# Internal Erosion \& Piping at Fern Ridge Dam 

Jeremy Britton<br>U. S. Army Corps of Engineers<br>Portland District

## Project Location

## Fern Ridge Project



## FERN RIDGE DAM




## TWO PROBLEMS

1) Internal Erosion \& Piping

- Current Repair

2) Liquefaction in the Foundation Silty Sand

## OBSERVATIONS OF DISTRESS IN LAST 3 YEARS

Depressions on downstream slope (3)

- $5-20 \mathrm{ft}$ in diameter, $8-12$ inches deep

Spikes in lateral drain flows during rain events

- Normal summer flows: trickle to 4 gpm *
- Heavy rains cause spikes of up to 10 gpm

Sediment transport in lateral drains

- Several drains carry clay, silt, and sand
-     * Sta. 45: normal flow = 7-10 gpm; 5-10 lbs per month accumulating behind weir [ $15 \%$ organics, $55 \%$ fines (MH), $30 \%$ sand]


## Main drain

Station 50
Lateral drain

Sediment accumulated between
9/02 and 1/04 video inspections (16 months)

## Dirty water flowing into CMP

U.S. Standard Sieves


## Selected borrow pit gravel



## $3 / 8$ " to 2 " Washed gravel



## Lateral drain coupling band

## $3 / 8 "$ to $2 "$ <br> Washed gravel



## Original design flow net drawn by Cedergren in 1940



FEM seepage analysis of existing conditions: $i \approx 1$ at toe of disposal zone

## Piping in Foundation Silty Sand



Foundation seepage to Kirk Pond

## Piping in Foundation Silty Sand



## Piping in Foundation Silty Sand



Flow rate increases due to shortening flow path

## Piping in Foundation Silty Sand



Pipe reaches lake. Flow rate and erosion accelerate rapidly

## Event Alert System



## Senior Review Board (12/04)

- Francke Walberg, URS (retired from Corps)
- Jim Talbot, retired from SCS
- Keith Ferguson, Kleinfelder
$>$ "Active state of failure by piping and/or internal erosion"
$>$ "District's focus should be immediately shifted from investigations and evaluation to development and implementation of corrective actions"

Station 14+40
At Pool ster 373.5 (Max, Cons. Pool)


## PROJECT BENEFITS

## Flood Control

- $\$ 400 \mathrm{M}$ in damages prevented over 60 years
- \$80M in 1996 flood
- Over \$40M in 1997 and 1999 floods

Irrigation (Annual Benefit)

- Direct: $\$ 165 \mathrm{k}$ (water service contracts)
- Indirect: $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 2.9 \mathrm{M}$ (agricultural products)


## PROJECT BENEFITS

## Recreation (Sailing, Marinas, Campgrounds)

-600,000 visitors per year

- $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ in local benefits, $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{M}$ in indirect benefits

Environmental

- Sect. 1135 restoration projects, Waterfowl nesting habitat, Warm water fisheries, Shoreline riparian habitat


## SCHEDULE

- Senior review board
- Decision to repair
- Awarded contract
- Construction began
- Complete main construction
- Be ready for flood control season

Dec 2004
Feb 10, 2005
May 13, 2005
June 1, 2005
Oct 15, 2005

Nov 1, 2005

## Design Goals

- Constructible in 5-6 months
- Remove failing drainage system and repair any small voids/erosion channels (if we have to repair large features, construction will exceed 6 months)
- New drain: collect embankment and foundation seepage
- Leave room for a potential seismic repair







