

SYSTEM SAFETY

Evolution of MIL-STD-882E

Bob McAllister, USAF Jimmy Turner, Raytheon



History

- Long ago
 - Analyses done after the fact
- Ballistics Sys Div Exhibit 62-41 (1962)
 - Ballistic missiles
- MIL-S-38130A (June 1966 and March 1967)
 - Aircraft, space, & electronics
- MIL-STD-882 (July 1969)
 - Mgmt emphasis & industry involvement
- MIL-STD-882A (June 1977)
 - Hazard probabilities and risk acceptance
- MIL-STD-882B (Mar 1984 and July 1987)
 - Individual tasks
- MIL-STD-882C (Jan 1993 and Jan 1996)
 - Integrated hardware and software tasks
- MIL-STD-882D (Feb 2000)
 - Acquisition reform



Risk Levels & Matrices

- Mil-S-38130A
 - No levels nor matrix
- MIL-STD-882
 - No matrix. Defined hazard levels
- MIL-STD-882A
 - No matrix reversed hazard levels.
 - New qualitative probability levels
- MIL-STD-882B
 - Qualitative risk matrices in appendix
- MIL-STD-882C
 - Qualitative and quantitative matrices in Appendix.
 - Established risk acceptance levels
- MIL-STD-882D
 - Qualitative matrix, but quantitative probability levels.
- MIL-STD-882E (draft)
 - Multiple matrices and risk levels



Qualitative matrix (-882B)

FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE	HAZARD CATEGORIES				
	I CATASTROPHIC	II CRITICAL	III MARGINAL	V NEGLIGIBLE	
(A) FREQUENT	1A	2A	ЗА	4A	
(B) PROBABLE	18	2в	3в	4B	
(C) OCCASIONAL	1C	2C	3C	4C	
(D) REMOTE	1D	2D	3D	4 D	
(E) IMPROBABLE	1E	2E	3E	4E	



Quantitative Matrix (-882C)

HAZARD CATEGORY FREQUENCY	(1) CATASTROPHIC	(2 CRITICAL	(3) MARGINAL	(4) NEGLIGIBLE
(A) FREQUENT (X > 10-1)*	1A	2A	3A	4A
(B) PROBABLE (10-1 > X > 10-2)*	1B	2B	3B	4B
(C) OCCASIONAL (10-2 > X > 10-3)*	1C	2C	3C	4C
(D) REMOTE (10-3 > X > 10-6)*	1D	2D	3D	4D
(E) IMPROBABLE (10-6 > X)*	1E	2E	3E	4E

* Example of quantitative criteria



Qualitative Matrix (-882D)

TABLE A-III. Example mishap risk assessment values.

SEVERITY	Catastrophic	Critical	Marginal	Negligible
PROBABILITY				
Frequent	1	3	7	13
Probable	2	5	9	16
Occasional	4	6	11	18
Remote	8	10	14	19
Improbable	12	15	17	20



Probability Levels (-882D)

- Frequent
- Probable
- Occasional
- Remote
- Improbable

more than 10⁻¹ between 10⁻² and 10⁻¹ between 10⁻³ and 10⁻² between 10⁻⁶ and 10⁻³ less than 10⁻⁶

882D: Numbers are for individual item, not fleet 882C: Doesn't specify



Origin of numbers?

- Done by committee (like a camel)
- Not enough probability levels to change single order of magnitude (skipped ahead from 10⁻³ to 10⁻⁶)
- Why 10⁻⁶?
 - Originated in munitions world
 - Seemed 'unapproachable. ('Not one in a million!')



Why 882E

- MIL-STD-882D complied with Acquisition Reform
 - Tells 'what' to do, not 'how'
 - Specifies eight generic system safety steps
 - = Have a plan
 - = Identify hazards
 - = Assess their risks
 - = Take action on the risks
 - = Accept residual risks
 - 882 D removed the 882C System Safety Tasks
 - Considered to be too 'watered-down'
- We overdid it, so need a more robust standard



MIL-STD-882E Drafts

- Mid 2004, first draft MIL-STD-882E
 - Re-instated System Safety Tasks
 - Re-instated software criticality matrix
 - Changed Mishap Risk Assessment Value (MRAV) to Mishap Risk Index (MRI)
- Early 2005, Second draft
 - Add new Tasks on Safety Critical Functions and FHAs, etc
 - Re-instate Task usage matrices
 - Re-instate "F" probability level (designed out/impossible)
 - Revised the risk matrices
 - = \$10K to \$20K
 - = Expanded 'Low risk range'



Next?

- Summer 2005, third draft
 - Re-structuring for better logic flow
 - Multiple risk matrices upper <u>right</u> is High
 - New precedence step added Engineering Safety Features

(Examples include the emergency core cooling system of a nuclear reactor and loss-of-tension braking for elevators; full-time, on-line redundant paths; interlocks; ground-fault circuit interrupters and uninterruptible power supplies)

- Five system safety 'Elements; instead of 8 Steps
- Being coordinated by GEIA G-48 (System Safety) Panel
- Publish, fall/winter 2005



Questions?

