

NDIA

2005

Small Arms System Symposium

Panel Discussion

"Lessons Learned"

Industries' Response and Acquisitions Real Needs 17 May 2005



- Introduction
- Panel Members "Lessons Learned" Overviews
- Panel Dialogue Moderator Questions
- Questions from Attendees
 - Written
 - Oral
- Panel Member Wrap-Up
- Conclusions
 - "Lessons Learned"
 - "Recommendations"



Moderator: Dave Broden

- Jean Louis Vanderstraeten
- Peter Simon
- Kevin Brown
- Karen Davies
- Michael Hockenberger
- TBD
- TBD

FN Manufacturing HK-USA Colt ATK-Ammo Systems – Lake City PGM Corp.

Panel Topics



- Needs urgent vs. standard
- How were urgent needs communicated?
 - Overview only
 - In-depth and clarity
- Industry response approach timing schedule risks
- Industry readiness capability facilities sources materials — people?
- Was industry ready? Why? Why not?
- Was acquisition effective?
- What could have gone better?
- What went well?
- Did products services spares, etc. get to the forces effectively?
- Planning for the future recommendations

⁴_T107553a_Small Arms_May05.ppt



- Understanding of the Urgent Need
 - Hardware
 - Schedule
- Special Urgent Tests Required Conducted Completed Value
- Capability Readiness Facilities Tooling, etc.
- Capability Response Timing Problems?
- Acquisition Approach/Support/Responsiveness
- Challenges to Industry and Government
 - Identified
 - Overcome How
- Military Response Comments
- Needs to Meet Future Challenges
- Planning/Establishing Future Capability Recommendations



- Were "lessons learned" needs anticipated?
- How could have challenges been avoided?
- Was communication effective?
- Was acquisition process clear, timely, responsive?
- Did products services spares, etc. get to forces when needed?
- What are <u>change</u> recommendations for future readiness?

Industry and Government have Worked Effectively to Meet the Challenges of Urgent Needs "Lessons Learned" will Shape Future Readiness