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Major supplier of a broad range of products
Major subsystem supplier
Becoming a system supplier in:
  - ISR
  - Training
  - Aircraft modernization and O&M
  - Government services
Major provider of national security solutions in:
  - C4ISR
  - Homeland security and defense/GWOT*
  - Government enterprise IT
  - Transformational programs

* Global War on Terrorism (GWOT)
Enterprise IT Solutions (EITS) Division Overview

- **Organization:** Division of L-3 Communications
- **Employees:** Over 2,000 professionals
- **Headquarters:** Reston, VA
- **Chartered to support civil and defense Government agencies**
- **Mission:** Provide world-class enterprise information technology (IT), communications, and engineering services and solutions to the public sector.
- **Vision:** Become the Government’s trusted partner for exceptional IT, communications, and engineering services and solutions; and achieve a challenging and rewarding work environment.
Enterprise IT Solutions (EITS)
Organizational Profile

- EITS Division composed of diverse business units operating under multiple industry models and standards (CMMI, ISO 20000, ITIL, PMBOK)

- Government and public agency customer base
  - NASA (National Air and Space Administration) – IV&V (independent verification and validation services) ; CMMI ML 3 Objective
  - Metropolitan airport authorities (business process engineering) CMMI ML 3 Objective
  - County School Systems (IT infrastructure and support) ISO 20000 Objective
  - Federal Government (staff augmentation) CMMI ML3 Objective
  - FAA (Federal Aeronautics Administration software development) CMMI ML 3 Objective

- Many (sometimes very) small projects in
  - software development functional area (CMMI, PMBOK))
  - managed services functional area (ISO 20000, ITIL, PMBOK)

- Staff augmentation projects predominate (CMMI, PMBOK)
Å EITS measurement program must efficiently support CMMI, ISO 20000 (ITIL), PMBOK best practices

Å EITS measurement process assets must be tailorable to diverse functional areas (managed services, staff augmentation)

Å EITS measurement activities must have minimum impact on limited project staff
Measurement Program Challenges

- Customizing measurement solutions for non-homogenous business and functional areas
- Selecting the "right" measurements to best support business goals
- Cost effective staffing of measurement activities in small short term projects with minimal resources
- Effective monitoring and control of CMMI process areas with minimal measurement resources
- Mapping CMMI model measurement best practices based on larger software development projects into small non software development projects
- Integrating and reusing measurements based on CMMI measurement practices to support implementation of other industry standards (ITIL, ISO 20000, PMBOK)
Generic Practice 2.8


“Monitor and control the process against the plan for performing the process and take appropriate corrective action ….

Subpractice 1. Measure actual performance against the plan for performing the process”
The Dilemma ...

Apparent gaps uncovered during CMMI GP 2.8 implementation in EITS NASA IV&V projects

- **Initial expectation:** existing IV&V measurement program adequately covered CMMI measurement requirements with only minor gaps

- **Reality check:** generally the case except for CMMI requirements around institutionalization of GP 2.8

- **Concern:** measurements would need to be implemented in all projects being appraised for all process areas at maturity levels 2 and 3, resulting in almost 30 new measurements per project!
The Questions ...

• What sort of measurements are appropriate and useful to monitor and control each process area?
• Are measurements necessary for each process area being assessed?
• Are there alternative qualitative methods to monitor and control process areas?
• How do projects tailor monitor and control of process area quantitative or qualitative activities?
• How should senior management be informed and involved with monitor and control of process performance in projects?
• How can monitor and control of process be implemented in a time and cost effective manner?
The Happy Ending ....

- EITS division + IV&V team chartered to map existing IV&V measurement to generic measurements and address any gaps
- Almost 3 months of contentious discussion ensued in attempt to address gaps in least burdensome manner
- Qualitative measurement alternatives suggested for low value process areas; a few simple to collect but useful measurements added
- Solution strategy reviewed and approved

CMMI success!
Lessons Learned

1) Use qualitative alternatives to measurement where appropriate
   
   - Strategically use qualitative alternatives to measurement (where appropriate) to minimize overhead

   Aka K.I.S.S.
Build on the KISS principle

- CMMI GP 2.8 requires that monitor and control of process areas be institutionalized.
- Obvious mechanism to do this is to define measurements for each process area.
- May be expensive, time consuming, and non-value added.
- Division defines suggested measurements for each process area but.
- Projects identify key process areas for measurement and reporting; other process areas are monitored and controlled qualitatively with reporting by exception.
2) Carefully define measurement tailoring guidelines and validate tailoring execution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic division defined measurement</th>
<th>Tailored functional area measurement or alternative</th>
<th>Collection and analysis role</th>
<th>Reporting role and frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual cost compared to budget</td>
<td>Earned Value Cost Variance</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>Project Manager Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product defects</td>
<td>Number of formal customer issues</td>
<td>Functional area Quality System Manager</td>
<td>Quality System Manager Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Analysis Review (DAR) scheduled versus actual</td>
<td>DAR performance stoplight</td>
<td>Functional Area QA auditor</td>
<td>Quality System Manager Quarterly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Institutionalizing CMMI GP 2.8 Implementation Strategies

Use Generic measurements with tailoring validation

- Generic measurements for process area monitoring and control specified at division level with tailoring guidelines
- Existing project measurements mapped to generic specifications
- Minimal set of additional measurements and qualitative alternatives identified, reviewed, approved and implemented
3) Collect and analyze measurements at highest possible level of organization

- Enter Data
- Collect Data
- Consolidate Data
- Analyze Data
- Report Data

- Own Targets
- Validate Data
- Use Data

- Measurement implementation
- Measurement institutionalization
“Push up” implementation

- Collect data at organizational level of related business goal
- Measurements supporting division goals collected, analyzed, and reported by division measurement roles
- Measurements supporting functional area goals collected, analyzed, and reported by functional area measurement roles
- Projects collect and report only project operational measurements
4) Push institutionalization down to lowest organizational levels

- Enter Data
- Collect Data
- Consolidate Data
- Analyze Data
- Report Data

Measurement implementation

Measurement institutionalization

Own Targets
Validate Data
Use Data
“Push down” institutionalization

- Measurements supporting process goals for common processes collected, analyzed, and reported by higher organizational level but é

- Projects collect and report project operational measurements

- Projects *receive and use measurements reported by all organizational levels*
5) Leverage organizational measurement resources and best practices
Leveraging organizational assets and best practices

- Division develops measurement framework (specifications, tailoring guidance, interfaces) to support all standards and practices

- Functional areas develop application specific measurement planning frameworks with tailoring guidance; best practices shared

- Projects tailor from functional area measurement planning framework; best practices shared
Measurement program preparation for CMMI ML3 appraisal of NASA IV&V projects

- Generic measurements for process area monitoring and control specified at division level
- Existing IV&V measurements mapped to generic measurements; gaps identified
- Division/IV&V working team chartered to address gaps
- Minimal set of additional measurements and qualitative alternatives identified, reviewed, approved and implemented
Institutionalizing CMMI GP 2.8
Lessons Learned Summary

- Use qualitative alternatives to measurement where appropriate
- Carefully define measurement tailoring guidelines and validate tailoring execution
- Collect and analyze measurements at highest possible level of organization
- Push institutionalization down to lowest organizational levels
- Leverage organizational measurement resources and best practices
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