

DoD Quality Systems Manual: Implementation and Future Directions

Jackie Sample, Chair EDQW, NAVSEA William Batschelet, PhD, AFCEE George Lee, PhD, AFIOH Fred McLean, NAVSEA



Purpose of Briefing

- Review the Quality Systems Manual for Environmental Laboratories (QSM)
- Discuss implementation efforts and procurement policy
- Highlight changes in the proposed Draft Version 3 update
- Present overview of joint-DoD Laboratory Assessment Protocol



Quality Systems Manual

- Provides requirements for a laboratory quality system
- Describes content of the lab's quality manual
- Based on National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC) Chapter 5 (Quality Systems) language



Quality Systems Manual (cont'd)

Clarifies DoD implementation of NELAC quality system

Gray boxes throughout document

Four DoD appendices added in Version 2
QSM Version 2 in place since June 2002



Implementation of QSM



Current QSM Implementation

- QSM designed to replace parts of each DoD components' documents:
 - Navy Installation Restoration Chemical Data Quality Manual (IR CDQM)
 - AFCEE Quality Assurance Project Plan
 - U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Appendix I of Engineer Manual (EM) 200-1-3
- Full implementation of each version of QSM expected within 2 years of its release



Laboratory Assessment Protocol

- Draft final protocol to be DoD-wide standard for assessing environmental laboratories
- All laboratories doing work for DoD will be assessed against the QSM
- Pilot of assessment protocol underway



Draft DoD Procurement Policy

- DoD Procurement Policy for Implementing 'Higher-Level' Contract Quality Requirements
- Applies to all solicitations, contracts, and purchases involving environmental measurements
- Includes to Federal Acquisitions Regulations (FAR)
 - May be performance-based
 - Shall include QA/QC criteria



Draft DoD Procurement Policy (cont'd)

• Key provisions:

- Government roles and responsibilities
- Contractor roles and responsibilities
- Quality systems documentation requirements (labs conform to DoD QSM)
- Minimum laboratory qualifications (national or State recognition, approval from one or more DoD component, PT results)
- Minimum qualifications for quality assurance managers and project chemists
- Sample contract clauses



DoD

Quality Systems Manual for Environmental Laboratories Version 2



Benefits of QSM

- Standardization of processes throughout DoD
- Alignment with NELAP
- Deterrence of improper, unethical, or illegal actions
- Policy guidance for labs involved in all types of testing
- Foundation for standardization of future processes



Important Theme Throughout QSM

Any specific requirements contained in this manual are superseded by project-specific requirements or regulations.



NELAC Chapter 5 Quality Systems

- Laboratory organization and management
- Documentation requirements (quality manual, SOPs, records)
- Essential QC procedures
- Analyst training and demonstration of capability
- Equipment/instrument and reference materials requirements



Key DoD Clarifications (QSM Version 2)

- Minimum data qualifiers
- Method Detection Limit (MDL) studies and verification checks
- Definition of work cells
- Detection and prevention of improper actions
- Clarification of calibration issues (concentrations of standards, # of points, flagging)
- Modification or addition of definitions



Appendix DoD A: Reporting Requirements

- Describes mandatory and optional requirements
- Focuses on key elements important to understanding analytical data quality



Appendix DoD B: Quality Control Requirements

- Defines and describes evaluation of key QC checks
- Consolidates DoD data quality requirements on instrument-based tables
- Identifies appropriate corrective actions and flagging



Appendix DoD C: Target Analyte Lists

- Used as default when no project- specific analytes identified
- Encourages use of shorter, projectspecific list

A THINK OF OF OF

Appendix DoD D: LCS Control Limits

- Mandatory DoD-wide QC limits
- Provides batch acceptance criteria
- Random marginal exceedances allowed, if not project-specific analytes
- Benchmarks for evaluating alternative methods
- Basis is study using over 40,000 data points

Draft QSM Version 3 - Future Directions



NELAC 2003 Update

- QSM V3 will incorporate most recent NELAC revision (June 2003)
- Follows ISO 17025 (in lieu of ISO Guide 25)
 - Completely reorganized
 - New section on Organization
 - Addresses measurement uncertainty
 - Use of ISO terms: *limit of quantitation* (LOQ) and *limit of detection* (LOD)



NELAC 2003 Update (cont'd)

- Additional language on data integrity
- Evaluation requirements for non-standard methods (instead of PBMS)
- Incorporates LCS marginal exceedance allowance concept from QSM V2



What's New In QSM Version 3?

- International scope (box 1, 1.2) Expanded beyond U.S. and possessions Informal documents (box 14, 4.3.1) Worksheets, posters must be consistent with current version of manual or SOP Subcontractor laboratories (box 15, 4.5.1) Primary lab must consult with client and allow to overrule prior to use of any subcontractor Client notification (box 17, 4.7) - Encourages proactive engagement
 - Examples of situations for notification



What's New In QSM Version 3?

• Worksheets (box 21, 4.12.2.5.2.a) Must be bound and pre-numbered Targeting weights (box 64, 5.7.1) - Not allowed for small soil samples with coarse, heterogeneous particles Reporting estimate of measurement uncertainty (box 43, 5.4.6.2) - Clarify only for lab's portion of process Labs may estimate uncertainty using LCS results Only required when specified by client



- Incorporated PT sample requirements from NELAC Chapter 2 (box 73, 5.9.1.b)
 - Labs working for DoD *must* participate in PT program
 - PTs required every 6 months
 - Must pass 2 of last 3
 - 80% of analytes must produce acceptable results for the group to pass



• NELAC changed from detection and quantitation limit to LOD and LOQ

 Revised DoD boxes to match NELAC terminology

LOQ defined as lower limit by NELAC

- Established by lowest standard of calibration
- Must be \geq 3 x LOD

Introduced *Quantitation Range* concept (box D19, D.1.2.2)

 Stress quantitation bound by both upper and lower ends of calibration curve



What's New In QSM Version 3?

- Updated MDL study requirements (box D-18, D.1.2.1)
 - Establish LOD by determining MDL or alternative approach (must be 99% confidence)
 - MDLs based on analyte concentration of 7 replicates – Evaluation of concentrations based on ratio of mean recovered concentration and calculated MDL
 - 1-5 for reagent water
 - 1-10 for other matrices
 - MDL verification checks acceptable if reliably detected and identified by method-specified criteria
 - If no confirmation, check sample must produce a signal at least 3 x instrument's noise level



Continued proficiency (box 29, 5.2.6.c.3.iv)

- Use ongoing review of QC samples as demonstration of continued proficiency
- Work Cell demonstration of capability (box C-2, C.1)
 - Clarified only on individual basis
 - Not dependent on combinations of work cell members



- Initial Test Method Evaluation (new NELAC Section C.3)
 - QC Requirements for Lab Developed or Non-Standard Methods (box C-5)
 - Calibration, precision/accuracy, analyte ID
 - Verification of LOD (box C-6)
 - Ion abundance, second column confirmation, pattern recognition
 - Validation of LOQ (box C-7)
 - No lower than lowest calibration standard



Initial Test Method Evaluation

- Precision and Accuracy/Bias (box C-8)
 - Compare to LCS mean and standard deviation
- New Matrix (box C-9)
 - Must analyze 3 MS/MSD
- Selectivity for Non-Standard Methods (box C-10)
 - Use common selectivity checks for similar technology or method



- Marginal exceedance allowance for LCS (D.1.1.2.1.e)
 - NELAC now follows QSM V2 (from Appendix DoD-D)
 - Added minor clarifications (defined random, marginal exceedance limits, etc.) (boxes D-8 to 10)
 - Appendix DoD-D reiterates policy and lists DoD LCS control limits



- Guidance for labs to generate in-house LCS control limits (box D-7)
 - Statistically derived, based on 30+ data points
 - Updated annually or after major change
 - Cannot exclude failed data points
 - Use of control charts for trend analysis recommended
- In house limits to be used if DoD limits or project-specific limits not available



- Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate frequency (box D-11, D.1.1.3.1)
 - One per preparatory batch (formerly 1 in 20 samples)
 - Must be same environmental matrix as samples
- Matrix duplicate frequency (box D-15, D.1.1.3.2)
 - If concentration > 5 x LOQ, may analyze matrix duplicate in place of MSD
 - One per preparatory batch



Updated Appendix DoD-B tables

- Made consistent with Method 8000C (Removed Grand Mean option for initial calibration)
- No longer references specific SW-846 updates by letter
- Allows use of "best" requirements from all published versions



DoD Laboratory Assessment Protocol



Assessment Protocol

- Purpose is to standardize lab assessments performed by DoD
 - Increase trust between components
 - Allow info to be shared between components
 - Ultimately reduce redundancy and costs
- Based on QSM, Final Version 2

 Will be updated when version 3 is in place
- Assessments can be for:
 - Pre-qualification
 - Assessment against project-specific needs
 - Continuing check of compliance



Documentation

- Overall protocol describing procedure
- Three appendices (SOPs) with additional detail:
 - A: SOP for Performing Lab Document Reviews
 - B: SOP for Performing Lab Procedures Reviews
 - C: SOP for Performing On-site Lab Assessments
- Each SOP has series of checklists as attachments



DoD Laboratory Assessment Protocol

- Protocol stresses review of documentation and previous assessments
 - Recent NELAP, DoD, or other accreditations
 - PT samples results
 - SOPs and quality manual
- Takes into consideration
 - Lab's experience working with DoD
 - Scope of work
 - Quality of lab's documentation
- Extensive on-site assessment is not always necessary





Document Review

 Covers all types of lab documentation Quality Manual, Method Manuals, PT sample results, previous audit or assessment reports 1) General acceptability review - Completeness check – Do docs appear to meet QSM requirements? – Any significant deficiencies? 2) Detailed document review – Should DoD accept or reject lab? – Is on-site assessment necessary?



Document Review Checklists

- Overarching questions for nine major sections
- Specific supporting questions help to answer overarching questions
- Supporting questions closely follow QSM (includes references to sections)
 - From DoD clarification boxes and NELAC text (if necessary)



Laboratory Procedures Reviews

Review of specific type of documentation SOPs, operating instructions, method manuals
Conducted as part of off-site doc review or on-site assessment, or both



On-site Laboratory Assessments

Purpose is to confirm that lab implements documented procedures properly; follow-up on questions from doc review
Detailed Document Review Checklist may be used to evaluate quality system
Fill in holes from document review



On-site Laboratory Assessments Checklists

 Checklists available to evaluate ability to perform specific methods

- Grouped by technology
- Based on Appendix DoD-B tables from QSM V2

GC and HPLC ICP, GFAA, CVAA Colorimetric CrVI Cyanide Common Anions

GC/MS ICP/MS High Res GC/Low Res MS High Res GC/High Res MS

Also Generic Checklist for any other technology or method.



DoD Laboratory Assessment Protocol Pilot Test

- Currently pilot testing protocol on DoD and commercial labs
- DoD facility to be audited first (late Summer 2004)
- Commercial Lab audited after DoD (late Fall 2004)
- Protocol may be modified based on lessons learned from pilots



Conclusion



Next Steps

- QSM V3 distributed for stakeholder comment (late summer 2004)
- QAA/TAT address comments (late fall)
- DoD concurrence on QSM V3 (January 2005)
- Pilot test DoD-wide laboratory assessment protocol (late summer to fall)



Questions?

William Batschelet, PhD AFCEE (210) 536-5658 william.batschelet@brooks. af.mil

George Lee, PhD AFIOH (210) 536-6166 george.lee@brooks.af.mil Fred McLean NAVSEA (843) 764-7337 ext. 22 <u>mcleanfs@navsea.</u> <u>navy.mil</u>

Clem Rastatter Versar, Inc. (703) 642-6776 <u>rastacle@versar.com</u>



