



### High Bandwidth Wireless Networks for Unmanned Maritime Vehicle Communications



by Sean Kragelund





## **Need Statement**

- •Unmanned Maritime Vehicles (UMVs) can collect environmental data quickly and economically
- •Tactical applications (ISR, OMCM) can benefit from simultaneous deployment of multiple UMV systems
- •Data must be collected, distributed, and assimilated into meaningful information quickly
- •Volume of data can overwhelm existing comms systems
- •Higher bandwidth communications can significantly improve collaborative UMV missions





# **Operational Concept**



- Blue Force tasked with finding the best beach landing site
- Teams of UMVs deployed for wide area reconnaissance & VSWMCM
- Challenges and considerations:
  - -Interoperability of different UMVs
  - -Sonar, video, and bathymetry data is voluminous
  - -Data must be passed back for rapid assimilation, assessment & planning
  - -Not always feasible (or desirable) for vehicles to return from missions







- Enable UMVs with high bandwidth data links (currently 802.11b)
- Employ UAVs to extend comms to C2 ship in international waters

### **BENEFITS**

- Common WLAN architecture
- Overcome comms range limitations
- Increased UMV time on station
- Data transfer: no more "snippets"
- •C2: facilitates coordinated behavior

★ UMV (AUV, USV or Crawler)





### **NPS ARIES** (Acoustic Radio Interactive Exploratory Server)

### **FEATURES:**

- 802.11B Wireless LAN antenna
- •GPS, DGPS receivers, Kearfott INS
- •900MHz Freewave modem
- APL:UW Blazed Array Forward Look Sonar
- •Benthos Telesonar Acoustic Modem
- RDI 600KHz ADCP
- Video Camera
- Digital Video Recorder







# **NPS Wireless Network Experiments**

- Quarterly USSOCOM field experiments:
  - 2003-04: STAN
  - 2005: TNT

- Employ COTS networking equipment in operationally relevant scenarios
  - -Enhance warfighting capability of SOF with wireless links to vehicles and sensors
- Demonstrate tactical utility of WLAN
- Test & evaluate network performance and robustness on the battlefield











### **Experiment Results**



#### IEEE 802.11b

- Provided a reliable TCP/IP compliant data link
- Total link length of 3 nodes with ranges out to 28 km
- File transfer rate vs. range:
  - 2 Mbps under 1 km
  - $-\,500$  kbps from 3 km to 22 km
  - 200 kbps at 28 km

#### IEEE 802.16 (OFDM)

- •Max range (LOS) of 13 km
- Used for wireless backbone from NPS to CR (>150 km)
- Data rates up to 6 Mbps





# **Optimal UAV Positioning**

• UAV positioning is critical to maximize data throughput

490

NAVAL

**SCHOOL** 

1 404

POSTGRADUATE

F

- Signal strengths of surface nodes can be measured by spectrum analyzer
- Use sensory-based navigation to autonomously position the UAV for optimal throughput
- As surface vehicles enter/exit the network topology, UAV automatically repositions







### **Seaweb Underwater Comms Network**



- Extend command and control comms range (node-node distances of 2500 m)
- Ad Hoc underwater tracking and navigation aid
- Mobile nodes can function as data trucks or communications gateways



# **UMV Testing & Data Acquisition**

20

#### High Bandwidth WLAN

- UMV-recorded data is available instantly
  - -Vehicle recovery not necessary

POSTGRADUATE

NAVAL

**SCHOOL** 

- -Wireless download to operators and analysts
- More tests and data per range time
  - -Onsite mission review (i.e. navigation data or sonar imagery)
  - –Permits vehicle re-tasking or reprogramming <sup>20</sup>-

### Seaweb Underwater Comms Network

- Ad Hoc underwater tracking range
- Extend command & control comms range







# Conclusion

### •Benefits of COTS Wireless Networks for UMV T&E:

- -Low cost
- -Reliable
- -Readily available
- -Easy to administer
- -Industry standard protocols
- -Promote interoperability

### •Issues requiring attention:

- -Easy to jam
- -Security considerations for sending classified data







# Backup





### General Methodology

- Model signal strengths with representative Gaussian distributions
- Estimate the intersection of the distributions as another Gaussian fct.
- Use Artificial Potential Fields together with a Sliding Mode Controller to enable autonomous UAV navigation
- Using the intersection of signal strengths from the surface network nodes ensures that there exists at most one global maximum



#### Total Vector Field





#### Simulated UAV Nav





# **Sensory-Based Navigation**

- The measured signal strength can be used for UAV navigation
- The intersection of multiple surface nodes signal strength is where the maximum data transfer rate should be.
- Artificial Potential Fields is a technique which can be applied for an autopilot algorithm for UAV navigation







# **Artificial Potential Fields**

- •Draws from Potential Field Theory in Physics
- •APF traditionally thought of for Obstacle Avoidance
- •Basic idea
  - -A vector field is constructed consisting of a preferred path and obstacles
  - -Desired path has an attractive force (gradient is steep)
  - -Obstacles have a repellant force (gradient is shallow)
  - -At each navigation step the field gradient is calculated and the vehicle navigations toward the steepest gradient





# **Artificial Potential Fields**

- •Limitations
  - -You need to be able to identify the obstacles in advance
  - -Trap situations can occur due to local minima
  - -Difficulties in passage between closely spaced obstacles
  - -Oscillations in the presence of obstacles
  - -Oscillations in narrow passages
- •Good News
  - -For this particular application none of these present difficult issues for UAV navigation
  - -The Vector field is an intersection of signal strength vs an additive field so that calculation of the additional attractive object is straightforward.





### UAV APF Algorithm (Conceptual Overview)

- Support vessel at sea
- AUV operations are underway
- UAV launches from the ship
- Through prior knowledge and over-flights UAV characterizes signal strength of sea nodes
- UAV start/defaults to waypoint nav
- When AUV surfaces Freewave msg (900MHz) sent to UAV giving position
- UAV calculates the Total vector field and reverts to APF Navigation
- When in vicinity UAV uses onboard sensors for fine tuning positioning



### ★ AUVs, Crawlers





### **APF Algorithm** (Conceptual Overview - continued)

- As vehicles become available the intersecting calculations determine if there is an optimal position
- If no optimal position is available UAV continues with present loitering position until data transfer is complete
- AUV waiting to transfer data either waits for the UAV to reposition or continue on its mission
- Many other variants possible
  - -UAV collects from one node and acts as a data bus



#### ★ AUVs, Crawlers





### APF UAV Navigation (Mathematical Steps)

- Initially using a Gaussian equation to model the SNR ratios of the surface vehicles.
- Construct the intersecting volume again modeled as Gaussian volume using the max/mins to determine  $\sigma_x \sigma_y$
- Orient the volume to the vector field through Euler azimuth tranformation
- Bearing calculated based on the highest gradient in the vector field



