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Background

- An underlying principle of the CMMI model is the concept of conscious choices about how each process area will be implemented.

- For example, in implementing Configuration Management, the project planner must decide:
  - Which work products to place under configuration control (e.g., deliverables, designated internal work products, acquired products, tools)
  - What levels of configuration control (e.g., author, informal, formal) are appropriate for each work product

- This presentation will study the strategic implications of the CMMI on planning and implementing project processes.
Topics

- The Concept of Conscious Choices
- Key Choices in CMMI
  - Configuration management
  - Process and products audits
  - Verification, peer review, and validation
  - Others
- Implications
  - Project planning
  - CMMI appraisals
The Concept of Conscious Choices

- The CMMI was written to apply to a variety of project environments
  - Defense, commercial
  - Development, maintenance, services
  - Small to large project teams

- The CMMI authors wrote the practices, expecting that different choices would be made concerning how they were applied
  - E.g., “adequate”, “as appropriate”, “as needed”, “selected”, “identify” …

- You must interpret goals and practices in light of your organization’s business objectives
  - BUT, you need to understand the intent behind the practice
# Conscious Choices in CMMI Process Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Process Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Optimizing</td>
<td>Continuous process improvement</td>
<td>Causal Analysis and Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Innovation and Deployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Quantitatively</td>
<td>Quantitative management</td>
<td>Quantitative Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Process Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Defined</td>
<td>Process standardization</td>
<td>Organizational Process Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Process Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Organizational Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Integrated Project Management (for IPPD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decision Analysis and Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Requirements Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Technical Solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Product Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Managed</td>
<td>Basic project management</td>
<td>Requirements Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Project Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Project Monitoring and Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Supplier Agreement Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Measurement and Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Process and Product Quality Assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Configuration Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Performed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Levels 4 and 5 have similar choices**

**Verification and Validation require strategies**

**The Support process areas have several implied choices**
Conscious Choices in CMMI Generic Practices

GP 1.1 Perform Base Practices
GP 2.1 Establish an Organizational Policy
GP 2.2 Plan the Process
GP 2.3 Provide Resources
GP 2.4 Assign Responsibility
GP 2.5 Train People
GP 2.6 Manage Configurations
GP 2.7 Identify and Involve Relevant Stakeholders
GP 2.8 Monitor and Control the Process
GP 2.9 Objectively Evaluate Adherence
GP 2.10 Review Status with Higher Level Management
GP 3.1 Establish a Defined Process
GP 3.2 Collect Improvement Information
GP 4.1 Establish Quantitative Objectives for the Process
GP 4.2 Stabilize Subprocess Performance
GP 5.1 Ensure Continuous Process Improvement
GP 5.2 Correct Root Causes of Problems
SP 1.1 Identify Configuration Items

Identify the configuration items, components, and related work products that will be placed under configuration management.

- Must identify ALL the products that will be controlled – not just CDRLs or delivered products
- Possible levels of control
  - Control board authorizes and controls changes
  - Designated authority controls changes
  - Author controls changes
  - Anyone can make changes

Examples of work products that may be placed under CM include the following:
- Plans
- Process descriptions
- Requirements
- Design data
- Drawings
- Product specifications
- Code
- Compilers
- Product data files
- Technical publications

Any artifact produced by a process.
GP 2.6 Manage Configurations

Must decide which work products are controlled and which are not.

Any work products:
Specs, designs, code, test documents, CDRLs, plans, reports, minutes, action items, etc.

Place designated work products of the process under appropriate levels of control.

Must decide the appropriate level of control for each work product:
Control board, management authority, author

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Process Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Optimizing</td>
<td>Continuous process improvement</td>
<td>Causal Analysis and Resolution, Organizational Innovation and Deployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Quantitatively Managed</td>
<td>Quantitative management</td>
<td>Quantitative Project Management, Organizational Process Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Managed</td>
<td>Basic project management</td>
<td>Requirements Management, Project Planning, Project Monitoring and Control, Supplier Agreement Management, Measurement and Analysis, Process and Product Quality Assurance, Configuration Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Performed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sizing the Amount of CM/DM Needed

- In the program management strategy, the project must decide which products to control, and what level of control
  - Trade-off between the cost of more formal control and the risk of unauthorized changes
- Must understand where the CM leverage points are
  - Where does an unauthorized change cause significant problems?
- Must understand the CM environment
  - How much change is anticipated, and at what times?
  - Do the team members need more/less control?
SP 1.1 Objectively Evaluate Processes

Objectively evaluate the designated performed processes against the applicable process descriptions, standards, and procedures.

SP 1.2 Objectively Evaluate Work Products and Services

Objectively evaluate the designated work products and services against the applicable process descriptions, standards, and procedures.

- Must select the processes, work products, and services to be evaluated (audited)
- Must decide what quality standards will be defined (e.g., coding standards)
  - Organization sets standards based on market needs and “brand image”
  - Project sets standards based on customer needs
- Project process descriptions describe the process to be followed
  - Procedures are detailed process descriptions
- Not all work products will have standards (e.g., coding standards)
GP 2.9 Objectively Evaluate Adherence

Objectively evaluate adherence of the process against its process description, standards, and procedures, and address noncompliance.

Could be interpreted to include both work products and processes.

Must define “minimal acceptable” quality.
Sizing the Amount of QA Needed

- In the program management strategy, the project must decide which work products and processes to define standards for, and how often to audit them
  - Trade-off between the cost of more quality and the risk of re-work

- Must understand where the QA leverage points are
  - Where does poor quality cause significant problems?

- Must understand the QA environment
  - How much quality is expected, and of what type?
  - Do the team members need more/less auditing?
Verification

Ensure selected work products meet their specified requirements

- Which work products offer the greatest leverage?
  - Impact of errors, visibility
- How should the work product be verified?
  - Peer review vs. testing vs. inspection
  - Efficiency, effectiveness

SP 1.1 Select Work Products for Verification

Select the work products to be verified and the verification methods that will be used for each.

SG 1 Prepare for Verification

Preparation for verification is conducted.

“Prepare” goal suggests a strategy (conscious choice)
- Integration, Verification, Validation

Code testing
Code peer review

User needs
System requirements
Software requirements
Software design
Software code
System
Validation

Demonstrate that a product or product component fulfills its intended use when placed in its intended environment.

SG 1 Prepare for Validation

Preparation for validation is conducted.

SP 1.1 Select Products for Validation

Select products and product components to be validated and the validation methods that will be used for each.

- Which work products offer the greatest leverage?
  - Impact of issues, visibility

- How should the work product be validated?
  - E.g., user surveys, prototypes, milestone reviews, demonstrations, formal testing

User needs

System requirements

Software requirements

Software design

Software code

System

Operational testing

Requirements review
### Other Conscious Choices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choice</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which measurements to make (M&amp;A)</td>
<td>Cost, insight, information needs, measurement objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which measurements to monitor, how often, what format (PMC, GP 2.8)</td>
<td>Cost, insight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which decisions should be subject to a formal decision process (DAR)</td>
<td>Cost, risk of making the wrong decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration sequence (PI)</td>
<td>Cost, incremental confidence, testing insight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which stakeholders to involve, in what way (IPM, GP 2.7)</td>
<td>Cost, buy-in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How detailed to make policies (GP 2.1), processes (GP 3.1)</td>
<td>Cost, standardization, enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What training to provide - organizational and project (GP 2.5)</td>
<td>Cost, knowledge transfer, competence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What improvement information to collect (GP 3.2)</td>
<td>Cost, knowledge transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which process elements to quantitatively control (SPM, GP 4.2)</td>
<td>Cost, insight business value, ability to stabilize processes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implications

- In planning, the project manager must consciously make these choices
  - Project defined process (tailored from the organizational standard process) identifies the processes to be used
  - Project plans identifies the choices made on how to implement these process

- For example, the organization can develop planning templates involving fill-in tables to capture the choices
  - E.g., a stakeholder table (who, how, how often, etc.)

- These choices must be clearly explained to appraisers
Summary

- An underlying principle of the CMMI model is the concept of conscious choices about how each process area will be implemented.
- Recognizing the choices helps properly adapt the CMMI practices to fit the context of the organization and its projects.