

Exploiting Decision to Requirements Traceability

John Fitch SAIC john.a.fitch@saic.com

UNCLAS: Dist A. Approved for public release

Overview



Context

- 20+ years of Systems Thinking/Engineering
- Current work at TARDEC
 - Science and Technology (S&T) programs for Army ground vehicles
 - Technology uncertainty -> decision and requirements volatility
 - Traceability has high value, long-term payoff
 - Scalable methods required

Gaps

- Observations from the tip of the spear

Key Concepts

- True and useful
- Process and tool implications

Practical Techniques

- 5 ways to get started

Gaps = Opportunities



Rationale vs. relationships

- Requirements derivation = rich relationships, not text
- Batch traceability + memory loss = lost requirements + low ROI from Requirements Management
- Problem domain solution space entanglement
 - Definition of a decision
 - Fundamental question/issue that demands an answer/solution
 - Myth of solution-independent decomposition
 - Misuse of models

Missing relationships among SE knowledge Ambiguity tolerated in the SE information model

Information Model for Science & Technology (S&T)





Decision to Requirements Traceability





Decision to Plan Traceability





Decision-centric Information Model





Enables continuous traceability and seamless software tools

Process and tool implications



Stable and proven information model

- Fuzziness wrung out by 2+ software implementations
- Comprehensive, domain-independent
- Focus on thinking content (linked objects)
 - Not its packaging in document or view containers
- High level of process and tool integration possible
 - Enterprise decision model behind all knowledge-driven processes
- Preserves decision context for all knowledge

Maximize use of knowledge patterns

- Decisions + related criteria/requirements, plan, models, etc.

Decisions create all dependencies/interfaces

Need methods & tools to capture these at the point of decision

Practical Techniques for Leveraging Decision-Requirements Traceability



Requirements Derivation

Requirements Analysis – Reverse Engineering

Functional Decomposition – Requirements Allocation

Traceability Matrices

Change Management

Requirements Derivation



No decision analysis is complete until:

The inherent consequences of the "committed" alternative have been captured as derived requirements

- Based on the decision-maker's insights/perspective of the alternative's:

- Structure
- Behavior
- Footprint
- Interfaces
- Life Cycle

These "raw" derived requirements are captured within the formal requirements structure

- Copied/linked with explicitly traceability back to their decision source
- Refined, decomposed and accepted by the owner of the requirements "branch" in which they reside

With similar traceability maintained for risk mitigation and opportunity growth actions that are committed for implementation

Requirements Derivation





Requirements Analysis – Reverse Engineering



To improve the quality of a system requirements baseline:

- Exploit the fact that all requirements are derived requirements

Reverse engineer upstream decisions (Decision Blitz)

- Your customers', users' and System of System's decisions define your problem
- Map source documents to a proven decision pattern
 - Concept of operations, capability descriptions, use cases, DoDAF views
 - Build explicit model of the customer's problem domain (decisions) and committed alternatives
 - ASK: "If X is the answer (solution), what was the question (decision)?"
 - Very efficient, convergent knowledge acquisition process

Trace system requirements to these decisions

- 100% trace possible, but not necessary (focus on toughest constraints)
- Highlights gold-plating and tunnel vision (prematurely imposed designs)
- Highlights unknowns that need to be known

Re-open upstream decisions

Flex the trade space to give the customer what they want, not just what they asked for

Requirements Analysis – Reverse Engineering



Specialty Design Integration

alternative in another decision



Functional Decomposition – Requirements Allocation



Decisions drive functional decomposition

- Choose Function X Technology/Method
- Next layer of functions created at the point of decision
- Use caution with model-based decomposition
 - Model = representation of the structure/behavior of an alternative
 - Avoid tunnel vision first valid model is seldom the best alternative

Decisions drive requirements allocation

- Solution architecture decision "creates" components and interfaces
- Decision analysis is incomplete unless functional and performance allocation is evaluated for each design
- After decision is ratified, complete the allocation trace

Traceability Matrices





Change Management





Business Case for Decision to Requirements Traceability



Improved requirements quality

- Completeness, consistency, feasibility
- Less design rework

Continuous traceability

- Decision-makers know their constraints as early as possible

Improved understanding of customer's needs

- Opportunity to offer higher level solutions

Innovation

- Avoid tunnel vision and imposed solutions
- Optimize solution architecture, functional decomposition

Faster impact/change analysis

- Explicit trace localizes the impact of a change
- Decision logic preserved; more efficient if revisited

Scalable

- Focus traceability on the critical decisions and constraints (Pareto)