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Historically Speaking

- We tend to have a HiMat renaissance every 5-6 years.
  - 90s- do earned value and overlay +- 10% lines, take action when outside of lines
  - Turn of the century- usage of SPC and it’s application to “subprocess” level
Class slide- Relevant Terminology

- **Statistical management**
  - Management involving statistical thinking and the correct use of a variety of statistical techniques, such as run charts, control charts, and confidence intervals

- **Quantitative management**
  - The process of using data from statistical management to help the project
    - Predict whether it will be able to achieve its quality and process-performance objectives, and
    - Identify what corrective action (if any) should be taken
… and in conclusion…

“Build a Model”

- A-priori
- Quantitatively determine the impacts of
  - Proposed improvements
  - Process changes or a new combination of subprocess used
Caveat Emptor

- This discussion is NOT about
  - Mandates or lack thereof for subpractice usage
  - Full coverage of all the HiMat practices
  - Everything you must do to be HiMat
Preface

This discussion is attempting to move our dialog from the theoretical towards the concrete. Not the requirements, not the code, but the design level of some HiMat expectations.
Preface

This discussion IS about **some** of the activities necessary for an organization to claim HiMat... in other words...

*It would indeed be curious if an organization that claimed to be HiMat and was **unable** to demonstrate each of the following...*
Thesis item 1- Special Cause

- The removal of special causes of variation (QPM)
Thesis item 2 - Common Cause

- The removal of common causes of variation utilizing:
  - OID processes and/or CAR processes
Thesis item 2 - Common Cause

Examples

Before

After
Thesis item 3- Org. PPMs

- Organization wide Process-Performance Models (PPMs) used for predicting:
  - Estimates \textbf{AND} Product quality
Thesis item 3- Org. PPMs

- Org-wide means the organization tunes the model based on past project actuals, and current projects use the model to predict outcomes by feeding in their current data

- Estimating examples: SEER, COCOMO, COSYSMO
Thesis item 3- Org. PPMs

- Product quality examples:

Figure 1: Customer Reported Unique Defects (CRUD) Prediction Chart

Crosstalk, 2002: Diaz, King
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Thesis item 3- Org. PPMs

- Product quality examples:

```
Project Code Defects

Defects Originated in Code and Unit Test Detected by Stage
(Normalized by Developed KSLOC)

Crosstalk, 2007: Frost, Campo
```
Thesis item 4- PPM for ROI

- Process-Performance Models and/or simulations pertaining to:
  - OID SP 1.1 “Collect and analyze improvement proposals”, sub-practice 2 “Analyze the cost and benefits of process-and technology-improvement proposals as appropriate” *in other words: modeling the results of the proposal or the ROI of the proposal*, OR
  - OID SP 1.2 “Identify and analyze innovations”, sub-practice 3 “Analyze potential innovative improvements to understand their effects on process elements and predict their influence on the process” *in other words: modeling the results of the innovation or the ROI of the innovation.*
Thesis item 4- PPM for ROI

- Perhaps one may not model the results (or ROI) for the relocation of the peer template for greater accessibility.
- Perhaps one may model the results (ROI) for the use of agile methods.
- It would indeed be curious if a HiMat organization had no instance of modeling activity for a proposed change or innovation.
Thesis item 5- Project PPM

- Process-Performance Models and/or simulations pertaining to:
  - QPM SP 1.1 “Establish the project’s objectives”, sub-practice 5 “Derive interim objectives for each lifecycle phase, as appropriate, to monitor progress toward achieving the project’s objectives”, OR
  - QPM SP 1.2 “Compose the defined process”, sub-practice 4 “Identify the risk when no subprocess is available that is known to be capable of satisfying the quality and process-performance objectives (i.e., no capable subprocess is available or the capability of the subprocess is not known)”, OR
  - QPM SP 1.4 “Manage process performance”, sub-practice 4 “Use process-performance models calibrated with obtained measures of critical attributes to estimate progress toward achieving the project’s quality and process-performance objectives”
Thesis item 5- Project PPM

- This is pertaining to a model that a project would build when subjected to uncommon circumstances:
  - Customer mandated use of a code generator
  - Current process cannot achieve customer goals
  - The project will be using a combination of subprocesses that has yet to be tried

- Whatever the circumstance, it would indeed be curious if a HiMat organization had no instance of any project ever utilizing any modeling techniques
Thesis item 6- Testing for Statistical Significance

- Some opportunities:
  - OPP SP 1.4, sub 5 "compare the org's PPB to the associated objectives", **OR**
  - OID SP 1.3 "Pilot Improvements", **OR**
  - QPM SP 1.3 "Select the subprocesses that will be statistically managed” to determine the degree to which the subprocess can impact the project’s objectives"
Thesis item 6- Testing for Statistical Significance

- In other words, it would be curious indeed if a HiMat organization had never run any sort of test for statistical significance anywhere at any time.
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