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Overview

• Transformation: Capabilities-Based Approach
• S&T Investment and Transformation
• Modeling and Simulation
• Technology Transition
• National Security Workforce
Definition of Transformation

“The Evolution and Deployment of Combat Capabilities That Provide Revolutionary or Asymmetric Advantages to Our Forces”

- QDR (Sep 30, 2001)
QDR Critical Capabilities

- Protect Bases of Operations
- Conduct Information Operations
- Project and Sustain US Forces
- Deny Enemy Sanctuary
- Conduct Space Operations
- Leverage Information Technologies
Protecting Bases of Operations

- Combating Terrorism
- Chemical/Biological Defense
- Missile Defense
- Consequence Management
Conduct Information Operations

- Defensive IO and Information Assurance
- Offensive IO
Project and Sustain US Forces

- Anti-Access Capabilities
Deny Enemy Sanctuary

Persistent Surveillance, Tracking and Rapid Engagement with Precision Strike

- Remote Sensing/Enhanced C4ISR
- Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
- Long-Range Precision Strike
- Small-Diameter Munitions
- Defeat Hard and Deeply Buried Targets
Conduct Space Operations

- Ensure Access to Space
- Protect Space Assets
- Space Surveillance
- Control Space
- Sub-Orbital Space Vehicle
Leverage Information Technologies

- High-capacity Interoperable Communications
- Survivable, Improved, Tactical and Strategic Communications
- End-to-end C4ISR
Technology and Transformation

• Transformation Attributes

- Knowledge
- Agility
- Speed
- Lethality

• Transformation Technology Initiatives
  – National Aerospace Initiative
  – Surveillance and Knowledge Systems
  – Energy and Power Technologies
National Aerospace Initiative
- Technology Framework

• Hypersonics
  – Strategic Strike, Time Critical Targets, Suborbital Vehicles, UCAVs, Fast Transportation, etc.

• Access to Space
  – TSTO: 1st - Air Breathing, 2nd - Rocket; SSTO

• Advanced Space Technologies
  – Microsats, Multifunction Satellites, etc.
National Aerospace Initiative Approach

**Space Access**

**Weapons**

Near-Term
- Supersonic/Hypersonic Missiles (Time-critical targets)

Mid-Term
- Hypersonic Cruiser (Global Reach/Attack)

Far-Term
- RLV (Affordable, timely access to space)
- Pursue Stepping-Stone Approach
Surveillance & Knowledge Systems - C4ISR

• Sensors and Unmanned Vehicles
  - Bio Sensors, Robotics, UAVs, etc.

• High Bandwidth Communications / Information Assurance

• Information / Knowledge Management Systems

• Cyber Warfare
Surveillance & Knowledge Systems
Energy and Power Technologies
- Enabling An “Electric” Force

- Power Generation
  - Nuclear, Diesel, Jet Engine, Solar Array, Fuel Cells, etc.

- Energy Storage
  - Batteries, Fly Wheels, Capacitors, Energetics, etc.

- Power Management and Control
  - Energy Conversion, Catapults, etc.

- Directed Energy Weapons
  - Lasers, Microwave, etc.
Energy and Power Technologies

POWER GENERATION
- Fuel Cells & Fuel Reforming
- Novel Power

ENERGY STORAGE
- Batteries
- Capacitors

POWER MANAGEMENT & CONTROL
- Switching & Conditioning
- Power Transmission & Distribution
- Thermal Management

FY02    FY12

New Operational Capabilities

More Electric Aircraft
Space Based Radar
Electric Warship
Hybrid/Electric Combat Vehicle
Electric/Hybrid Weapons
High Power Microwave

Electric Warship
Warrior
Science & Technology (S&T) Emphasis Areas

Transformation
- 6 QDR Transformation Capabilities
  - Protect Bases of Operations
  - Conduct Info Ops
  - Project & Sustain US Forces
  - Deny Enemy Sanctuary
  - Conduct Space Ops
  - Leverage Info Technologies

Combating Terrorism
- Deterrence/Indications & Warning
- Attribution and Retaliation
- Survivability and Denial
- Consequence Management

Joint
- Information Ops
- Space
- Robotics
- HDBT
- Advanced Energetics
- Advanced Electronics
- Hypersonics
- Military Medical
The Transformation Process - Modeling and Simulation is a Key Enabler

“...A new generation of models and simulations will be needed to support distributed training; robust and continuous experimentation; and operational planning, execution, and assessment tools.” – Transformation Study Report, Executive Summary, 27 April 2001.

Four Functional Areas for M&S

- Experimentation
- Training
- Analysis
- Acquisition
The Transformation Process: Platform-Centric to Network-Centric Acquisition

Need credible M&S to support the spectrum of SBA activities: concept development, design, test and evaluation

Warfighting Capability or Mission Area Portfolios vs Platform Portfolios

Dominant Maneuver

Full Dimensional Protection

Precision Engagement

Comm/Computer Environment

Focused Logistics

Strategic Deterrence

Information Superiority

ISR

Systems of Systems
A Common Vision Representation

- Supporting multiple functional areas
- Through Live, Virtual, and Constructive Simulation
- With Joint, Interoperable, Re-useable models
Navy/DARPA Scramjet R&D

Hypersonic Flight Demonstration Program (HYFLY)

- 2150 lb Launch Weight, Length 183”
- 250 lb Penetrator
- F/A 18 E/F Compatible 400 Nmi Flyout
- VLS Compatible - 600 Nmi Flyout

Successful Ground Test - May 30, 2002

HYFLY Weapon Characteristics

- Heavyweight Ground Test
- Flight weight Ground Test
- HYFLY Flight Tests
- Adv Technology Develop
  - Booster Demo
  - Short Combustor DC Demo
  - Composite Structure Fab
  - N78 High Speed Strike AOA

Payload/Fuel

Engine inlets

FY03 FY04 FY05 FY06 FY07
AF Scramjet R&D

Hypersonic Technology Single Engine Flight Demo (HYTECH)

- Flight demo of HyTech scramjet & waverider airframe technologies
- Uses existing ATACMS booster
- Scramjet take-over at Mach 4.5
- Cruise at Mach 6.5 to 7.0
- Five flights (FY06 1st flight)

Potential Weapon Characteristics
- Tandem or side-by-side booster
- 2300 lb launch weight
- Range: 600 nm in 10 minutes
- 250 lb payload (penetrator, smart submunitions, or explosive)
Army/NASA Scramjet R&D

Hypersonic H2 Scramjet Engine Development

HYPER-X Inlet

HYPER-X Combustor

Full-Scale, H2 Scramjet Test – Feb 02
(Mach = 10)
Technology Transition

• S&T Investment Aligned With DoD Goals
  - Transformation, Combating Terrorism, and “Jointness”
  - Strong S&T Base is Critical for Rapid Technology Transition

• Technology Transition Effort Has Many Facets

• Early Emphasis on Systems Engineering Facilitates Technology Transition
  - Modeling and Simulation Plays a Key Role
  - Communications, Platforms, Common Manufacturing, Test, O&M, Logistics, etc.
All Services are moving their acquisition processes

FROM

TO

S&T

Acq

Operational Requirements (Warfighter)

Right

• Technology
• People
• Time
Complimentary Transition Efforts

- S&T Base
- Quick Reaction
- Formal ACTD
- Formal Acquisition
- Spiral Insertion

Integrated Priority List
Thermobaric Weapons
Case Study In Rapid Technology Transition

• A “Quick Reaction” type development, enabled by base S&T program and ACTD Framework
• Chronology: Program Approved Sept 21, 2001
  – Small Quantity Lab Testing – Oct
  – Full Up Static Test – Nov 17
  – Flight Test - Dec 14
• Team: USN, DTRA, USAF, DOE
Predator
ACTD Technology Transition

- Developed as an Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD)
- Successfully Demonstrated in Bosnia
- Rapid Progression From Demo to Operational Use
- First ACTD to Transition to the Operational Air Force
- Operating Command - ACC
- Sustainment - AFMC
Joint Strike Fighter
Formal Acquisition

Technology Readiness Assessments (TRA) provide systematic review of technology maturity and readiness for transition

• First Milestone B TRA Conducted On The Joint Strike Fighter
• Critical Technology Areas Were Assessed
• Focuses Technology Resources On Risk Mitigation Planning
• Commonality between Service Variants Addressed

Bringing the Technology and Acquisition Community Together
Source: Report of the Defense Science Board Task Force on the Technology Capabilities of Non-DoD Providers; June 2000; Data provided by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development & National Science Foundation
Summary

• Technology is a Foundation for Transformation

• **Modeling and Simulation – A Key Enabler**
  – Simulation-Based Acquisition
  – Advanced Systems Engineering Environment

• Accelerating Technology Transition is Critical
National Security Workforce and Laboratories

- DoD Investment in University-Based Research Increases the National Workforce in Critical Technology Areas
- Expanded Use of Workforce Pilot Programs Will Strengthen Labs
- Laboratories Supporting National Security Need to Modernize Infrastructure
Simulation of Mission Space

Desired Capability = Simulated Mission Space

Comm Connectivity

- POLICY & DIRECTIVES
- COMMON TECHNICAL FRAMEWORKS
- PROTOCOLS & STANDARDS

Interoperability & Reuse

- COMMON REPRESENTATIONS
- COMMON SERVICES
- CONFORMANCE

GLOBAL GRID

NATIONAL INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE

ENGINEERING MODELS

BATTLE LABS

SIMULATORS

SYSTEM TESTBEDS/ RANGES

DREN / DISN / LES / GCCS

ACTIDS

WARGAMING CENTERS
Terminology

M&S Defined

Model: “A physical, mathematical or otherwise logical representation of a system, entity, phenomenon, or process.”

Simulation: “A method for implementing a model over time…..”

Simulation Domains

Live

Virtual

Constructive

Functional Areas

Acquisition

Experimentation & Analysis

Training & Operations
Simulation Environment

- Standards Based Infrastructure
  - Capable of linking new, legacy systems

- Authoritative Data
  - Shared environments with reusable pieces

- New Design Structures
  - Common, reusable servers, composable models

- Metrics and Evaluations
  - VV&A, error tracking
Conceptual Formulation:
- Scope limited to cold war ideas
- Do not have flexible tools good enough to stimulate creative thinking
- Insufficient participation from academia, industry, military, other gov agencies

Experimentation
- Initiated, but not robust and responsive
- “J” efforts need to be truly joint & integrated

Acquisition
- Tools designed only for single system
- Lack metrics, inadequate process for systems of systems

Training
- Service Specific/Stove-piped solutions
- Tools need to be better, shared, joint

Operations
- Need Joint, Collaborative Planning/Rehearsal systems needed
- Insufficient training for new conflict

Communities are separate, lack incentives to work across boundaries

Common Threads: Repositories don’t describe models for reuse and classification
M&S is often hard to use, inflexible, opaque and underfunded
Warfighter’s M&S Needs

Combatant Commander M&S Needs (WARMOND Data Base)

• Link to C4I systems (w/reach-back)
• Faster, less costly database development
• Standardized (reusable) components
• Reduced overhead
• Operational data collection
• Access to terrain for operational areas
• Tools for operational decision-making
• Improved human performance modeling
Laboratories & People

“Laboratory Oversight"
Laboratories & People

“Enterprise Review Structure”

Concept Illustration

Allied Nations
NRC, Academia
Industry
Other Fed’l Agencies
Other DoD
DDR&E

National Aerospace Initiative Assessment Team

Input
Academia

Output

Air Force
• AFRL-PR
• AFRL-VA
• AFRL-MN
• Edwards-PR
• Arnold EDC

Navy
• ONR
• China Lake

Army
• SM

Allied Nations
Industry

Academia

Industry

Academia

Input

Output

Laboratories & People

“Enterprise Review Structure”

Concept Illustration

Allied Nations
NRC, Academia
Industry
Other Fed’l Agencies
Other DoD
DDR&E

National Aerospace Initiative Assessment Team

Input
Academia

Output

Air Force
• AFRL-PR
• AFRL-VA
• AFRL-MN
• Edwards-PR
• Arnold EDC

Navy
• ONR
• China Lake

Army
• SM
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Joint Direct Attack Munition (JDAM)
Example of Interoperability
DoD CTO Responsibilities

• Principal Advisor to the “CEO” (SECDEF) for Technical Matters

• Responsibilities
  – Provides Oversight / Assessment of the “State of the Art” in militarily relevant technologies:
  – Leads Change of Development of New/Transformational capabilities
  – Assesses Application of Technology to Acquisition Programs
  – Shapes the DoD Laboratories and Workforce

• Mechanisms
  – Policy
  – Financial
**Objective**

To develop tools capable of accurately predicting dynamic vehicle performance under the limited time constraints of the SBA of the United States Marine Corps (USMC) MTRV.

**Methodology**

Three-dimensional, multi-body dynamic model templates of various truck designs were developed before convening of Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB) using LMS-CADSI Dynamic Analysis and Design System (DADS) modeling and simulation methodology. A performance matrix of simulations suitable for identifying whether proposed vehicles meet stringent MTRV performance requirements was developed. Data was submitted throughout the source selection process by proposed vehicle developers. The data was then incorporated into the model, which was used to perform analysis in a significantly reduced timeframe.

**Results**

Executing models of the contractor designs over a specified performance matrix allowed the SSEB to evaluate the capability of each vehicle's system meeting the stringent on- and off-road performance requirements laid out in the MTRV Performance Specification, before vehicle build and test. This allowed the SSEB to make informed decisions in a reduced amount time. The groundwork done prior to convening the SSEB, combined with the computational speed of today's supercomputers, resulted in a capability to determine vehicle performance more rapidly.

**Significance**

The capabilities developed through this effort allow the DoD to use modeling and simulation to make informed acquisition decisions in less time and reduces the risk of buying vehicles that do not meet performance requirements.