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Introduction

- **About The MITRE Corporation**
  - Federally Funded Research and Development Center
  - Not for Profit
  - Specific Domain - systems engineering support
  - SEI Partner

- **The kinds of SCAMPI Appraisals we do**
  - Where our freedom from Conflicts of Interest is useful
    - Source Selection
    - Programs being reviewed
  - Often in programs with little or no CMMI/SCAMPI experience
  - Using both the CMMI DEV and CMMI ACQ

- **Our goals in this presentation**
  - Share lessons learned in first time SCAMPI C appraisals
  - Suggestions for mentoring first time Appraisal Team Members
# Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Expectations</th>
<th>Harsh Reality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enthusiastic support from Higher Level Management</td>
<td>Sponsor oversight delegated, sometimes more than once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red carpet welcome</td>
<td>SCAMPI is “additional work”, and, therefore, lower priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members of the Organizational Unit (OU) participate according to the appraisal plan</td>
<td>Rescheduled events Substitutes at affirmation sessions Low attendance at Preliminary and Final Findings No Executive Outbrief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledgeable Participants</td>
<td>Few are trained in the model or the appraisal process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abundant evidence</td>
<td>Discovery mode is predominant Direct evidence difficult to locate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate on-site support</td>
<td>Appraisal team working space is hard to find</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opportunities and Lessons Learned
#1 - Engage the Sponsor

- Productivity and efficiency are directly proportional to sponsor support

- Prepare for the first meeting with the sponsor
  - Emphasize the importance of the Sponsor’s role
  - In addition to the standard introductory briefing
    - Bring templates (for everything)
    - Anticipate questions and ask the ones that aren’t asked
    - Give examples of what can happen without sponsor support
  - Try to achieve a shared understanding of
    - Sponsor needs and expectations
    - When the sponsor must participate
    - The need for sponsor/team leader direct communications

- The appraisal sponsor must understand what is happening and stay engaged from start to finish
When Deciding on Scope

- Scope is more than a list of process areas. It is what the team will do and deliver
  - Get the details of the sponsors needs and relate them to the business and appraisal objectives
  - Leave as little as possible to interpretation

- The team leader and sponsor must examine and agree on appraisal context
  - Why is the appraisal chartered?
  - What are the actual information requirements? What questions need to be answered?
  - What process areas are critical?
  - What non-model findings are important, and how will the appraisal team come to those findings?
Insist on Detailed Planning

- Planning must be thorough and documented in the appraisal plan. Every planning element is important and, if given short shrift, a potential element of risk.

- Of particular importance (in our experience)
  - OU participants – make sure they are able to participate before putting them on the schedule. Keep track of substitutions and why they happened
  - Detailed schedules, particularly during the execution phase
  - Include the names of OU participants
  - Publish revisions.
  - Appraisal Results Delivery Method so the sponsor knows what to expect at the Final Findings
Insist on Detailed Planning - 2

- Of particular importance (in our experience)(Cont’d)
  - Constraints
    - Facilities: conference rooms and other working areas should be suitable for an appraisal team
    - Schedule: once the appraisal plan is signed, it is virtually impossible to change major scheduled events such as the on-site period or events that require travel
Committing to the Appraisal Plan

- All participants must understand that the plan defines the appraisal and conveys the sponsor’s authority to the appraisal team.

**Recommendations**

- The sponsor and each Appraisal Team Member (ATM) sign the plan.
- Provide copies of the plan to all participants.
- Revise and update the plan as necessary, and remember that changes need the sponsor’s concurrence.
- The appraisal team should review progress against the plan every day of the appraisal.
Selecting Appraisal Team Members

- It is not enough that an ATM be technically competent. There are other important considerations

- Recommendations
  - Domain experience: be as specific as possible
  - Appraisal experience:
    - More appraisal experience is better, but
    - First time ATMS should also be considered
  - Availability
    - ATMs must be able to commit to the appraisal schedule, including travel
    - Disappearing ATMs are detrimental to team productivity
First Time Appraisal Team Members

- First time ATMs
  - Early on the learning curve, slow out of the starting gate, and “deer in the headlights”
  - Minimally productive in spite of their qualifications

- Special care and feeding
  - Full disclosure during team selection
  - Specific reading assignments
  - Team Training
  - Partner with a strong mini team leader or team member
  - Personal lessons learned
  - Lead collation discussions
  - Keep the book open
  - Support, encourage, and lead by example
Appraisal Team Members
Some Personality types

- “The Adolescent” unable to focus or sit still for extended periods
- “The Executive” strong opinions, sometimes tries to work, but would rather supervise
- “Mad Dog” would rather argue, makes consensus difficult
- “The Watcher” listens to discussions, rarely contributes, occasionally agrees with other peoples’ conclusions
- “The Homer” is from the OU being appraised and is less than objective
More Personality types

- “Grumpy” does not play well with others
- “Betty Crocker” always brings great munchies for the whole team, and never gets tired
- “The Submarine” who agrees with you up to a point, then abruptly torpedoes you
- “Alpha Dog” is the know-it-all who uses intimidation to influence other ATMs
More Personality types

“Sasquatch” (rumored to exist, some sightings…)
- Understands the SCAMPI Appraisal method and the intent of the model, but still uses the book
- Studies the relevant materials, always comes prepared
- Cheerful, works well with others
- Always on schedule
- Great note taker
- Leads discussions
- Volunteers for additional work
- Contributes lessons learned
- A strong voice in development of appraisal findings.
Productive Use of Mini Teams

- Mini teams can reduce “intrusion time” and overall impact on an appraised organization
  - Important in first-time appraisals because the OU may already be under schedule pressure
  - Mini teams provide better focus

- Recommendations:
  - Use experienced ATMs with proven leadership skills as mini team leaders
  - Ensure that all teams use the same methods for evidence collection and review
  - Conduct frequent status checks and collation of evidence
PIIDs, Artifacts, and Evidence

- In first-time appraisals these are probably new concepts, and they need to be explained

Recommendations
- Training for OU participants as soon as scope is defined
  - Some Lead Appraisers insist on this training before SCAMPI As
- Side by side search for artifacts
  - The OU may try to delegate the document search to their contractor support - not a good idea
  - A list of “typical work products” is a good starting point, but being able to recognize a good artifact is better
  - Side by side search may extend into the execution phase
- As artifacts are identified and accepted, help the OU to build their own directory
Affirmation Sessions

- Affirmation sessions can be unproductive if OU personnel are unfamiliar with the process
  - Unless adequately prepared, interviewees may “freeze” or become defensive
  - Preparing the interviewees will help to prevent that

- Recommendations
  - In depth explanation of affirmation sessions during the In Brief
  - Prepare an opening script for the sessions that fully explains
    - The role of affirmations in the appraisal process
    - Non-attribution and confidentiality
    - How questions will be worded and why
    - Why the appraisal team cannot respond positively or negatively to responses and why there is so much note taking
  - Allow/invite questions during the session
  - Keep it casual as well as professional
Dealing with the Anxiety of Preliminary Findings - 1

- This event in first time appraisals is not well-understood
  - Despite full explanations at the in-brief to the OU and immediately before the preliminary findings are presented
  - Findings may be misinterpreted as criticism
  - Findings are often seen as incorrect

- Recommendations
  - During the in-brief, emphasize:
    - Findings are often based on minimal objective evidence
    - Findings are not about right or wrong, good or bad, pass or fail
    - Findings are based on how well the OU meets the intent of the model
  - More time for questions/discussion during the presentation
  - Use Special Language slides
    - Explain model terms like “Weakness,” and “Strength,”
    - Explain CMMI terms like “Establish and Maintain”
Include a slide that explains degrees of instantiation:

- “No evidence was found” means that a practice was not seen in the project appraised, based on documents reviewed and affirmation sessions
- “Little evidence was found” means that a practice or partial practice was found at least once
- “Some evidence was found” means that a practice or partial practice was found occasionally
- “Substantial evidence was found” means that a practice was found in most areas
## Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesson</th>
<th>Lesson Learned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sponsor Support is essential</td>
<td>Educate, over-communicate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope must very specific</td>
<td>Get details, relate to objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning must be complete</td>
<td>Every element is a source of risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal Plans become shelfware</td>
<td>Signatures, updates, copies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special considerations for ATMs</td>
<td>Specific domain/appraisal experience, and availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rookie ATMs need special handling</td>
<td>Mentoring and monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use mini teams if possible</td>
<td>Qualified leaders, consistency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good objective evidence is rare</td>
<td>Educate the OU, side-by-side search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affirmation sessions can be scary</td>
<td>Introductory comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation of preliminary findings</td>
<td>Explain terminology and purpose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions and Discussion
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