

Industry Perspective:

The Challenge of  
Transitioning  
Innovative Technology

NDIA-Charleston

15 Apr 08

Mal O'Neill, PhD, NAE  
LTG USA/CTO LMC (ret)

# Agenda:

- Situation
- People
- Difficulties
- Successes
- Actions

# What's happening to our products?

- Complexity is following Moore's law
- Transformational system requirements are daunting
- Customers' expectations increasing and expanding

# Innovative Modernization is 4-D

- New customer
- New developer
- New process
- New product

# Innovative Technology:

- **Promises major long term improvements in performance, cost, quality, and/or totally new capabilities**
  - Largely unproven
  - Faces competition/adversaries
  - Lacks advocates, especially with customer
  - Forces change
  - Adds risk for industry, developer and user

# People Create Innovation

- Aging workforce – experience lost
- HS Math/Science scores poor
- Engineering enrollments down
- System Engineering only On-job
- Growing Demand for Engineers

***Where are tomorrow's innovators?***

# Why is Transitioning So Difficult?

- Uneducated decisionmakers
- New customers
- Acceptable legacy systems
- Monies needed
- Unknown unknowns
- Doctrine/Force Structure threatened
- Community of Practice damaged



# Warfighter is Critical

- Operational Insights
- Value/impact of potential capability
- When/how much new capability is needed
- But he ---
  - Doesn't understand the technology/potential
  - Might be wrong customer
  - Can't articulate key knowledge to developer

“If I'd asked my customers what they wanted – they would have asked for a faster horse” Henry Ford



# Industry Reluctant To Lead Transition

- **Prefers incremental modernization**
- **Hesitates to provide leadership and resources**
- **Doubts credibility of innovators**

# Success – Nano Testimony

- **Don't say “innovative” – avoid frontal assault**
- **Engage suppliers in modernization strategy**
- **Worst vice is overselling!!! Credibility is Key!!**

**Interview, Dr. Tom Cellucci,  
Pres/COO, Zyvex Corp.**

# Nanomaterials Hit the Field

**Easton**  
**The Ballpark**


**"Range-Baseball :25/:05"**

**EAST 0502**

**TRT: 30 Seconds**

**04-27-05**

**Edited Master**




LIVE ACTION | GRAPHIC | ANIMATION

# Success: DOD Nanomaterial

- **Multifunctional Nano-Structures**

- **Ultra Light Weight**
- **Strength, rigidity**
- **Producibility**
- **Mission Adaptability**



**Extended Wing LOCAAS**

**Courtesy of Dr. Les Kramer, LMMFC**

# Success – JSF Lift Fan

- Lean team '87: USMC, DARPA and Lockheed
- USMC stayed in-charge
- DARPA support before IRAD \$
- PM designed/advocated “lift fan”
- Competitor influenced final “lift fan” decision
- AF code convinced engine teams
- AF added strong staff/tech support

**Interview, Dr.P. Bevilaqua, NAE  
Skunk-PM, Invented Lift Fan**

# FIRST: STO-SSDash-VL



**Courtesy of LMAero**

# Action: Materiel Developer

- Engage the internal R&D community
- Strengthen focus on new ideas
- Refresh labs/RDECs to ensure in-house capabilities in SE and across new domains

**Reference: Mike Marshall, “From Science to Seapower”**



# Action: DOD AT&L

- Fund designated innovative technologies
- Add strong system engineering discipline
- Hire/support new S&Es
- Engage Industry/DOE/DHS/NSF

# Action: Warfighter

- Include industry in Combat Developments
- Train cadre to examine capability options
- Use concept of “pilot” operations in field to evaluate new hardware
- Be willing to revise Doctrine, TOEs, TTPs

# Action: Industry (1)

- Develop accountability
- Allocate resources
- Shield innovative technologies
- Develop credibility with customer
- Convince BOD/shareholders

# Action: Industry (2)

- Establish Skunkworks
- Develop Mod-Sim-Test
- Tie above to Warfighter/Developer
- Explore the potential of new tech
- Educate system engineers, et al
- Allow failure

# Summary/Conclusion

**Transition is hard but essential for DOD success**

**Technical and engineering skills are vital**

**A team is required –**

**Industry/Warfighter/Developer**

“I must work longer and harder each day to weave a world in which I can live.”

Callahan, *Adrift – 76 Days Lost at Sea*

ANY QUESTIONS ?

BACKUP




# People Make Products Work

- John Roebling designed the Brooklyn Bridge, alone
- Frank Crowe drove the construction of Hoover Dam alone
- Ed Heinemann knew the Grumman A-4 better than anyone else
- Kelly Johnson knew every Lockheed airplane better than anyone else

***Where are system engineers today?***

# Aerospace Workforce Aging

## Industry Age Distribution



Source: BAH Study

**Industry losing many experienced SE's annually**

# Engineering Enrollment Down ...

## Full-Time Engineering Enrollments



Source: National Science Foundation –  
Science and Engineering Indicators 2000


## Engineering Graduates Selected Disciplines

Discipline*	1991	2000
Aerospace	4,072	2,175
Electrical	29,024	21,424
Mechanical	19,443	17,241
Computer	8,259	15,351
Total	60,798	53,189

Source: Engineering Workforce Commission

\*System Engineering Discipline not available in most universities

# U.S. 12<sup>th</sup> Graders Underperform in Math and Science



IEA Third International  
Mathematics and Science  
Study (TIMSS) 1994-95, 1999



# ... While Demand Growing

## U. S. Engineering Job Growth- Selected Disciplines 1998-2008

Discipline*	1998	2008	% Change
Aerospace	53,000	58,000	9.4
Electrical	357,000	450,000	26.0
Mechanical	220,000	256,000	16.4
Computer	5,626,000	11,144,000	98.0
Total	6,056,000	11,908,000	96.6

*Source: U. S Bureau of Labor Statistics*

\*System Engineers needed for most DOD applications