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A car with 4 passengers aboard: the motor stops abruptly!

I knew the transmission was bad!

You’re all wrong guys! The engine CPU

Hey guys! Let’s open and close all windows, lock and unlock each door, get out of the car, run around 3 times and then let’s get all back in and restart the car

Help!
The Company

• Helps organizations to continuously improve processes used in software dependant systems projects, in order to increase their efficiency

• SEI Partner
  – Introduction to CMMI
  – SCAMPI

• Activities in several countries, both in English and French

• Main office in Montreal (Canada); opening an office in Paris (France – where else?)
The Speaker

- Richard Basque (31 years of experience)
  - President and founder (1998) of Alcyonix
  - SEI authorized Lead Appraiser for the SCAMPIsm, CBA IPI and SCE methods
  - SEI Authorized Instructor for the CMMI® and SW-CMM® official SEI courses
  - part-time SEI "Visiting Scientist" (was occasionally invited to the SEI to train Lead Assessors)
  - involved in over thirty official process evaluations in several countries
  - coordinated the French translation of SW-CMM which was adopted by the SEI as the official equivalent of the original version and just published a book on CMMI in French (ISBN 2-10-008308-2)
What Are We Going To Talk About?

• Lessons learned from working with customers who went from SW-CMM to CMMI
  – use of process implementation indicators (PIIs) associated with SW-CMM
  – conduct of a gap analysis (“unofficial appraisal”) using CMMI after a formal CBA IPI / SW-CMM assessment
  – practical insights from the trenches
A Quick Informal Survey

• How many of you are still doing process improvement based on SW-CMM?

• How many of you are considering moving to CMMI in the next 6 months or so?
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… who collectively contributed to the content of this presentation!
The Typical Profile

- Used SW-CMM for some years
- Were already assessed with SW-CMM (officially or unofficially)
- Considered the transition to CMMI because of...
  - internal needs to integrate system & software
  - external pressure
    - SEI’s announcement that support for SW-CMM will be terminated
    - RFPs from customers requiring CMMI
The Need to Integrate SW and SE

- Most of our clients deliver solutions that combine SW with other component types
- In SW-CMM, no good coverage for activities that happen...
  - prior system requirements allocation to software
  - during and after delivery of SW
- Frictions between SW and SE Eng
- Hard to coordinate process improvement initiatives from a senior management perspective
The impact of the SEI’s Target (end of 2005) to Terminate SW-CMM

- Confusion that followed early announcements (end of ’90s): hectic reactions
- Early adopters
- More stability and confidence with CMMI 1.1
- More incentives with SCAMPI 1.1
- Major trend shift when SEI published sunset announcement
- Benefits feedback growing: a more massive transition
RFPs Requiring CMMI: Already?

- Slowly… but safely (for who?)
2 Changes to Absorb

- The model

- The appraisal method
Use of SW-CMM PIIs

- Practice Implementation Indicators in SCAMPI 1.1
- Opening from SEI to conduct SCAMPI 1.1 with SW-CMM 1.1
- Extract « artifact » references from SW-CMM practice descriptions
- Establish a baseline of PIIs for SW-CMM 1.1 (both in French and English)
Conducting a CMMI Gap Analysis after a formal SW-CMM CBA IPI

- Special offers to selected clients:
  - Full SCAMPI with CMMI after a CBA IPI with SW-CMM
  - Gap Analysis with CMMI after a CBA IPI or a SCAMPI with SW-CMM

Other Transition Helpers

- Awareness Sessions
- Special CMMI course with workshop
- Inviting SW-CMM sites representatives as teams members on SCAMPIs with CMMI
- CMMI courses with SW and SE people
- Attending SPIN presentations by CMMI sites
Feedback From the Trenches - 1

CMMI is much more easier to understand because of its consistency throughout all PAs.

To conduct a CMMI gap analysis while the CBA IPI results were still « hot » helped to build on the existing momentum.

… but we reuse the PIIs extensively for QA purpose during the course of all new projects.

The preparation was much more demanding with CMMI appraisals…
Feedback From the Trenches - 2

CMMI is really much more appropriate to cover all our needs.

The rules inherent to SCAMPI are much more rigid but they guarantee a better repeatability.

It is not always obvious to distinguish between the “Direct” artifacts and the “Indirect” artifacts.
Conclusion

- Transition can (and should) be smooth
- Phase-in / Phase-out approach works well
- CMMI intrinsic advantages will prevail and will help your transition