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FBX-T Program Overview

FBX-T Radar Summary

- Multi-function, X-band, high resolution, phased-array radar
- Derived from Terminal High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) system radar component
- Provides a forward-deployed sensor capability to C2BMC; acquires, tracks, and discriminates objects to be engaged by the Ballistic Missile Defense System (BMDS)

Current Status

- Spiral 1 (CR1) Operational Deployment to Japan (July 2006)
- Spiral 2 (CR2) Software Requirements Complete (August 2006)
- Spiral 2 (CR2) Internal Software Delivery (January 2007)
FBX-T Architecture / Requirements Goals

- Understand THAAD Architecture
- Derive FBX-T Architecture
- Identify Changes to Baseline (THAAD) Requirements and Code
- Develop Common X-Band Family of Radar Baseline
System Architecture Team

- **Charter**
  – “To understand and capture the behaviors of the FBX-T System, to aid in the development of robust requirements, to facilitate communication among stakeholders and to achieve seamless integration with the software development team.”

- **Products**
  – ConOps
  – System Architecture Diagrams
  – Architecture Description Document (ADD)

- **Tools**
  – Popkin System Architect
  – Rational Rose
  – Rational ClearCase
  – Telelogic DOORS
  – iTracker
Requirements Team

Charter

“To incorporate changes into legacy requirements documentation, translate new algorithms into software requirements, identify and resolve out-of-phase defects, and achieve seamless integration with the software development team.”

Products

- Common Radar Specification (CRS)
- Common Prime Item Development Specification (CPIDS)
- External Interface Specification (Ext. IRS)
- Component (Software) Requirements Specifications (SRS’s)

Tools

- Rational Rose
- Rational ClearCase
- Telelogic DOORS
- iTracker
Software Development Team

- **Charter**
  - “To perform unit coding, testing, and software level integration according to system level architecture and software requirements specifications.”

- **Products**
  - Software Architecture Diagrams
  - Tactical Code
  - Test Cases

- **Tools**
  - Rational Rose
  - Rational ClearCase
  - ADA
  - C++
  - iTracker
Collaboration

- Teams are Aligned to Products
- Teams Collaborate:
  - Shared Review Processes
  - Shared Configuration Management Processes
  - Shared Development Models
  - Common Toolsets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sys. Arch. Team</th>
<th>Requirements Team</th>
<th>Software Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOORS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rational Rose</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rational ClearCase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>iTracker</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Six Sigma Background

- **Issues with FBX-T Architecture**
  - Lack of standardized process for product development and maintenance
  - No methodology exists for consistently flowing changes throughout products
  - Products maintained in a disorganized model

- **Vision Statement**
  - By introducing Configuration Management into all phases of an Architecture product’s lifecycle, the FBX-T Radar program has created a methodology that will address the program’s issues, and serve as a baseline for other Raytheon Architecture efforts.

[Diagram showing phases: Development Phase, Review Phase, Change Phase with CM at each phase]
Architecture Development
Phase Overview

- **Process**
  - Identify products to be introduced in architecture model baseline *(Architecture Lead & Chief Systems Engineer)*
  - Update products list *(Architecture Lead)*
  - Update model hierarchy *(Architecture Lead and Architecture Team)*
  - Create new product in model *(Architecture Team)*
### Architecture Development Phase Improvements

- **BMDS Architecture Products List**
  - Complete product history
- **Defined Model Hierarchy**
  - Aligns to architecture levels
- **CM Info Placed on all Products**
  - Diagram Status/Identification Box
- **Common Naming Convention for Diagrams**

### Architecture Products List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>POC</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>WSTR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD_MAP_001</td>
<td>Perform Discrimination</td>
<td>Rational Rose</td>
<td>J. Casey</td>
<td>Draft</td>
<td>02/07/06</td>
<td>Product Identified</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD_MAP_001</td>
<td>Perform Discrimination</td>
<td>Rational Rose</td>
<td>J. Casey</td>
<td>Peer</td>
<td>02/28/06</td>
<td>Peer Review Complete</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD_MAP_001</td>
<td>Perform Discrimination</td>
<td>Rational Rose</td>
<td>J. Casey</td>
<td>V01</td>
<td>03/15/06</td>
<td>ARB Review Complete</td>
<td>56, 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Product CM Label**

- **Name:** AD_MAP_001 Initialize_MDSE/FBX-T
- **Trace:** AD_FBX_001
- **Status:** Peer Reviewed
- **Status Date:** 02/28/06
- **POC:** J. Casey

**Product CM Label**

- **Name:** AD_MAP_001 Initialize_MDSE/FBX-T
- **Trace:** AD_FBX_001
- **Status:** Peer Reviewed
- **Status Date:** 02/28/06
- **POC:** J. Casey
Model Hierarchy

Architecture Level
OV-02/SV-01 Nodes
Use Case
Activity/Sequence Diagrams
OV-02/SV-01 Diagram
**Architecture Review Phase**

**Overview**

- **Process**
  - Architecture Team Lead Review *(Author and Architecture Lead)*
    - Common diagramming practices
    - Initial product goal
  - Architecture Team Review *(Author and Architecture Team)*
    - Consistency with related products
    - Dependencies between products
  - Peer Review *(Author, Subject Matter Experts, Stakeholders)*
    - Product quality
    - Technical Correctness
  - Architecture Review Board (ARB) Review *(Author and ARB Members)*
    - Baseline Architecture Model
    - Customer Requirements

![Diagram of the Architecture Review Process]
Architecture Review Phase Improvements

- **Enhanced Review Cycle**
  - Introduced Architecture Review Board as approving authority

- **Assign Product Status**
  - Draft, Peer, ARB (Approved by ARB)

- **Affected Products Matrix**
  - Defines Relationships between products

- **Review Cycle Checklists**

---

**Affected Products Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product ID</th>
<th>AD_FBX_001</th>
<th>AD_MAP_001</th>
<th>AD_MAP_002</th>
<th>AD_MAP_003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD_FBX_001</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td></td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD_MAP_001</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>IO</td>
<td></td>
<td>IO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD_MAP_002</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>IO</td>
<td></td>
<td>IO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD_MAP_003</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>IO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- PC – Diagrams have a Parent/Child relationship
- IO – Diagrams have an Input/Output relationship
Architecture Change Phase Overview

- **Process**
  - Write Web System Trouble Report (WSTR) (*FBX-T Team Member*)
    - Description of defect
    - Identify affected product
  - Investigate Defect (*Architecture Team Member*)
    - Subject matter experts
    - Identify additional affected products and models
  - Approval of WSTR Approach (*Architecture Lead and Architecture Review Board*)
    - Presentation of problem and suggested approach
    - Program implications
  - Implementation of WSTR (*Architecture Team Member and Architecture Lead*)
    - Update Baseline (Gold Copy)
    - Verify
Architecture Change Phase Improvements

Draft/Peer Model CR 1.0

Gold Model CR 1.0

Applicable CR 1.0 WSTRs

Gold Model CR 1.01

New CR 2.1 Products

Draft/Peer Model CR 2.1 (Copy of Gold 1.0)

Applicable CR 1.0 WSTRs

Gold Model CR 2.1

CR 2.1 WSTRs

Draft/Peer Model CR 2.2 (Copy of Gold 2.1)

Applicable CR 2.2 WSTRs

New CR 2.2 Products

Legend:  
- ARB Reviews
- ClearCase-Controlled
- Rose Model
- iTracker
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Customer Benefits

- **Internal Customer (Architecture, Requirements, Software teams)**
  - Internal Deliveries Ahead of Schedule
  - Improves Synergy
  - Phase overlap allows for shortened development cycle
  - Versatile team members work on all parts and phases of system

- **External Customer (Missile Defense Agency)**
  - Consistent Program rating of “Excellent”
  - Award fee’s of 98% - 100%
  - Fewer out of phase defects
  - “No Doubt” in Raytheon’s ability to achieve the mission
Summary

- The processes from this presentation are tool and domain independent and can be implemented on programs of all sizes.

- Configuration Management fails if teams do not collaborate.

- Common models, tools, and processes provide insight between Systems and Software Engineering teams.
Questions?

Thank you for your time!
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