



A Process Decision Table for Integrated Systems and Software Engineering

Barry Boehm and Jo Ann Lane, USC-CSSE

October 2008

Incremental Commitment Model (ICM): Nature and Origins

- **Integrates hardware, software, and human factors elements of systems engineering**
 - **Concurrent exploration of needs and opportunities**
 - **Concurrent engineering of hardware, software, human aspects**
 - **Concurrency stabilized via anchor point milestones**
- **Developed in response to DoD-related issues**
 - **Clarify “spiral development” usage in DoD Instruction 5000.2**
 - **Initial phased version (2005)**
 - **Explain Future Combat System of systems spiral usage to GAO**
 - **Underlying process principles (2006)**
 - **Provide framework for human-systems integration**
 - **National Research Council report (2007)**
- **Integrates strengths of current process models**
 - **But not their weaknesses**

ICM integrates strengths of current process models But not their weaknesses

- **V-Model: Emphasis on early verification and validation**
 - But not ease of sequential, single-increment interpretation
- **Spiral Model: Risk-driven activity prioritization**
 - But not lack of well-defined in-process milestones
- **RUP and MBASE: Concurrent engineering stabilized by anchor point milestones**
 - But not software orientation
- **Lean Development: Emphasis on value-adding activities**
 - But not repeatable manufacturing orientation
- **Agile Methods: Adaptability to unexpected change**
 - But not software orientation, lack of scalability

Process Model Principles

Principles trump diagrams

1. **Commitment and accountability**
2. **Success-critical stakeholder satisficing**
3. **Incremental growth of system definition and stakeholder commitment**
- 4, 5. **Concurrent, iterative system definition and development cycles**

Cycles can be viewed as sequential concurrently-performed phases or spiral growth of system definition

6. **Risk-based activity levels and anchor point commitment milestones**

Used by 60-80% of CrossTalk Top-5 projects, 2002-2005

Common Risk-Driven Special Cases of the ICM

Special Case	Example	Size, Complexity	Change Rate % /Month	Criticality	NDI Support	Org. Personnel Capability	Key Stage I Activities : Incremental Definition	Key Stage II Activities: Incremental Development, Operations	Time per Build; per Increment
1. Use NDI	Small Accounting				Complete		Acquire NDI	Use NDI	
2. Agile	E-services	Low	1 – 30	Low-Med	Good; in place	Agile-ready Med-high	Skip Valuation , Architecting phases	Scrum plus agile methods of choice	<= 1 day; 2-6 weeks
3. Architected Agile	Business data processing	Med	1 – 10	Med-High	Good; most in place	Agile-ready Med-high	Combine Valuation, Architecting phases. Complete NDI preparation	Architecture-based Scrum of Scrums	2-4 weeks; 2-6 months
4. Formal Methods	Security kernel; Safety-critical LSI chip	Low	0.3	Extra High	None	Strong formal methods experience	Precise formal specification	Formally-based programming language; formal verification	1-5 days; 1-4 weeks
5. HW component with embedded SW	Multi-sensor control device	Low	0.3 – 1	Med-Very High	Good; In place	Experienced; med-high	Concurrent HW/SW engineering. CDR-level ICM DCR	IOC Development, LRIP, FRP. Concurrent Version N+1 engineering	SW: 1-5 days; Market-driven
6. Indivisible IOC	Complete vehicle platform	Med – High	0.3 – 1	High-Very High	Some in place	Experienced; med-high	Determine minimum-IOC likely, conservative cost. Add deferrable SW features as risk reserve	Drop deferrable features to meet conservative cost. Strong award fee for features not dropped	SW: 2-6 weeks; Platform: 6-18 months
7. NDI- Intensive	Supply Chain Management	Med – High	0.3 – 3	Med- Very High	NDI-driven architecture	NDI-experienced; Med-high	Thorough NDI-suite life cycle cost-benefit analysis, selection, concurrent requirements/ architecture definition	Pro-active NDI evolution influencing, NDI upgrade synchronization	SW: 1-4 weeks; System: 6-18 months
9. Hybrid agile / plan-driven system	C4ISR	Med – Very High	Mixed parts: 1 – 10	Mixed parts; Med-Very High	Mixed parts	Mixed parts	Full ICM; encapsulated agile in high change, low-medium criticality parts (Often HMI, external interfaces)	Full ICM ,three-team incremental development, concurrent V&V, next-increment rebaselining	1-2 months; 9-18 months
9. Multi-owner system of systems	Net-centric military operations	Very High	Mixed parts: 1 – 10	Very High	Many NDIs; some in place	Related experience, med-high	Full ICM; extensive multi-owner team building, negotiation	Full ICM; large ongoing system/software engineering effort	2-4 months; 18-24 months
10. Family of systems	Medical Device Product Line	Med – Very High	1 – 3	Med – Very High	Some in place	Related experience, med – high	Full ICM; Full stakeholder participation in product line scoping. Strong business case	Full ICM. Extra resources for first system, version control, multi-stakeholder support	1-2 months; 9-18 months

C4ISR: Command, Control, Computing, Communications, Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance. **CDR:** Critical Design Review. **DCR:** Development Commitment Review. **FRP:** Full-Rate Production. **HMI:** Human-Machine Interface. **HW:** Hard ware. **IOC:** Initial Operational Capability. **LRIP:** Low-Rate Initial Production. **NDI:** Non-Development Item. **SW:** Software

Case 1: Use NDI

- **Exploration phase identifies NDI opportunities**
- **NDI risk/opportunity analysis indicates risks acceptable**
 - **Product growth envelope fits within NDI capability**
 - **Compatible NDI and product evolution paths**
 - **Acceptable NDI volatility, some open-source components highly volatile**
 - **Acceptable usability, dependability, interoperability**
 - **NDI available or affordable**
- **Example: Small accounting system**
- **Size/complexity: Low**
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month): Low**
- **Criticality: Low**
- **NDI support: Complete**
- **Organization and personnel capability: NDI-experienced**
- **Key Stage I activities: Acquire NDI**
- **Key State II activities: Use NDI**
- **Time/build: Driven by time to initialize/tailor NDI**
- **Time/increment: Driven by NDI upgrades**

Case 2: Pure Agile Methods

- **Exploration phase determines**
 - Low product and project size and complexity
 - Fixing increment defects in next increment acceptable
 - Existing hardware and NDI support of growth envelope
 - Sufficient agile-capable personnel
 - Need to accommodate rapid change, emergent requirements, early user capability
- **Example: E-services**
- **Size/complexity: Low**
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month): 1-30%**
- **Criticality: Low to medium**
- **NDI support: Good; in place**
- **Organization and personnel capability: Agile-ready, medium to high capability**
- **Key Stage I activities: Skip Valuation and Architecting phases**
- **Key State II activities: Scrum plus agile methods of choice**
- **Time/build: Daily**
- **Time/increment: 2-6 weeks**

Case 3: Architected Agile

- **Exploration phase determines**
 - Need to accommodate fairly rapid change, emergent requirements, early user capability
 - Low risk of scalability up to 100 people
 - NDI support of growth envelope
 - Nucleus of highly agile-capable personnel
 - Moderate to high loss due to increment defects
- **Example: Business data processing**
- **Size/complexity: Medium**
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month): 1-10%**
- **Criticality: Medium to high**
- **NDI support: Good, most in place**
- **Organization and personnel capability: Agile-ready, med-high capability**
- **Key Stage I activities: Combined Valuation and Architecting phase, complete NDI preparation**
- **Key State II activities: Architecture-based scrum of scrums**
- **Time/build: 2-4 weeks** **Time/increment: 2-6 months**

Case 4: Formal Methods

- **Biggest risks: Software/hardware does not accurately implement required algorithm precision, security, safety mechanisms, or critical timing**
- **Example: Security kernel or safety-critical LSI chip**
- **Size/complexity: Low**
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month): 0.3%**
- **Criticality: Extra high**
- **NDI support: None**
- **Organization and personnel capability: Strong formal methods experience**
- **Key Stage I activities: Precise formal specification**
- **Key State II activities: Formally-based programming language; formal verification**
- **Time/build: 1-5 days**
- **Time/increment: 1-4 weeks**

Case 5: Hardware Component with Embedded Software

- **Biggest risks: Device recall, lawsuits, production line rework, hardware-software integration**
 - **DCR carried to Critical Design Review level**
 - **Concurrent hardware-software design**
 - **Criticality makes Agile too risky**
 - **Continuous hardware-software integration**
 - **Initially with simulated hardware**
- **Low risk of overrun**
 - **Low complexity, stable requirements and NDI**
 - **Little need for risk reserve**
 - **Likely single-supplier software**

Case 5: Hardware Component with Embedded Software *(continued)*

- **Example: Multi-sensor control device**
- **Size/complexity: Low**
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month): 0.3-1%**
- **Criticality: Medium to very high**
- **NDI support: Good, in place**
- **Organization and personnel capability: Experienced; medium to high capability**
- **Key Stage I activities: Concurrent hardware and software engineering; CDR-level ICM DCR**
- **Key State II activities: IOC Development, LRIP,FRP, concurrent version N+1 engineering**
- **Time/build: 1-5 days (software)**
- **Time/increment: Market-driven**

Case 6: Indivisible IOC

- **Biggest risk: Complexity, NDI uncertainties cause cost-schedule overrun**
 - **Similar strategies to case 4 for criticality (CDR, concurrent HW-SW design, continuous integration)**
 - **Add deferrable software features as risk reserve**
 - **Adopt conservative (90% sure) cost and schedule**
 - **Drop software features to meet cost and schedule**
 - **Strong award fee for features not dropped**
 - **Likely multiple-supplier software makes longer (multi-weekly) builds more necessary**

Case 6: Indivisible IOC *(continued)*

- **Example: Complete vehicle platform**
- **Size/complexity: Medium to high**
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month): 0.3-1%**
- **Criticality: High to very high**
- **NDI support: Some in place**
- **Organization and personnel capability: Experienced, medium to high capability**
- **Key Stage I activities: Determine minimum-IOC likely, conservative cost; Add deferrable software features as risk reserve**
- **Key State II activities: Drop deferrable features to meet conservative cost; Strong award fee for features not dropped**
- **Time/build: 2-6 weeks (software)**
- **Time/increment: 6-18 months (platform)**

Case 7: NDI-Intensive

- **Biggest risks: incompatible NDI; rapid change, business/mission criticality; low NDI assessment and integration experience; supply chain stakeholder incompatibilities**
- **Example: Supply chain management**
- **Size/complexity: Medium to high**
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month): 0.3-3%**
- **Criticality: Medium to very high**
- **NDI support: NDI-driven architecture**
- **Organization and personnel capability: NDI-experienced; medium to high capability**
- **Key Stage I activities: Thorough NDI-suite life cycle cost-benefit analysis, selection, concurrent requirements and architecture definition**
- **Key State II activities: Pro-active NDI evolution influencing, NDI upgrade synchronization**
- **Time/build: 1-4 weeks (software)**
- **Time/increment: 6-18 months (systems)**

Case 8: Hybrid Agile/Plan-Driven System

- **Biggest risks:** large scale, high complexity, rapid change, mixed high/low criticality, partial NDI support, mixed personnel capability
- **Example:** C4ISR system
- **Size/complexity:** Medium to very high
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month):** Mixed parts; 1-10%
- **Criticality:** Mixed parts; medium to very high
- **NDI support:** Mixed parts
- **Organization and personnel capability:** Mixed parts
- **Key Stage I activities:** Full ICM; encapsulated agile in high changed; low-medium criticality parts (often HMI, external interfaces)
- **Key State II activities:** Full ICM, three-team incremental development, concurrent V&V, next-increment rebaselining
- **Time/build:** 1-2 months
- **Time/increment:** 9-18 months

Case 9: Multi-Owner System of Systems

- **Biggest risks: all those of Case 8 plus**
 - Need to synchronize, integrate separately-managed, independently-evolving systems
 - Extremely large-scale; deep supplier hierarchies
 - Rapid adaptation to change extremely difficult
- **Example: Net-centric military operations**
- **Size/complexity: Very high**
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month): Mixed parts; 1-10%**
- **Criticality: Very high**
- **NDI support: Many NDIs; some in place**
- **Organization and personnel capability: Related experience, medium to high**
- **Key Stage I activities: Full ICM; extensive multi-owner teambuilding, negotiation**
- **Key State II activities: Full ICM; large ongoing system/software engineering effort**
- **Time/build: 2-4 months** **Time/increment: 18-24 months**

Case 10: Family of Systems

- **Biggest risks: all those of Case 8 plus**
 - Need to synchronize, integrate separately-managed, independently-evolving systems
 - Extremely large-scale; deep supplier hierarchies
 - Rapid adaptation to change extremely difficult
- **Example: Medical device product line**
- **Size/complexity: Medium to very high**
- **Anticipated change rate (% per month): 1-3%**
- **Criticality: Medium to very high**
- **NDI support: Some in place**
- **Organization and personnel capability: Related experience, medium to high capability**
- **Key Stage I activities: Full ICM; full stakeholder participation in product line scoping; strong business case**
- **Key State II activities: Full ICM; extra resources for first system, version control, multi-stakeholder support**
- **Time/build: 1-2 months Time/increment: 9-18 months**

Frequently Asked Question

Q: Having all that ICM generality and then using the decision table to come back to a simple model seems like an overkill.

- **If my risk patterns are stable, can't I just use the special case indicated by the decision table?**

A: Yes, you can and should – as long as your risk patterns stay stable. But as you encounter change, the ICM helps you adapt to it.

- **And it helps you collaborate with other organizations that may use different special cases.**