou	e du ation			
Replan resul	lts:	DAR periori	DAR results	s possibility	asibility to pu		to left		P Planning
Replantesu		SCAMPI B re	emoved		asibility to pu	in schedule	to left		
		Grade A me	ntality chang	e-recognition	n of weaknes	ses			Appraisa
		IPPD include	ed in scope o	of appraisal					Replan #
		4th project a	dded as non	-focus task f	or 2 PA's				Doplor #
US			Provided 10	0% coverage	e across ent	re organiza	tion		Kepian #



PIID Measures



- On average the time spent populating a PIID "cell" is approximately 30 minutes/cell
 - Populating a "cell" means
 - Interpreting CMMI model and identifying type of artifact from organization that provides compliance
 - Providing Black Text artifact name
 - Providing Green Italic Text descriptions
 - How the objective evidence meets the intent of the CMMI model practice
 - Providing associate link to artifact

No matter how much (or little) PIID evidence you need to collect and populate, you can estimate the effort needed to complete PIID work.





PIID example



Pra	actice	PRJ	PIID	Evidence				
			Concerns	Direct	Direct Hyperl	ink Ir	direct	Indirect Hyperlink
SP 1.5		ORG		IDS Organizational Software Process	\Docs_All_Project	LPS Software Proje	ct Management	\Docs_All_Projects\IDS-
Manage the p	project using the			IDS-SEPG-058 Rev J (PS 1.3)	S-SEPG-058.pdf	IDS-SEPG-049 Rev	G (4.4)	SEPG-049.pdf
project plan, t	he other plans			Monitoring and Control of the project, including team		Directs the monitoring	ng of the project's	
that affect the	project, and the			meetings, formal reviews, audits, etc.		progress and status	against the approved	
project's defin	ned process.	1				plans.		
		P1/		LPS PMP	\LPS Artifacts\L	P <u>S P</u>		
		Project		Fages 4-11 (PDF pages 5-12) of the May 2009 LPS Project	MR_052209.pdf			
		/		Managment Review (PMR) identify the Application Software				
		Y		Project implementation of the Project Management processes				
				as well as the implementation of the task level processes.				
	/			K				
	/	P1		AppSw/MathModel Earned Value Variance Report	\MM_Artifacts\M	ath_M TrackStudio Menitor	ing OPI and CPI.	\Appsw_Artifacts\06_09_
	/	Task		This report shows the variance between planned and actuals	odel_VR_Summa	ry_Re_TrackStudio Action	tem #5915 opened as a	Trackstudio_TaskVarianc
	/			(effort and size) at the task level for commitments of the task.	port.pdf	result of the varianc	e report indicatng SPI	e.pdf
				(Page 7 of 29, ESR K89569 P1, GLS). The STMs run the		and CPI were out of	tolerance for HYD ESR	
	/			variance reports weekly and review them to ensure that tasks		K89393. The correc	ctive action was	
	/			have not violated any of the thresholds identified in the		determined to be a s	schedule rebaseline	
	/			projects SPP.		along with a return v	risit to CCB requesting	
	/					approval of addition	al hours.	
	_/			<u> </u>			1	
	/			DIID Format Bon	ofito			
Organizational Rows			s			Green Text		
Dreve						Provided evelo	nation of how t	ho OE
PIOV	ided mapp	ang of	model			Filovided explai	เลแบบ บา บบพ แ	IE UE
pract	tice to ora	anizati	onal			applies to the m	odel. Resulted	l in aettina
pruot	practice to organizational		onui					3 3

process documentation.

Provided explanation of how the OE applies to the model. Resulted in getting everyone up to speed and appraisal team time savings (only looked at applicable document sections)





Artifact Checklist Example



Date Received	Requestor	Brief Description of Artifact	Project	Artifact Folder SCAMPI_	Date Scanned	Hyperlink
		Integrated Data Systems Configuration Control Board				
		Operations				
05/28/2009	Robin Hurst	USA004623 Rev 6-Errata	All	Docs All Projects	Softcopy	\Docs All Projects\USA004623.pdf
05/28/2009	Robin Hurst	LPS System Software Technical Review Panel IDS-SSWA-087 Rev F (SysSw	Docs All Projects	softcopy	\Docs_All_Projects\IDS-SSWA-087.pdf
05/28/2009	Robin Hurst	LPS Application Software Technical Review Panel USA004732 Rev 7 (Appsw/MM	Docs All Projects	Softcopy	\Docs All Projects\USA004732.pdf
05/29/2009	Dreama Poff	Verification & Validation Test Plan IDS-VAL-047	SysSw	Syssw Artifacts	Softcopy	\Syssw_Artifacts\IDS-VAL-047.pdf
05/29/2009	Dreama Poff	System Software Documentation Standards 80K61006 Rev 2	SysSw	Syssw Artifacts	Softcopy	\Syssw_Artifacts\80K61006.pdf
05/29/2009	Dreama Poff	System Software Engineering Standards 80K61127	SysSw	Syssw Artifacts	Softcopy	\Syssw_Artifacts\80K61127.pdf

Artifact Checklist Benefits

Checklist Concept

Provided Configuration Management of all artifacts, identified their requestor, project and storage location. It also provided a quick reference to locating artifact already provided by any person or project.

Hyperlink

Saved the PIID populators time by being able to copy and paste the link into the PIIDs.

Allowed access to an artifact for ATMs who didn't have it in their assigned PA but needed to reference it.





Noteworthy Lead Appraiser Traits



- Availability (to support you)
 - Consultations to determine availability
- Experience
 - In appraising organizations with similar domains
- □ Soft Skills
 - Good Oral & written communication skills
 - Facilitative
 - Knowledgeable of Industry & CMMI Best Practices
 - Understanding cost effectiveness and applicability to organization (not academic)
 - Balancing business needs with compliance
 - Creative
 - Effective leader
 - May need to alter the culture of the organization
- Expectations
 - What is expected from the organization
 - What is expected from the LA status reports, etc
- Resources (tools, training etc)
 - Available training from LA
 - Available consultation from LA
 - Tools LA requires for PIID or appraisal use









How You Gan Do It Foon







Advice to Others



It can be done faster, better, cheaper!!

How?

- 1. Maintain institutionalization (Duh!)
 - □ Aggressive PPQA avoid "drift" from process
 - □ Active SEPG evolve/improve steadily
- 2. Don't gold plate SCAMPI
 - Avoid A+ mentality
 - □ External personnel (ATM's and LA) must be reasonable
 - Avoid unnecessary rework from your LA
 - Work within existing PIID format, interpretations, approach
- 3. Be Lean and Green
 - □ SCAMPI Optimization (fewer indirects, scripts, etc.)
 - Reduce PIID content,

Reuse experience team members and

Recycle PIID format and scripts.







Questions??









It's No Big Deal!!





