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SUBJECT: The Defense Acquisition System

E1.1.23. **Safety.** Safety shall be addressed throughout the acquisition process. Safety considerations include human (includes human/system interfaces), toxic/hazardous materials and substances, production/manufacturing, testing, facilities, logistical support, weapons, and munitions/explosives. All systems containing energetics shall comply with insensitive munitions criteria.
Common misconceptions

IM systems are too expensive
IM = reduced performance
IM technology is not available

MSIAC is attempting to change these perceptions!
Implementation – A Systems Approach

• Aspects to be considered:
  – Energetics (first point of call)
  – Design & Construction
  – Packaging
  – Stacking
  – Platform integration (ship magazines)
  – Other measures, barriers etc

• Not ‘safe’, just less violent
• Testing in accordance with Stanag 4439 gives us a signature with which we can judge progress.
### TEX v RDX

**Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>TEX</th>
<th>RDX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autoignition:</td>
<td>282 °C</td>
<td>205 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction:</td>
<td>&gt;353 N</td>
<td>120 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact:</td>
<td>23 -25 Nm</td>
<td>7.5 Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD:</td>
<td>6 – 8 J</td>
<td>???</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Diameter@ density</td>
<td>21 mm</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detonation Performance calculated with Cheetah 1.36**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detonation velocity</th>
<th>8160 m s⁻¹ @1.99 g cm⁻³</th>
<th>8750 m s⁻¹ @1.76 g cm⁻³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detonation pressure</td>
<td>31.4 GPa</td>
<td>35.2 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurney Energy</td>
<td>2510 ms⁻¹</td>
<td>2830 ms⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Price Index**

10 *(will decrease significantly when produced on larger scale)*

- ATK, EXPLOSIA, RAFAEL
- The patents mostly belong to ATK and Rafael.
Insensitive Munitions (who started it?)

- Birth of the Insensitive Munition Program
- Nobel
  - Dynamite
- USS Forrestal, (134 Killed, 161 Injured)
- USS Oriskany (44 Killed, 156 Injured)
- More recently Camp Dohar, 3 killed in the clean up, 49 injured 102 vehicles damaged or destroyed
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour code</th>
<th>Response Type</th>
<th>Response Type</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>V or NR</td>
<td>Burning or no response</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Deflagration</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Explosion</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>I or II</td>
<td>Detonation or Partial detonation</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Colour coding for Shaped Charge Jet (SCJ)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colour code</th>
<th>Response Type</th>
<th>Response Type</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>V^2D (mm^3/µs^2)</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>III, IV, V or NR</td>
<td>Explosion, Deflagration, Burning or no response</td>
<td>Above 200</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>III, IV, V or NR</td>
<td>Explosion, Deflagration, Burning or no response</td>
<td>Below 200</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>I or II</td>
<td>Detonation or Partial detonation</td>
<td>Fail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
30 mm (NAMMO Raufoss, Mauser, ATK, Alliant, Primex)

Performance Comparisons

- **No reduction in performance**
- **Armour Piercing:** MK 258 MOD 0 and MK 268 MOD 0 (APFSDS-T) & NM30 (MPDS)
- **High Explosive Incendiary:** MK 238 MOD 0 (HEI-T w/M758 Fuze), MK 266 MOD 0 (HEI-T w/FMU-151/B Fuze),
- **Multi-Purpose:** MK 267 MOD 0 (MPLD) and MK 264 MOD 0 (MPLD-T)

IM Technology

- **MPLD-T:** PBXN-5
- **HEI-T:** PBXN-5
- **M592 Ammo container vent**

IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- No cost benefit information available although greater survivability and reduced loss of stockpile inevitable.

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>APFSDS-T</strong></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>&gt;III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPLD-T</strong></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>&gt;III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HEI-T</strong></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>&gt;III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APFSDS - Armour piercing, fin stabilised, discarding sabot
MPLD - Multi purpose, low drag, HEI – High explosive, Incendiary
60-mm M720E1 HE Mortar Cartridge

Performance Comparisons

- 25% average increase in lethality above current configuration (1340 steel against HF-1 steel) despite 5% loss in Performance Dent Depth between PAX-21 and Comp B

IM Technology

- IM High Explosive - PAX-21 (melt-cast)
- IM Fuze Booster - PBXN-5
- Gun propellant - no IM modifications
- Venting – Plastic Fuze adapter, obturator
- Logistic Packaging design (PA164 & PA124)
  - Round orientation: Fuze up
  - No fire A18NV Intumescent coating
  - Longer fibre tube

IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- Comp B – 6-8 USD/lb, PAX-21 – 10-12 USD/lb
- Mitigation cost 18-20 USD/round

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>V*</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Customers

- US Army

* PA124 Ammunition (Metal) Container, Wire bound box (16 live rounds=2 X PA124)
## 105-mm DPICM (M915)

### Performance Comparisons

- **Ageing** – no degradation in performance

### IM Technology

- High Explosive – PAX-2A with 0.5% flow additive (756 g for 42 grenades)

### IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- Manufacturing process compatible with Comp-A5 equipment
- Cost of Comp-A5 is 30% of PAX-2A (USD$30/lbs for HSAAP)
- Extra-cost for system should be < 2%

### IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III*</td>
<td>V*</td>
<td>IV*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* With inert fuze and propellant in PA117 shipping containers

### Customers

- US Army (high rate production - 30,000 parts per 10-hour shift)

---

Note: previous version of 105 DPICM was involved in Camp Doha accident in 1991.
Reactive Tile Armour for Armoured Fighting Vehicle (AFV)

Performance Comparisons

- LBR6 when compared to C4 as the energetic in Explosive Reactive Armor trial produced a similar reduction in penetration result.

Customers

- US Army for Bradley, Abrams and Stryker

IM Technology

- Explosive : Low Burning Rate 6 (LBR6)
- NATO 1.2.3 (US DoD Classification) therefore has passed FCO, SCO, BI and SR.
- Must react to SCJ to be effective
- Rafael product
- Contains RDX with an inert fire retardant and an inert binder

IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- US DoD classified NATO 1.2.3

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assumed results because of 1.2.3 assessment
### Excalibur 155mm guided projectile (XM982)

#### Performance Comparisons
- Precision munition, high angle of attack (near vertical)
- Packaged HE Projectile: HD 1.3 compliant during storage and transportation
- First operational firing May 2007

![Radial vent holes](image)

### IM Technology
- High Explosive: PBXN-9
- Pressed Booster:
- Flexible shell liner HDPE
- Modified packaging
- A number of vent plugs, located around the warhead body, which are also designed to melt at a lower temperature than the temperature at which the round reacts.

### IM Benefits (cost analysis)
- Initial costs ~ $100K USD, reducing to ~ $30K USD in full production

### IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In packaging

### Customers
- US Army (725 Rounds in 2007)
120-mm APFSDS (M829A3)

Performance Comparisons
- New higher energy propellant (multiplex stick charge – RPD-380)
- DU penetrator

IM Technology
- New container: PA-171
- 2 single Pane Windows
- Fiberglass reinforced PE ionomer
- 90 degrees offset and 79 in² Vent Area

IM Benefits (cost analysis)
- Container cost increase is marginal

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Customers
- Currently undergoing type classification (expected to be assigned NATO 1.2.3)
- US Army

1. M829A2
2. M829A3
**155/52 Artillery shell: 155 LU 211-IM**

### Performance Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Comp B</th>
<th>XF-13333</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formulation</strong></td>
<td>RDX/TNT (60/40)</td>
<td>NTO/TNT/Al/Wax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Density</strong></td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VoD</strong></td>
<td>7860</td>
<td>7150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IM Technology

- IM High Explosive: XF-13333
- NTO 48%, TNT 31%, Al 14%, Wax 7%
- Embedded booster (V-350)
- Storage pallet 20-round configuration (95% of life cycle)
  Not fuzed – Plugged

### IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- Hexal / XF-13333 (NTO cost sensitive)
- Increased Unit Cost shell: ~ 6%

### IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexal</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU211-M</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>IV *</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LU211-IM</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Heavy Fragment Impact 250 g – 2000 m/s: type III MURAT ** (Latest results suggest ***)

### Customers

- French Army – 5,000 rounds in 2004 plus 20,000 in 2006
Modulares Treibladungssystem (DM72/92)

Performance Comparisons

- Full agreement with specification for muzzle velocity, maximum pressure, temperature coefficient and pressure waves for zone 6

IM Technology

- R5730/R5733 solvent less triple base propellant with RDX
- Packaging container with vents

IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- Five modules cost no more than a US M203 unitary (NATO Zone 8) charge and are cheaper to buy than the equivalent L10 charge

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M203A1</td>
<td>IV/V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>≥III</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM72/92*</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In logistics container

Customers

- 5 NATO countries (>1.5M units shipped)
## Air Defence Missile (VT1 01)

### Performance Comparisons
- Maximum effective range: 11 km
- Altitude: 6 km
- Lethal blast radius: 8 m

### Customers
- France, Greece, Finland, Oman

### IM Technology
- Warhead: HBU88A
- Slapper initiation
- Rocket Motor: TPH-8313
- Graphite epoxy case with Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastics

### IM Benefits (cost analysis)
- No information

### IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Warhead</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RM</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT1</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assessment – MURAT 1*
Anti-Personnel Obstacle Breaching System (APOBS)

Performance Comparisons
- Improvement in deployment characteristics and packaging (130-pound two-man portable) over the intensive manpower M1A2 Bangalore Torpedo demolition kit
- 1 APOBS = 3 Bangalore
- Capabilities (45 m - 98% effectiveness) similar to the much larger M58 Mine Clearing Linear Charge
- Reduction in system weight

IM Technology
- 108 grenades with PBXN-10 main charge and booster explosive
- PBXN-8 detonating cord
- Packaging design

IM Benefits (cost analysis)
- Cost of PBXN-10 is 1/3 that of PBXN-9
- Palletized load weight has been reduced

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBXN-9</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>I/III</td>
<td>I/III</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBXN-10</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>&gt;I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Customers
- US Army, US Marine Corps (Production)
# Demolition Block No.4 Mk1

## Performance Comparisons
- Penetrates 1” steel (Armor) plates
- Operating Temperatures: -54°C to +71°C
- TNT equivalent: 1.6
- Service life over 20 years

## IM Technology
- PX-139 RDX/HTPB (87/13)

## IM Benefits (cost analysis)
- No information

## IM Signature
- C4: III
- PX-139: V V NR NR* V**

* Rafael test – EFP - Cu 16.2 g, 1500 m/s
** Minimum 60mm air gap

## Customers
- Germany, Norway, Switzerland, Malaysia, Italy
## Performance Comparisons

- RDX/DNAN based melt cast
- Comp B replacement

## IM Technology

- Energetics PAX 41 main charge
- Low Energy Exploding Foil Initiator
- RSI 007 (CL20 based) initiation
- Venting of plastic material around GIM
- Packaging design – venting of box seal
- Use of polythene foam for shock attenuation

## IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- Cost of PAX 41 is low
- Grenade initiation Module (GIM) has twice the output at ½ the cost of traditional Fuze and booster

## IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
<th>SCJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAX 41</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reported as a pass/fail however response not specified

## Customers

- US Army
**Evolved Sea Sparrow Missile (ESSM)**

**Performance Comparisons**

- **Rocket Motor**: As well as superior IM performance, the HTPE propellants offer comparable energy density and equivalent/superior physical properties.
- **Warhead**: KS-33 (90% HMX) – VoD 8480 ms\(^{-1}\)
- PBXN-4 (94% DATB) – VoD 7200 ms\(^{-1}\)
- PBXN-3 (86% HMX)

**Customers**

- US Navy, Norway, Germany, Australia, Netherlands, Denmark, Canada, Spain, Italy, Turkey, Greece, Belgium and Portugal

**IM Technology**

- IM High Explosive (15kg) – KS-33
- Dual HTPE propellant grain (119 kg)
- Laser Arm and Fire Device as Ignition System for the rocket
- Composite Case being considered for the next generation (PI)
- Al/polystyrene foam sandwich casing for the container

**IM Benefits (cost analysis)**

- KS-33 is cast-cured whilst PBXN 3 & 4 are pressed HE. Cost difference by HMX

**IM Signature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V*</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>&gt;II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>V*</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In launch canister. ¹ Baseline motor, steel case
Lightweight Torpedo (MU90)

**Performance Comparisons**
- Tip velocity 8925 ms⁻¹

**IM Technology**
- IM High Explosive: V-350 (Isostatic pressed)
- Booster V-350
- Logistic container with a sandwich barrier

**IM Benefits (cost analysis)**
- MU 90 Cost: TATB-Minimal effect on unit cost

**IM Signature**
- Octol (HMX/TNT)  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>HFI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>NR*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- MU-90
  | FCO | SCO | BI   | HFI | SR  |
  | V   | V   | V    | IV  | NR* |

* In logistics container

** Customers**
- Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Poland, Australia, New Zealand

[Diagram of Lightweight Torpedo (MU90)]
Naval Strike Missile (NSM)

Performance Comparisons
- Hard target penetrator
- Fuze Counts voids/ (hard) layers w/ back-up timer.
Weight: approx. 400 kg. Range: in excess of 150 km. Designed for littoral waters as well as open sea scenarios. (The advanced design allows the missile to fly around and over landmasses.)

IM Technology
- IM High Explosive: KS22a (67 % RDX)(15 % Plastic Binder)(18% Al)
- Boost Motor Carbon fiber reinforced plastic casing
- Turbofan sustainer

IM Benefits (cost analysis)
- Cost difference of IM over non IM would be insignificant

IM Signature

Customers
- Norwegian Navy
- Platforms: Ships
- Also planned for Patrol Boats, trucks, armoured vehicles, and airborne weapon carriers.
Sea Mine 2000

Performance Comparisons

- Relative bubble energy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TNT</th>
<th>Tritonal</th>
<th>H-6</th>
<th>PBXN-103</th>
<th>PBXN-111</th>
<th>FOXIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IM Technology

- EIDS High Explosive FOXIT (AP/Al/RS-RDX/HTPB: (38/28/20/14))
- IRDX – different crystallisation to normal RDX
- Case: Special composite material

IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- Ingredient cost (RDX cost-driven factor)
  FOXIT < H6
- Process cost: Cast-cured > melt-cast

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Torpex</th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOXIT</th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Customers

- Finland

* Air gap > 50 cm
AGM-158: JASSM

**Performance Comparisons**

- Requirements:
  - Blast and fragmentation similar to Mk-82 and Mk-83 (AFX-757 e.g. 2.54 kJ/cm³)
  - Hard-target case (hard steel alloy > 2 cm)

**IM Technology**

- EIDS High Explosive (AFX-757)
- Venting fuze booster (PBXN-9)
- Aft closure, thermally reactive retaining ring
- Logistic container

**IM Benefits (cost analysis)**

- 240 lbs HE in the warhead (~ BLU-110)
- JASSM Unit Cost ~ USD $0.7 M
- US DoD Classified as NATO 1.2.3

**IM Signature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mk-83 (H6 fill)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLU-110</td>
<td>IV/V</td>
<td>IV/V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JASSM</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>III*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only in the logistics container

**Customers**

- US Air Force
- Australia

**Customers**

- US Air Force
- Australia

**Performance Comparisons**

- Requirements:
  - Blast and fragmentation similar to Mk-82 and Mk-83 (AFX-757 e.g. 2.54 kJ/cm³)
  - Hard-target case (hard steel alloy > 2 cm)
**STORM SHADOW / SCALP EG**

**Performance Comparisons**

- All western countries precision-guided cruise missiles are IM to a certain extent and used similar HE formulations (PBXN-109 type)

**IM Technology**

- High Explosives:
  - PBXN-110 (Precursor Charge)
  - PBXN-109 (Follow-Through Bomb)
- Booster Explosive: Rowanex 3601
- Logistic Container

**IM Benefits (cost analysis)**

- Not Relevant as this family of large penetrator missiles is IM only
- UK MoD classified as NATO 1.2.3

**IM Signature**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mk-83</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLU-110</td>
<td>IV/V</td>
<td>IV/V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Shadow</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V*</td>
<td>IV**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* By analysis, ** In logistics container

**Customers**

- France
- Greece
- Italy
- UK
## IRIS-T (SHORT RANGE AIR to AIR)

### Performance Comparisons
- Improved rocket motor
- 50g turns reported

### IM Technology
- **Warhead**
  - Energetics and construction classified
- **Motor**
  - Thermal Initiated Venting System (trialed)
  - HTPB/AP/AL composite
  - Reduced smoke

### IM Benefits (cost analysis)
- IRIS-T unit cost approx $270,000

### Customers
- Germany
- Greece
- Italy
- Norway
- Spain
- Sweden

### IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIM-9M</th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Warhead</th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motor Unit</th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**IM Technology**
- IM High explosives (B2214, ORA86B)
- Discharge of combustion gas by the liner towards the end
- Intumescent paint
- Logistic pallet geometry
- Venting devices in rear frame

**IM Benefits (cost analysis)**
- For the all up round (including Paveway II guidance kit), ratio between IM version and non-IM version is 1.13

**Customers**
- French Naval Air Force (production)

**Performance Comparisons**
- Graph showing fragments number vs. fragment mass for 500 lb GP and BANG 250.

**IM Signature**
- CBEMS/BANG: F, V, V, V, III
- 4 palletized bombs without the fuze MURAT **
HELLFIRE II WARHEAD (AGM-114K)

Performance Comparisons
- Design adaptation studies:
  - explosive pressing, weight reduction, and liner adaptation
- At 7 CD stand-off same performance
- Over 70 tests

IM Technology
- K variant incorporates an IM High explosive (PBXN-9) to improve Helicopter survivability
- IM booster
- 2" thick buffer aluminum

IM Benefits (cost analysis)
- Explosive weight reduced (<14 pounds)
- No hardware modification
- Similar loading and assembling method
- No more wave shaper

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LX14</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>I/III</td>
<td>I/III</td>
<td>I/III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBXN-9</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>I/III</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All missiles in shipping containers

Customers
- US Army
- US Marines
- UK Army (Apache, Cobra Helicopters)
- France
SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) (GBU-39B)

Performance Comparisons

- Nominal range ~100 km
- Warhead mass ~48 kg Net Explosive Weight
- Current and planned launch platforms include F-15E, B-1, B-2, F-16, F-18, F-22 and F-117.

IM Technology

- Boeing GBU/39B, 250lb class munition
- EIDS high explosive (AFX-757)

IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- Total program cost ~US$2.59 billion including development
- 24,000 phase 1 units and 2000 carriages
- Reported USAF target price is <US$50K/bomb
- USA DoD classified NATO 1.2.3 and UN HD1.2

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GBU-39B SDB was only the second munition to be given SsD 1.2.3 (following JASSM) which means the above signature has been achieved. SR is Type III or better.

Customers

- Production approved in April 2005
- USAF plans to purchase 24,000
2.75” ROCKET SYSTEM

Performance Comparisons

- Mk-146 Mod 0: larger lethal area and greater fractional casualties/damage than the M229 (Mk-151) Warhead, at all ranges and all targets:
  - Standing and Prone Personnel: ~20%
  - Light Trucks: ~9%
  - Straight Flush Radar: ~5%

IM Technology

- Insensitive explosives in Mk-146 Mod 0 Warhead (PBXN-110) and fuze (Lead-in charge: PBXN-5 and booster charge: PBXN-7)
- Venting on both warhead ends to improve Cook-off reaction (Polymer adapter)
- Nitinol (shape memory) ring, vented motor tube and ejectable warhead adapter for motor section

IM Benefits (cost analysis)

- None available

IM Signature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FCO</th>
<th>SCO</th>
<th>BI</th>
<th>FI</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mk-151 CompB</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mk-146 Mod 0</td>
<td>V*</td>
<td>V*</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM Mk-66 Mod 5</td>
<td>IV/V*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In LAU-6CA launcher or Mk-706 mod 0 container

Customers

- Mk-146: Scheduled to be introduced to production in FY04

Performance Comparisons

- Mk-146 Mod 0: larger lethal area and greater fractional casualties/damage than the M229 (Mk-151) Warhead, at all ranges and all targets:
  - Standing and Prone Personnel: ~20%
  - Light Trucks: ~9%
  - Straight Flush Radar: ~5%
US IM Strategic Plan

Complete munitions portfolio for the Program Executive Office (legacy, developmental, production, awaiting production, Advanced Technology Demonstration, Foreign Comparative Testing, inventory)

- For each munition:
  - Research Development Test, Evaluation and procurement profiles
  - Baseline and predicted IM performance
  - Ongoing and planned technology integration efforts with identified funding

- IM investment priorities and prioritization criteria
- Standardized detailed IM Plan of Action and Milestones for each priority program
- Service-specific and Joint IM investments
- Unfunded IM requirements
- Technology shortfalls
Albania 15th March 2008 - The End