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• **Description:** Develop capability to use high-resolution (~1 km) COAMPS® atmospheric forecasts as input for DoD dispersion models, and quality check the results.

• **Performers**

  Jason Nachamkin¹ (PI), John Cook¹, Mike Frost², Daniel Martinez², and Gary Sprung²

¹Naval Research Laboratory  ²Computer Sciences Corporation

*COAMPS® is a registered trademark of the Naval Research Laboratory*
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• **2005 Objectives:**
  • Develop high-resolution (~1 km hz grid spacing) atmospheric prediction capability to support DoD WMD forecasts.
  • Incorporate predicted battle space environment variables into improved chemical/biological dispersion models (JEM, HPAC, VLSTRACK).
  • Demonstrate the quality of the atmospheric and dispersion forecasts.

• **2006-07 Objectives:**
  • Develop surface analysis package for COAMPS®/NAVDAS
  • Boundary layer/surface flux parameterizations
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2005 Milestones:

• Generate COAMPS® forecasts for Dipole Pride 26 field project and store results in a database.
• Develop interface for JEM using HPAC as a surrogate.
• Generate HPAC, VLSTRACK, and JEM forecasts using the COAMPS® forecasts.
• Demonstrate the quality of the JEM forecasts in comparison with the HPAC and VLSTRACK forecasts using the full suite of atmospheric forecast fields.
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COAMPS-OS® is a globally re-locatable atmospheric data assimilation and forecast system

- Highly automated, limited area, multi-scale, local control
- NCODA/NAVDAS Ocean/Atmosphere analyses
- Nonhydrostatic Mesoscale forecasts generated from the COAMPS® model using MPI for scalability
- Automatically transforms output into dynamic web graphics
- Digital data in TEDS and flat files for interface to other applications
- Web-based interface

*COAMPS-OS® is a registered trademark of the Naval Research Laboratory
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Dipole Pride 26:
- November 1996
- 17 field trials over 14 days
- Observed plumes (SF₆) tracked over mesoscale (~30 km) areas
- 15-minute contaminant measurements from 3 sampling lines
- 15-minute surface observations from 25 MEDA stations
- 3-hourly upper air measurements
- Chang et al. 2003 Study

From Chang et al. 2003

From Chang et al. 2003 Study
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COAMPS® simulations:

- 18-hour forecasts
- 60 vertical levels, 15 layers within lowest 1500 m
- Nonhydrostatic, full physics suite
- 6-hour NOGAPS boundary forcing

Nest 4 Topography

Four nests: 27 km, 9 km, 3 km, 1 km
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**COAMPS® simulations:**
- Evolving 3-D flow
- Highly variable
- Mesoscale terrain-forced circulations
- Validation required

Nest 4, 10m wind and Topography

Dipole Pride 26

12-hr FCST valid 1600 PST 21 Nov 1996
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COAMPS® 10 m Statistics:
- Sanity check against MEDA and SYNOP stations
- Direction errors decrease with increasing wind speed
- Little dependence on grid spacing
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**10 m Temperature Statistics:**

- Intercomparison between forecast, MEDA and SYNOP stations reveals MEDA station error
- COAMPS® analysis serves as cross reference check
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Upper Air Statistics:
- Direction errors decrease with height
- Temperature biases less than 1 deg. C
- Little dependence on grid spacing
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**COAMPS-OS® Interface for JEM:**

- HPAC interface created as surrogate for JEM
- Provided COAMPS® grib files to Kyle Dedrick (ATK-MRC/DTRA) for import into the MDS.
- Standard (30-level) and high-resolution (60-level)
- Upgrading VLSTRACK capabilities to accept 60-level forecast input
- COAMPS-OS® will be ready for JEM
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11 November 1996 test case

Ongoing tests show good qualitative agreement between obs and COAMPS-driven HPAC.

HPAC 1-hr FCST
Contaminant trajectories are strongly dependent on nest resolution.

HPAC 1-hr FCSTS Valid 13 UTC 8 November 1996

27 km COAMPS Forcing

1 km COAMPS Forcing
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8 November 1996 test case

OBS, release +37 min

1 km forcing shows better qualitative agreement

OBS, release +52 min

1 km COAMPS Forcing

GEN6
Concentration
08-Nov-96 13:00:00Z (60.0 min)
kg/m³
1.0E-08
1.0E-09
1.0E-10
1.0E-11
1.0E-12
1.0E-13

10 km
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8 November 1996 test case

1km forcing shows more realistic flow structure

COAMPS® 12-hr FCSTS Valid 12 UTC 8 November

27 km Winds, Topo

1 km Winds, Topo

DP26
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High-Resolution Lower Tropospheric Data Assimilation

Want to reduce error while maintaining physically consistent 3-D structure.
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Northern SF Bay Landsat Image
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NAVDAS

• NAVDAS is a modern 3-D variational analysis for COAMPS®
  – Pre-Ops testing at FNMOC prior to operations (Oct-Dec 2005).
  – Much of the code shared with global version for NOGAPS
• NAVDAS uses the actual pressure level of each observation in analysis
  – Uses all mandatory and significant level observations from soundings, aircraft data, satellite feature-rack winds, satellite temperature retrievals; MVOI only mandatory pressure levels
  – Applies correct surface pressure for surface marine observations; MVOI assigns surface data to 1000 mb level for analysis.
  – Currently land surface data at elevs above 50m not used.
• NAVDAS can define background covariance in different vertical coordinates - pressure or potential temperature.
• NAVDAS has improved upper-air and surface marine wind and temperature analyses.
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10 m wind analysis; NAVDAS vs. MVOI valid 2001112912

- NAVDAS uses a single multi-grid analysis (with actual pressure levels)
- NAVDAS analysis more consistent between grids and better fit to buoy wind observations
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NAVDAS Surface Data Analysis Plans

• Independent 3-D lower tropospheric analysis in terrain following coordinates
  – Use surface observations of temperature, humidity and wind over land.
  – Use satellite temperature and moisture retrievals, satellite skin temperature retrievals produced by global NAVDAS 1dvar radiance code over land.
  – Currently such surface data at elevations above 50m over land are not used by NAVDAS.

• Hourly surface analyses
  – Use COAMPS forecast as background at asynoptic hours and update NAVDAS analyses at synoptic hours

• Native COAMPS sigma-height coordinate defines boundary layer background correlation function
  – Modified to account for differences in terrain and potential temperature

• Full 3-D boundary layer structure at high resolution
Conclusions:

- Gridded COAMPS forecast fields can be used to produce useful contaminant forecasts.
- High-resolution model output show improved performance in HPAC despite RMS errors.
- COAMPS® output will be ready for JEM.

Current/Future Work:

- Complete quantitative DP26 study using COAMPS® fields in VLSTRACK, HPAC and JEM.
- Improve boundary layer and surface flux parameterizations.
- 3DVar data assimilation at high-resolution with high-frequency updates.
- Mesoscale validation techniques specifically targeted for coastal applications.
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EXTRA SLIDES
Hazard Prediction with Nowcasting Correlation Function for Background Error

Geopotential Height correlation in NAVDAS can use different vertical coordinates:

- Standard pressure coordinate
- Isentropic vertical coordinate

![Graph showing geopotential height correlation with different vertical coordinates](chart.png)

**versus horizontal distance**
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HIRS Channel Response vs. US Std. Atmos. Emission Spectra

NOAA-14 HIRS/2 SRFs and Calculated HIS Brightness Temperature Spectrum
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HIRS Sounding Channel Temperature Weighting Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Wavelength (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>14.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>14.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>14.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>716</td>
<td>13.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>13.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>13.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>9.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>12.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1533</td>
<td>6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2188</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2235</td>
<td>4.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2245</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2515</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2660</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

gray = water vapor        red = “window” channels
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Surface Emissivity Means (Mar/Apr 2003)
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Satellite Temperature Retrievals Show Positive Impacts in Boundary Layer (Sep 2004)