

2005 Tri-Service Infrastructure Systems Conference "Re-energizing Engineering Excellence"

BIOENGINEERING SLOPE STABILIZATION TECHNIQUES COUPLED WITH TRADITIONAL ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS –

THE RESULT IS A STABLE SLOPE

Waterbury Dam Mitigation

Thursday August 4th, 2005 St. Louis, Missouri

Presented By: Ms. Bethany Bearmore



Waterbury Dam Mitigation

The objective of the project is to improve the water quality in the Waterbury Reservoir and Little River by implementing shoreline stabilization measures that reduce the sediment yield.







Waterbury Dam Mitigation Vicinity Map

Background Information:

- 1. Winooski River Basin ~ 1,200.0 mi²
- 2. Little River above $Dam = 109.0 \text{ mi}^2$.
- **3.** Built by Civilian Construction Corps
- 4. Operational in 1938
- 4. State of Vermont Owns & Operates

General Location









Waterbury Dam Mitigation US Army Corps Location Map of Engineers New York District





Waterbury Dam Mitigation Construction History

- Original Construction (April 1935 October 1938)
 - Built in response to 1927 Flood event
 - Project constructed by Civilian Conservation Corps; designed by Corps of Engineers
- Later Modifications to Dam with COE Involvement
 - ◆ <u>1957 Modification.</u>
 - Embankment was raised 3 feet.
 - Added Third Tainter Gate.
 - ◆ 1985 Seepage Remediation.
 - Corrected terrace seepage.
 - Discovered and remediated gorge seepage.
 - ◆ 2002 Seepage Remediation.
 - Repair of conduit through dam
 - Lowering of Pool to Elevation 520, which resulted in slope instability along reservoir
 - Placement of Secant Piles



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Why Stabilization is Needed

Slope erosion

- Seepage forces generated from water level drawdown
- Wind and wave energy from reservoir
- Overland flow
- Loss of soil tensile strength
 - Water quality
 - * Increased turbidity down stream of the dam
 - Loss of habitat
 - * Loss of vegetation due to bank failure
 - Potential loss of state park area



Waterbury Dam Mitigation What is Bioengineering?

Bioengineering is a technical term used to describe a variety of techniques that use dormant cuttings from woody plants to alleviate erosion. Cuttings are taken from species that root easily, such as willow and dogwood, then planted in a specific arrangement depending upon the technique. The beauty of these techniques is that they alleviate erosion, improve water quality, enhance wildlife habitat and look more natural than a pile of rock filled wire baskets or other such structures.*



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Why Use Bioengineering?

- Provides a more aesthetically pleasing site
- Allows the reintroduction of native plants species
- Encourages a more responsive approach to adaptive management
- Allows for a more rapid return to a "natural" setting
- Fosters the interaction of the biologist and engineer to produce a better project
- Reduce seepage forces and surface erosion
- Increase soil tensile strength
- Water quality improvement



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Existing Site



Location of fire

pit







Waterbury Dam Mitigation Existing Site



Summer water level of reservoir 589.5'

Current water level of reservoir 550'





Waterbury Dam Mitigation Existing Site



Current Angle of Slope – 23° to 47° Max stable slope – 41° Colton gravelly, loamy sand







Waterbury Dam Mitigation Design of Final Alternative

Three Components to the final design

- Toe Protection
- Transition Zone
- Upland Slope



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Toe Protection

Traditional Engineering Application
Wind Waves

- USACE EM 1110-2-1414, Water Levels and Wave Heights for Coastal Engineering Design
- Assumed waves would not be greater than 5 ft
- Largest fetch at site is 3,360 ft



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Toe Protection

Traditional Engineering Application

Vessel Waves

- 3 recommended equations from the Interim Report for the Upper Mississippi River – Illinois Waterway System Navigation Study, ENV Report 4, December 1997
 - * Used to determine vessel generated waves in deeper water
 - Sorrenson and Weggel 1984, Sorrenson and Weggel 1986 and PIANC 1987
- PIANC was used to calculate vessel wave heights
- The dispersion equation was used to determine the shallow water wave height



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Toe Protection

Traditional Engineering Application

- Quarry Stone
 - Stone from surrounding area
 - EM 1110-2-1614 Revetment Design and the CEDAS version 2.01G Software (USACE WES, 2003)
 - Stone matches the natural rock outcrops that currently exist around the reservoir
 - Armor layer will extend 4 ft above maintained water surface to account for run-up
 - Armor layer will extend 5 ft below water surface to account for scour
- Large woody debris from site will be used



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Toe Protection

• Revetment Design Input Parameters

Design Vessel Dimensions	8 ft wide, 20 ft long, 2 ft draft
Vessel Speed, Depth, Distance to Shore	10 knots, 30 ft depth, 50 ft from shore
Wave Height – Deep Water	3.0 ft
Wave Height at Toe of Revetment	3.72 ft
Wave Period	2.7 SECONDS
Unit Weight of Rock	170 lb/ft ³
Revetment Slope	1Vertical: 2Horizontal
Permeability Coefficient	0.1
Damage Level	2 (minimum)
Armor Layer Median Stone Size	206 lbs (1.07 ft)
Armor Layer Thickness	2.5 ft
Filter Layer Median Stone Size	0.38 lbs (0.13 ft)
Filter Layer Thickness	1 ft
Armor Layer Location	593.5 to 584.5 ft at 2.5 ft thickness
Bedding Layer Location	589.5 to 583.5 at 1.0 ft thickness
Linear Ft of Shoreline to be Armored	723 ft





Waterbury Dam Mitigation Transition Zone

Bioengineering Application

- Vegetated Geo-grid
 - Live cut branches interspaced between layers of soil
 - Stabilize slope and provide surface erosion protection
 - Retards runoff velocity and filters sediment out of the slope runoff
 - Brush layering Red-osier Dogwood, Silky Dogwood, Pussy Willow and Purple Osier Willow
 - Vegetated soil lifts, after established should be able to withstand run-up form vessel waves
 - Material generally ranges ¹/₂" 2" in diameter and 3 7 ft in length





Waterbury Dam Mitigation Upland Slope Stabilization

Bioengineering Application

- Cutting back the slope
 - Maximum slope angle of 41 degrees
- Branches
 - Woody, root able plant cutting inserted into ground
 - Alternate leaved Dogwood and Purple Osier Willow
 - Branches are usually ½ to 2 inches in diameter and 2 to 3 feet in length
 - Extract excess soil moisture which reduces the soil pore water pressure



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Upland Slope Stabilization

Bioengineering Application

- Tublings
 - Tree and shrub seedlings
 - White Pine, Eastern Hemlock, Bearberry and Buttonbush
 - Typically 2" in diameter and 6 ft in length
 - Increase the cohesion and integrity of the soil
- Erosion Control fabric
 - Temporary degradable blankets used to enhance the establishment of vegetation
 - Provide tractive resistance and resist water velocity on slopes





Waterbury Dam Mitigation Monitoring

- VANR will monitor the site weekly from April to October.
- Monitoring period will be up to five years.
- The New York District will receive regular updates from VANR.
- The New York District will conduct site visits periodically through the monitoring period.



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Conclusion

The USACE - New York District was able to work effectively with the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources (VANR) to promote bioengineering as a significant part of the mitigation. This "marriage" of bioengineering and traditional slope stabilization techniques had not been utilized by the New York District before this project and this project provided an opportunity to incorporate the Environmental Operating Principles into the project. The final mitigation design is a combination of bioengineering techniques for the slope stabilization and the placement of riprap for toe protection against wind and vessel waves. •Costs for the project

-Total Project Cost = \$572,000

- •Toe Protection = \$201,000
- •Transition Zone = \$74,000
- •Upland Planting = \$245,000
- •Other = \$52,000



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Lessons Learned

• Partnerships

- Involving the Local Sponsor, VANR early in the conceptual process
- Corps understanding and incorporating the requests of VANR
- Combining the the design goals of the biologist and engineers
- More research needs to be conducted on recreational vessel wave heights in reservoirs
- Think out of the box



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Team Members

- Army Corps of Engineers New York District
 - Paul Tumminello Project Manager
 - Marty Goff Project Engineer
 - Kerry Anne Donohue Design Engineer
 - Bethany Bearmore Design Engineer
 - Kimberly Rightler Biologist
 - Emily Eng Cost Engineer
 - Kevin Meranda Constructability
- Vermont Agency of Natural Resources
 - Brian Fitzgerald Hydrologist
 - Susan Warren Biologist
 - Susan Baulmer Parks
- Army Corps of Engineers New England District
 - Kate Atwood Cultural Resources
- A/E
 - Northern Ecological Associates



Waterbury Dam Mitigation Future Site and Questions

